

A Measure on an Infinite-Dimensional Space

Yukio KURIBAYASHI*

(Received August 31, 1987)

1. Introduction

In the present paper we would like to introduce a measure on the space of all sequences with real terms using non-standard analysis. Non-standard measure theories and their applications have been developed by Cutland, Keisler, Loeb, Saito and others in [1]~[7]. However, in this paper, we would like to adopt another idea on measure theory.

Now we would like to explain the basic idea of the measure. Let A be a cube of the ordinary real n -dimensional Euclidean space with side length a . Then the Lebesgue measure of the cube is a^n . We get the number a^n by calculating a, a^2, \dots, a^n successively. This fact suggest us that we will be able to express a measure of a cube of an infinite-dimensional space with side length a , using a sequence $a, a^2, \dots, a^n, \dots$. Fortunately, we have ultra real numbers *R and we would like to express the measure by a *R -valued function.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we would like to give definitions and notations which will be used in this paper.

Let N be the set of all positive integers and \mathcal{F} be an ultra filter on N which does not contain any finite subset of N . Let R be the set of all real numbers and R^N be the set of all sequences with real terms. If a is an element of R^N , then we use notations $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots) = (a_n)_{n \in N}$.

If $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)$ are elements of R^N and λ is an element of R , we define addition $a + b$ and scalar multiplication λa by

$$a + b = (a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_n + b_n, \dots)$$

and

$$\lambda a = (\lambda a_1, \dots, \lambda a_n, \dots).$$

We can consider R^N is a linear space over the field R by the above definitions.

Let a and b be elements of R^N . The relations and operations $a \sim b$, $a < b$, $a + b$, $a - b$, and $a \cdot b$ are defined to be $\{n \in N; a_n = b_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$, $\{n \in N; a_n < b_n\} \in \mathcal{F}$, $(a_n + b_n)_{n \in N}$, $(a_n - b_n)_{n \in N}$ and $(a_n b_n)_{n \in N}$, respectively. The relation \sim is an equivalence relation. *R is

* Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Tottori University, Tottori 680, Japan.

defined to be R^N/\sim which is also written as R^N/\mathcal{F} and is called ultra real numbers and its element is written as $[a]$ or $[a_n]$ or $[(a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)]$. An imbedding isomorphism i from R into $*R$ is defined by

$$i(a_1) = [(a_1, \dots, a_1, \dots)].$$

Let $[a_n], [b_n]$ be two ultra real numbers and $[b_n] \neq 0$. The quotient $[a_n]/[b_n]$ is defined to be $[(a_n/b_n)^*]$, where $(a_n/b_n)^* = a_n/b_n$ for $b_n \neq 0$ and $(a_n/b_n)^* = 0$ for $b_n = 0$. The absolute value $|[a_n]|$ of an ultra real number $[a_n]$ and the non-negative square-root $([a_n])^{1/2}$ of a non-negative ultra real number $[a_n]$ are defined to be $[|a_n|]$ and $[(|a_n|)^{1/2}]$ respectively.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots)$ be two points in R^N . Then an inner product $(x|y)$ of x and y is defined by

$$(x|y) = [(x_1 y_1, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i, \dots)].$$

We have the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $x, y, z \in R^N$ and $a \in R$. Then we have the following properties:

$$(2.1) \quad (x+y|z) = (x|z) + (y|z), \quad (x|y+z) = (x|y) + (x|z),$$

$$(2.2) \quad (ax|y) = a(x|y) = (x|ay),$$

$$(2.3) \quad (x|x) \geq 0, \quad (x|x) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad x = (0, \dots, 0, \dots).$$

REMARK. If no misunderstanding is possible, we will simply write 0 instead of $[(0, \dots, 0, \dots)]$.

