

## Realization of a subalgebra of a generalized Steenrod algebra

Katsumi SHIMOMURA\*

(Received August 31, 1995)

### §1. Introduction

For a ring spectrum  $E$ ,  $(A, \Gamma) = (E_*, E_*(E))$  is a Hopf algebraoid if  $\Gamma$  is flat over  $A$  (cf. [8], [1]). In this case, the homology groups  $E_*(X)$  of a spectrum  $X$  have a  $\Gamma$ -comodule structure in the natural way (cf. [1]). What can be said for the converse statement? In other words, for a given  $\Gamma$ -comodule  $M$ , is there a spectrum  $X$  such that  $E_*(X) = M$ ? This is called a problem of realizability of a comodule. Originally, this problem was stated in the language of cohomologies. That is to say, it asks if there is any spectrum  $X$  such that  $H^*(X; \mathbf{Z}/p) = \mathcal{B}$  for a given subalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of the Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{A} = H^*(HZ/p; \mathbf{Z}/p)$  at each prime number  $p$ , where  $H\mathbf{Z}/p$  denotes the mod  $p$  Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum. Since  $H^*(HZ/p; \mathbf{Z}/p) = [HZ/p, H\mathbf{Z}/p]^*$  as homotopy sets, we can ask what is going on if we generalize this by replacing  $H\mathbf{Z}/p$  with a ring spectrum  $E$ . Furthermore, this is rewritten in the language of homologies, which is treated here.

One of the ways to solve this is a way to use the Adams-Bousfield resolution, which is explained as follows:

For a spectrum  $X$ , we can construct an Adams-Bousfield resolution

$$pt \longleftarrow X_1 \longleftarrow X_2 \longleftarrow \dots$$

such that  $X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1} \rightarrow E \wedge \bar{E}^n \wedge X$  for each  $n$  is a cofiber sequence (up to suspension), where  $\bar{E}$  denotes the cofiber of the unit map  $i: S^0 \rightarrow E$  of the ring spectrum  $E$ . Then we see that  $E_*(X) = E_*(X^\wedge)$ , where  $X^\wedge = \lim_n X_n$ . Our idea to find a solution for the problem is construct this kind of resolution without  $X$ . By this, we mean that we construct the resolution out of a spectrum  $EM$  such that  $\pi_*(EM) = M$  for the given comodule  $M$ . This  $M$  corresponds to  $E_*(X)$  (i.e.  $E \wedge X = EM$ ), if  $X$  exists. In fact, we study whether or not we can construct a cofibration  $X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1} \rightarrow EM \wedge \bar{E}^n$  for each  $n$ . If we construct them for all  $n$ , then we see that  $M^\wedge = \lim_n X_n$  satisfies  $E_*(M^\wedge) = \pi_*(EM) = M$ . That is,  $EM = E \wedge M^\wedge$ .

Under this idea, several authors have succeeded to construct spectra. Set first  $E = H\mathbf{Z}/p$ , the mod  $p$  Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum. Then the dual of the Steenrod algebra  $\mathcal{A}_* = E_*(E)$  for odd  $p$  is known to be the tensor product of the polynomial algebra  $\mathcal{P}_* = F_p[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]$  with  $|\xi_n| = 2p^n - 2$ , the dual of the algebra  $\mathcal{P}$  of the reduced power operations, and the exterior algebra  $\mathcal{A}(\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots)$  with  $|\tau_n| = 2p^n - 1$ . For  $p = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_* = E_*(E) = F_2[\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots]$  with  $|\xi_n| = 2^n - 1$ , where the dual of the

\* Faculty of Education, Tottori University, Tottori, 680, Japan.

algebra  $\mathcal{P}$  of the square operations (mod 2 reduced power operations) are embedded as  $\mathcal{P}_* = F_2[\xi_1^2, \xi_2^2, \dots]$ . Then define the spectra  $BP$  and  $V(n)$  ( $n \geq 0$ ) by  $E_*(BP) = \mathcal{P}_*$  and  $E_*(V(n)) = \Lambda(\tau_0, \dots, \tau_n)$ . Now take the  $\mathcal{A}_*$ -module  $M$  to be  $\mathcal{P}_*$  or  $\Lambda(\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ . Using the method explained above, E. Brown and F. Peterson showed the existence of the spectrum  $BP$  in [3], which is known to be the Brown-Peterson spectrum, and H. Toda showed in [11] the existence of the spectrum  $V(3)$  for  $p > 5$ , which is called the Toda-Smith spectrum. ( $V(n)$  for  $n < 3$  had been constructed by then for a prime number  $p > 2n$  in different methods by H. Toda and L. Smith.)

Instead of  $H\mathbf{Z}/p$ , take the Brown-Peterson spectrum  $BP$  (resp. Johnson-Wilson spectrum  $E(n)$  for  $n \geq 0$  ([6])), where the coefficient ring  $BP_*$  (resp.  $E(n)_*$ ) is the polynomial algebra  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots]$  (resp.  $\mathbf{Z}_{(p)}[v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n, v_n^{-1}]$ ) over the generators  $v_k$  with  $|v_k| = 2p^k - 2$ . Then we found some condition for the existence of the spectrum  $X$  with  $BP_*(X) = v_n^{-1}BP_*/(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \dots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$  (resp.  $E(m)_*(X) = E(m)_*/(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \dots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$ ) in [10] (resp. [9]). In this case, if such a resolution exists, then  $BPM = BP \wedge M^\wedge$ , which is what we want to study here. To do this, for a ring spectrum  $E$  with  $E_*(E)$   $E_*$ -flat, we give the general result:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $E, F$  and  $G$  be spectra of such that  $E$  and  $F$  are ring spectra and that  $E_*(F)$  and  $E_*(G)$  are Hopf algebroids over  $F_*$  with  $E_*(G)$   $F_*$ -free and  $G \subset F$  inducing the map of Hopf algebroids  $E_*(G) \rightarrow E_*(F)$ . Furthermore, assume that there exists a map  $E \rightarrow F$  which induces the map  $E_*(E) \rightarrow E_*(F)$  of Hopf algebroids. Then there exists a spectrum  $X$  such that*

$$X \wedge G = F.$$

The proof is given in §3 by setting  $F = E$ . For general result, it is almost identical to the case  $F = E$  but a little more complicated, and so we omit here.

Let  $p = 2$  and  $D(A_1)$  denote the cofiber of the essential map  $\Sigma^5 M_\eta \wedge M_\nu \rightarrow M_\eta \wedge M_\nu$ , and  $E(2)/2 = E(2) \wedge M_2$ . Here  $M_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \pi_t(S^0)$  denotes the cofiber of the map  $f: S^t \rightarrow S^0$  which represents  $\alpha$ . Here  $\eta \in \pi_1(S^0) = \mathbf{Z}/2$  and  $\nu \in \pi_3(S^0) = \mathbf{Z}/8$  are the generators. Note that  $E(2)_* = \pi_*(E(2)) = \mathbf{Z}/2[v_1, v_2, v_2^{-1}]$ . As a corollary,

**COROLLARY.** *There exists a spectrum  $X$  at the prime 2 such that*

$$X \wedge D(A_1)/2 = E(2)/2.$$

By the same manner given in [5], the connected cover of  $X$  gives a counter example to the result of [4] which claims that  $\mathcal{A} // \mathcal{A}_2$  is not realizable as a cohomology of a spectrum. Here  $\mathcal{A}_2$  denotes the subalgebra of the Steenrod algebra generated by  $Sq^{2^i}$  with  $i = 0, 1, 2$ .

## §2. Adams-Bousfield resolution and geometric resolution

Let  $E$  denote a ring spectrum such that  $E_*(E)$  is flat over  $E_*$  and  $M$  be a  $E_*(E)$ -comodule. Consider the diagram

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} pt & \longleftarrow & X_1 & \longleftarrow & X_2 & \longleftarrow & \dots \\ & \searrow & // & \searrow & \nearrow & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & & F & & F_1 & & F_2 & \dots \end{array}$$

of spectra and maps such that every triangle is a cofiber, and that the compositions  $i_{k+1}j_k (k \in \mathbb{Z})$  yield the long exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad E_*(F) \xrightarrow{i_{1*}} E_*(F_1) \xrightarrow{i_{2*}j_{1*}} E_*(F_2) \longrightarrow$$

with  $\text{Ker } i_{1*} = M$ . The maps  $k_i: X_{i+1} \rightarrow X_i$  induce the map  $\kappa_n: \lim X_i \rightarrow X_n$  by the canonical projection. Let  $F_n$  denote the kernel of  $\kappa_n$ . Then we have a filtration

$$\lim X_i = F_0 \supset F_1 \supset \dots$$

Now suppose that

$$(2.3) \quad \bigcap_k F_k = 0.$$

Then we have

THEOREM 2.4.