The norm $|x|$ of a vector $x \in R^N$ is defined to be the non-negative ultra real number

$$((x|x))^{1/2} = [((x_1^2)^{1/2}, \dots, (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{1/2}, \dots)].$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. For all $x, y \in R^N$, we have the following properties:

$$(2.4) \quad |x \pm y|^2 = |x|^2 \pm 2(x|y) + |y|^2,$$

$$(2.5) \quad |x+y|^2 + |x-y|^2 = 2(|x|^2 + |y|^2),$$

$$(2.6) \quad |(x|y)| \leq |x| |y| \quad (\text{Schwarz's inequality}).$$

PROOF. We shall only prove (2.6). For all $n \in N$ we have

$$|\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i| \leq (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{1/2} (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(x|y)| &= [(|x_1||y_1|, \dots, |\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i|, \dots)] \\
 &\leq [((x_1^2)^{1/2}(y_1^2)^{1/2}, \dots, (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{1/2}(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2)^{1/2}, \dots)] \\
 &\leq [((x_1^2)^{1/2}, \dots, (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{1/2}, \dots)] \times \\
 &\quad [((y_1^2)^{1/2}, \dots, (\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2)^{1/2}, \dots)] \\
 &= |x||y|.
 \end{aligned}$$

We define two vectors x, y to be perpendicular or orthogonal if and only if $(x|y)=0$.

EXAMPLE 2.4. If x is perpendicular to y , then

$$|x+y|^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2.$$

Let x, y be two points in R^N . The distance between x and y is defined to be the ultra real number $|x-y|$ and is written as $d(x, y)$. Clearly $d(x, y)$ is a distance function on R^N . The straight line passing through x and y is defined to be the set $\{x+t(y-x); t \in R\}$, and the line segment with endpoints x and y is defined to be the set $\{x+t(y-x); 0 \leq t \leq 1, t \in R\}$.

EXAMPLE 2.5. Let $x=(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots), y=(y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots)$ be two distinct points in R^N and let k, l be two real numbers and $k+l \neq 0$. Then there exists a unique point $c \in R^N$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad d(a, c)/d(c, b) = k/l.$$

PROOF. For every $n \in N$, there exists a real number c_n satisfying $c_n = (lx_n + ky_n)/(k+l)$. The point $c=(c_1, \dots, c_n, \dots)$ satisfies the condition (2.7), and the uniqueness is clear.

Now, we would like to define a topology for the space R^N . Let ε_i be a positive real number for $i \in N$ and $\varepsilon=(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \dots)$ and let $a=(a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$ be a point in R^N . We define sets $U_n(a, \varepsilon_n)$ for $n \in N$ and $U(a, \varepsilon)$ as follows:

$$U_n(a, \varepsilon_n) = \{x \in R^N; (\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a)^2)^{1/2} < \varepsilon_n, x_i = a_i \text{ for } i \geq n+1\},$$

$$U(a, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(a, \varepsilon_n).$$

Let S be a subset of R^N and let \mathfrak{D} be a family of subsets of R^N with the following property:

$$(2.8) \quad \text{For every } a \in S, \text{ there exists a set } U(a, \varepsilon) \text{ such that } U(a, \varepsilon) \subset S.$$

PROPOSITION 2.6. The set \mathfrak{D} satisfies the following properties:

$$(2.9) \quad \phi \in \mathfrak{D} \text{ and } R^N \in \mathfrak{D},$$

$$(2.10) \quad \text{if } S_i \in \mathfrak{D}, i = 1, \dots, k, \text{ then } \bigcap_{i=1}^k S_i \in \mathfrak{D},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \text{if } S_i \in \mathfrak{D}, i \in \Gamma, \text{ then } \bigcup_{i \in \Gamma} S_i \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

where the index set Γ is not necessarily finite.

PROOF. We shall only prove (2.10). Let $S_1, S_2 \in \mathfrak{D}$ and $a \in S_1 \cap S_2$. Then there exist two set $U(a, \varepsilon)$ and $U(a, \eta)$ such that $U(a, \varepsilon) \subset S_1$ and $U(a, \eta) \subset S_2$, where $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \dots)$, $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n, \dots)$ and $\varepsilon_n > 0$, $\eta_n > 0$ for every $n \in N$. Let $\gamma_n = \min(\varepsilon_n, \eta_n)$ for $n \in N$, and let $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, \dots)$. Then $U_n(a, \gamma_n) \subset U_n(a, \varepsilon_n) \cap U_n(a, \eta_n)$ for $n \in N$, and so

$$U(a, \gamma) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(a, \gamma_n) \subset S_1 \cap S_2,$$

thus $S_1 \cap S_2 \in \mathfrak{D}$.