$$E_*(\lim X_n) = M.$$

PROOF. Apply the functor  $E_*(-)$  to the diagram (2.1), and we obtain an exact couple. This yields the spectral sequence

$$E_1^s = E_*(F_s) \implies E_*(\lim X_n),$$

which converges by the assumption (2.3). Furthermore,  $d_1$  is given by  $i_k j_{k-1}$  ( $j_0 = id$ ). Thus,  $E_2$ -term is the cohomology of the complex  $(E_1^*, d_1)$  which is the one given in (2.2). Since it is exact, the homology turns out to be

$$E_2^s = \begin{cases} M & s = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus this spectral sequence collapses and we have the result. q.e.d.

As an example, we have the Adams-Bousfield resolution which is given as follows: Let  $\bar{E}$  denote the cofiber of the unit map  $i: S^0 \rightarrow E$ , and we have the cofiber  $X = S^0 \wedge X \xrightarrow{i \wedge X} E \wedge X \rightarrow \bar{E} \wedge X$ . Then we have the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} pt & \longleftarrow & X_1 & \longleftarrow & X_2 & \longleftarrow & \dots \\ \downarrow & // & \downarrow i \wedge X & \nearrow j_1 & \downarrow i \wedge \bar{E} \wedge X & & \\ E \wedge X & & E \wedge \bar{E} \wedge X & & E \wedge \bar{E}^2 \wedge X & & \dots \end{array}$$

Since  $E$  is a ring spectrum, we have the long exact sequence corresponding to

(2.2). Furthermore it is shown in [2] that the diagram above yields the filtration  $F_0 \supset F_1 \supset \dots$  satisfying (2.3). Thus this shows the converging spectral sequence

$$E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{E_*(E)}^{s,t}(E_*, E_*(E)) \implies \pi_{t-s}(X_E^\wedge),$$

where  $X_E^\wedge = \lim X_n$ . This spectral sequence is called the generalized *Adams spectral sequence* based on  $E$ . In particular, if we take  $E = BP$ , we call it the *Adams-Novikov spectral sequence*.

### §3. Smash decomposition of a ring spectrum

Let  $E$  be a ring spectrum with  $E_*(E)$  being flat over  $E_*$ . Suppose that  $F$  is a spectrum such that  $E_*(F)$  is a Hopf algebroid over  $E_*$  as well as a free left  $E_*$ -module.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose that  $E_*(F)$  is a free left  $E_*$ -module. Then*

$$E \wedge F \simeq \bigvee E.$$

PROOF. Put  $E_*(F) = E_* \{g_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ , a free  $E_*$ -module over the generators  $\{g_\lambda\}$ . Suppose that a map  $f_\lambda: S^0 \rightarrow E \wedge F$  represents the generator  $g_\lambda$ . Here note that everything is considered up to suspension. Then we have a map  $f_\lambda: E \rightarrow E \wedge S^0 \xrightarrow{E \wedge f_\lambda} E \wedge E \wedge F \xrightarrow{\mu \wedge F} E \wedge F$  for the multiplication  $\mu: E \wedge E \rightarrow E$ , which induces the  $E_*$ -module map  $\varphi_\lambda: E_* \rightarrow E_*(F)$  such that  $\varphi_\lambda(1) = g_\lambda$ . Thus we obtain a map

$$\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_\lambda: \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} E \longrightarrow E \wedge F,$$

which induces an isomorphism on homotopy  $\pi_*(-)$ . Now use the J.H.C. Whitehead theorem to get the desired homotopy equivalence. q.e.d.

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose that  $E_*(F)$  is a free right  $E_*$ -module. Then there exists a map  $\varphi: E \wedge F \wedge E \rightarrow E \wedge F$ , which represents the right action. That is to say, the right action  $xy$  for  $x \in E_*(F)$  and  $y \in E_*$  is given by the composition  $S^0 \xrightarrow{x \wedge y} E \wedge F \wedge E \xrightarrow{\varphi} E \wedge F$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\{\bar{g}_\lambda\}$  denote the free generators of the right  $E_*$ -module  $E_*(F)$ . Then in the same way as above, we obtain an equivalence

$$\bar{g} = \bigvee_{\lambda} \bar{g}_\lambda: \bigvee_{\lambda} E \simeq E \wedge F.$$

Now define the map  $\varphi$  by the composition

$$E \wedge F \wedge E \xrightarrow{\bar{g}^{-1} \wedge E} \bigvee_{\lambda} E \wedge E \xrightarrow{\vee \mu} \bigvee_{\lambda} E \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} E \wedge F.$$

Then for a generator  $\bar{g}_\lambda$  and an element  $y \in E_*$ ,  $\bar{g}_\lambda y$  is represented by the composition  $\varphi(\bar{g}_\lambda \wedge y)$ . In fact,  $\varphi(\bar{g}_\lambda \wedge 1) = \bar{g}_\lambda$  as in the following commutative diagram, since

$1 \in E_*$  is represented by the unit  $i: S^0 \rightarrow E$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 E \wedge F \wedge E & \xleftarrow[\cong]{\bar{g} \wedge E} & \vee E \wedge E & \xrightarrow{\vee \mu} & \vee E & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\bar{g}} & E \wedge F \\
 \bar{g}_\lambda \wedge i \uparrow & & i \wedge i \nearrow & & i \nearrow & & \\
 S^0 & & & & \bar{g}_\lambda & & 
 \end{array}$$

q.e.d.

Consider the homology theories  $h_*(-) = E_*(F \wedge -)$  and  $k_*(-) = E_*(F) \otimes_{E_*} E_*(-)$ , and the natural transformation  $\psi: k_* \rightarrow h_*$  defined by  $\psi_X(x \otimes y) = \varphi(x \wedge y)$  for the map  $\varphi$  in Lemma 3.2, where  $\psi_X: k_*(X) \rightarrow h_*(X)$ . Then,  $\psi_{S^0}$  turns out to be an isomorphism, and so is  $\psi_X$  for any spectrum  $X$ . In particular, we have

$$(3.3) \quad E_*(F \wedge E) = E_*(F) \otimes_{E_*} E_*(E), \quad E_*(F \wedge F) = E_*(F) \otimes_{E_*} E_*(F).$$

Now consider a cobar resolution

$$E_* \longrightarrow E_*(F) \longrightarrow E_*(F)^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow E_*(F)^{\otimes 3} \longrightarrow \dots$$

Assume that  $E_*(F) \rightarrow E_*(E)$  is an inclusion as comodules. Put  $A = E_*(E) \square_{E_*(F)} E_*$ . Applying  $A \otimes_{E_*} -$  to the resolution, we have a resolution

$$A \longrightarrow E_*(F) \longrightarrow E_*(E) \otimes_{E_*} E_*(F) \longrightarrow E_*(E) \otimes_{E_*} E_*(F)^{\otimes 2} \longrightarrow \dots$$

Note that  $[E \wedge F^k, E \wedge F^{k'}] \cong \text{Hom}_{E_*(E)}(E_*(E \wedge F^k), E_*(E \wedge F^{k'}))$ . Therefore, by (3.3), we have a sequence

$$E \longrightarrow E \wedge F \longrightarrow E \wedge F \wedge F \longrightarrow \dots,$$

whose  $E_*$ -homology is the above resolution. We call this sequence of spectra a *geometric resolution*.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Suppose that  $\text{Ext}_{E_*(F)}^{n+1, n-1}(E_*, E_*) = 0$  for  $n < s$ . Then we have the following exact couple:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 pt & \longleftarrow & X_1 & \xleftarrow{k_1} & X_2 & \longleftarrow \dots \longleftarrow & X_s & \longleftarrow \dots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow i_1 & \nearrow j_1 & \downarrow & & \downarrow i_s & \\
 E & \xrightarrow{i_1} & E \wedge F & \longrightarrow & E \wedge F^2 & \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow & E \wedge F^s & \xrightarrow{d_s} \dots
 \end{array}$$

in which the bottom sequence is the geometric resolution.

PROOF. We construct this by the induction on  $s$ . For  $s = 1$ , we just put  $X_1 = E$ . Suppose that we have the exact couple up to  $s$ . Apply  $G^n(-) = [-, E \wedge F^{s+1}]_{-n}$  to the exact couple and obtain the algebraic exact couple which gives rise to the spectral sequence

$$E_1' = G^*(E \wedge F^t) \implies G^*(X_s).$$

By this, we obtain

$$G^0(X_s) = \text{Ker } d_{s-1}^* \oplus E_{s-1}(F^{s+1}),$$

in which  $\text{Ker } d_{s-1} = \text{Im } j_{s-1}^*$ . Take  $d_s i_s \in G^0(X_s)$ . Then  $j_{s-1}^*(d_s i_s) = d_s d_{s-1} = 0$ , and so  $d_s i_s = o_s k$  for some  $o_s \in E_{s-1}(F^{s+1})$ . Here  $k = k_1 k_2 \cdots k_{s-1}$ . Since  $k_*$  is monomorphic,  $d_{s-1}(o_s k) = d_{s+1} d_s i_s = 0$  implies  $o_s \in \text{Ext}_{E_*(F)}^{s+1, s-1}(E_*, E_*) = 0$ . Thus  $o_s \in \text{Im } d_s$ . Put  $o_s = d_s o'_s$ . Define  $i'_s = i_s - o'_s k$ . Then  $i'_s j_{s-1} = d_{s-1}$ , and  $d_s i'_s = d_s i_s - o_s k = 0$ . Now define  $X_{s+1}$  to be a cofiber of  $i'_s$ , and we have the case for  $s+1$ . q.e.d.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *If  $\text{Ext}_{E_*(F)}^{n+1, n-1}(E_*, E_*) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ , then we have a spectrum  $X$  such that*

$$E = F \wedge X.$$

**PROOF.** Put  $X = \lim X_s$  and consider the spectral sequence obtained by applying  $F_*(-)$  to the exact couple. Since  $F_*(E \wedge Y) = E_*(F) \otimes_{E_*} E_*(Y)$ , the  $E_1$ -term yields the cobar resolution over  $E_*$ . Therefore, we have

$$F_*(X) = E_*.$$

q.e.d.

Note that there exist maps  $i: F \hookrightarrow E$  (by the assumption) and  $k: X \rightarrow X_1 = E$  which yields the homotopy equivalence  $\mu(i \wedge k): F \wedge X \rightarrow E$ .

**REMARK.** This will hold true for  $E, F$  and  $G$  such that  $E$  and  $F$  are ring spectra, and  $E_*(F)$  and  $E_*(G)$  are Hopf algebroids with  $G \hookrightarrow F$  inducing the map of Hopf algebroids. In the later, we consider the case for  $E = E(2)$ ,  $F = E(2)/(2)$  and  $G = D(A_1)$  at the prime 2.

#### § 4. Application

As is remarked in the previous section, we have consider for  $E(2)$ ,  $E(2)/(2)$  and  $D(A_1) \wedge M_2$  at the prime 2. Here  $D(A_1)$  is the cofiber of the essential map

$$h_{20}: \Sigma^5 M_\eta \wedge M_\nu \longrightarrow M_\eta \wedge M_\nu,$$

where  $M_\alpha$  denotes the mapping cone of the elements  $\alpha \in \pi_*(S^0)$ . The existence of  $h_{20}$  is shown in [5]. Then our start line is

(4.1) *There is a map  $D(A_1) \hookrightarrow E(2)$ , that induces  $E(2)_*(D(A_1)) \rightarrow E(2)_*(E(2))$  the map of coalgebras.*

**PROOF.** Note that  $E(2) = v_2^{-1} BP \langle 2 \rangle$  and so  $E(2)/2 = v_2^{-1} BP \langle 2 \rangle \wedge M_2$ . Consider the cofiber sequence  $S^1 \xrightarrow{\eta} S^0 \rightarrow M_\eta$ . Then the unit map  $i: S^0 \rightarrow BP \langle 2 \rangle$  is extended to  $\tilde{i}: M_\eta \rightarrow BP \langle 2 \rangle$ , since the composition  $\eta i = 0: S^1 \rightarrow BP \langle 2 \rangle$ , for odd  $t$ . We also have the cofiber sequence  $\Sigma^3 M_\eta \xrightarrow{\nu} M_\eta \rightarrow M_\eta \wedge M_\nu$ , in which  $\Sigma^3 M_\eta = S^3 \cup e^5$ . Therefore, we have  $[\Sigma^3 M_\eta, BP \langle 2 \rangle]_0 = 0$  and so the map  $\tilde{i}$  is extended to  $M_\eta \wedge M_\nu \rightarrow BP \langle 2 \rangle$ .

In the same way, we have  $D(A_1) \rightarrow BP\langle 2 \rangle$ , and compose with  $BP\langle 2 \rangle \hookrightarrow E(2)$ . By this construction, we see that the induced map  $E(2)_*(D(A_1)) \rightarrow E(2)_*(E(2))$  is an inclusion, which is map of comodules by the universal coefficient isomorphism

$$[D(A_1) \wedge M_2, E(2)/(2)]_* \cong \text{Hom}_{E(2)_*(E(2))}^*(E(2)_*(D(A_1) \wedge M_2), E(2)_*(E(2)/(2))).$$

The coalgebra structure of  $E(2)_*(D(A_1))$  is now read off from this inclusion. q.e.d.

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *There exists a spectrum  $EO_2$  at the prime 2 such that*

$$EO_2 \wedge D(A_1)/2 = E(2)/2.$$

This  $EO_2$  gives a counter example to the result of [4] which states that  $\mathcal{A} // \mathcal{A}_2$  is not representable. The existence of  $EO_2$  is stated in [5] but their proof seems to have some subtle gaps. Here they use the notation  $EO_2$  by the analogy of  $BO$ . In fact,  $BO$  is given as a fixed point set of the  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -action on  $BU$ , and  $EO_2$  is defined as a homotopy fixed point set of an action on  $E_2$ , which is a completion of  $E(2)$ . Then using the spectral sequence, we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad E_2(EO_2 \wedge M_v \wedge A_1) = K(2)_*[h_{20}].$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} E_2(EO_2 \wedge M_v \wedge A_1) &= \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*(E(2))}(E(2)_*, E(2)_*(EO_2 \wedge M_v \wedge A_1)) \\ &= \text{Ext}_{E(2)_*(E(2))}(E(2)_*, E(2)_*(E(2)) \square_C E(2)_*(M_v \wedge A_1)) \\ &= \text{Ext}_C(E(2)_*, E(2)_*(M_v \wedge A_1)) \\ &= \text{Ext}_D(K(2)_*, K(2)_*) \\ &= K(2)_*[h_{20}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = E(2)_*[t_1, t_2]/(t_1^4, t_2^2)$  and  $D = K(2)_*[t_2]/(t_2^2)$  for the Morava  $K$ -theory  $K(2)_* = F_2[v_2, v_2^{-1}]$ .

In the same way as above, we also have  $W$  which relates to  $EO_2$  at the prime 3:

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *There exists a spectrum  $W$  at the prime 3 such that*

$$W \wedge X = E(2),$$

for  $X = S^0 \cup_{\alpha_1} e^4 \cup_{\alpha_1} e^8$ .

### References

- [ 1 ] J. F. Adams, *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.
- [ 2 ] A. K. Bousfield, The localization of spectra with respect to homology, *Topology* **18** (1979), 257–281.
- [ 3 ] E. H. Brown and F. P. Peterson, A spectrum whose  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  cohomology is the algebra of reduced  $p$ -th powers, *Topology* **5** (1966), 149–154.
- [ 4 ] D. M. Davis and M. E. Mahowald, The nonrealizability of the quotient  $A//A_2$  of the Steenrod algebra, *Amer. J. of Math.* **104** (1982), 1211–1216.

- [ 5 ] M. Hopkins and M. Mahowald, The Hurewicz image of  $EO_2$ , preprint.
- [ 6 ] D. C. Johnson and W. S. Wilson,  $BP$ -operations and Morava's extraordinary  $K$ -theories, *Math. Z.* **144** (1975), 55–75.
- [ 7 ] D. C. Ravenel, Localization with respect to certain periodic homology theories, *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), 351–414.
- [ 8 ] D. C. Ravenel, *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*, Academic Press, 1986.
- [ 9 ] K. Shimomura and M. Yokotani, Existence of the Greek letter elements in the stable homotopy groups of  $E(n)_*$ -localized spheres, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **30** (1994), 139–150.
- [ 10 ] K. Shimomura and Z. Yosimura,  $BP$ -Hopf module spectrum and  $BP_*$ -Adams spectral sequence, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* **21** (1986), 925–947.
- [ 11 ] H. Toda, On spectra realizing exterior parts of the Steenrod algebra, *Topology* **10** (1971), 53–65.