EXAMPLE 2.7. Let $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n, \dots)$ be two points in R^N and $a_n < b_n$ for every $n \in N$. We define an open interval $I(a, b)$ by

$$I(a, b) = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) \in R^N; a_n < x_n < b_n \text{ for } n \in N\}.$$

The interval $I(a, b)$ is an open set.

EXAMPLE 2.8. Let a be a point in R^N and let r be a positive real number. We define a ball $B(a, r)$ of radius r and centered at a by

$$B(a, r) = \{x \in R^N; |x - a| < r\}.$$

Then the ball $B(a, r)$ is an open set.

PROPOSITION 2.9. Let a, b be two distinct points in R^N . Then there exist two open sets O_1, O_2 which satisfy the following conditions:

$$O_1 \ni a, O_2 \ni b \text{ and } O_1 \cap O_2 = \phi.$$

PROOF. Since $a \neq b$, we have a positive integer k such that $a_k \neq b_k$. We can consider $a_k < b_k$, and so we can choose a positive real number ε satisfying a condition $a_k + \varepsilon < b_k - \varepsilon$. We define two open intervals $I(a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon)$, $I(b - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon)$ by

$$I(a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon) = \{x \in R^N; a_n - \varepsilon < x_n < a_n + \varepsilon \text{ for } n \in N\},$$

$$I(b - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon) = \{x \in R^N; b_n - \varepsilon < x_n < b_n + \varepsilon \text{ for } n \in N\}.$$

Then $I(a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon)$ and $I(b - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon)$ are open sets and satisfy the above three conditions.

3. A measure on the space R^N

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $E \subset R^N$. We define a set $E(x_{n+1}, \dots)$ by

$$E(x_{n+1}, \dots) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n; (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) \in E\}.$$

The set E is said to be measurable, if it satisfies the following condition:

(3.1) $E(x_{n+1}, \dots)$ is Lebesgue measurable in R^n for every $n \in N$ and (x_{n+1}, \dots) .

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let S be an open set of R^N . Then S is measurable.

PROOF. Let $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S(x_{n+1}, \dots)$. Then

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) \in S.$$

Since S is an open set, there is a positive real number ε_i for every $i \in N$, satisfying the condition

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(x, \varepsilon_n) = U(x, \varepsilon) \subset S,$$

where $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n, \dots)$.

Therefore $U_n(x, \varepsilon_n) \subset S$, for every $n \in N$.

Hence we have

$$\{(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^n; (\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i)^2)^{1/2} < \varepsilon_n\} \subset S(x_{n+1}, \dots).$$

Thus the set $S(x_{n+1}, \dots)$ is an open set of R^n . This relation holds for every $n \in N$ and (x_{n+1}, \dots) . Therefore S is measurable in R^N .

COROLLARY 3.3. An open interval $I(a, b)$ is measurable.

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let E be a measurable set, then the set E^c is measurable.

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E^c(x_{n+1}, \dots) &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n; (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) \in E^c\} \\ &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n; (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) \in E\}^c \\ &= (E(x_{n+1}, \dots))^c. \end{aligned}$$

Since $E(x_{n+1}, \dots)$ is measurable in R^n , $E^c(x_{n+1}, \dots)$ is measurable in R^n . This property holds for every $n \in N$ and (x_{n+1}, \dots) . Therefore E^c is measurable in R^N .

We have the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 3.5. Every closed set of R^N is measurable.

PROPOSITION 3.6. Let E_1, \dots, E_i, \dots be measurable sets in R^N . Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ is a measurable set in R^N .

PROOF. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i(x_{n+1}, \dots) &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n; (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n; (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) \in E_i\} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i(x_{n+1}, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Since $E(x_{n+1}, \dots)$ is measurable in R^n for each $i \in N$, the set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i(x_{n+1}, \dots)$ is measurable in R^n . The property holds for every $n \in N$ and (x_{n+1}, \dots) . Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ is measurable in R^N . Hence we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.7. The set of all measurable sets in R^N is a σ -algebra.

DEFINITION 3.8. Let E be a measurable set, contained in an interval $I(a, b)$, in R^N and let m_n be the Lebesgue measure on R^n for each $n \in N$. We define functions $m(E)$ and $M(E)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} m(E)(x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) &= (m_1(E(x_2, \dots)), \dots, m_n(x_{n+1}, \dots), \dots), \\ M(E)(x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) &= [m(E)(x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)]. \end{aligned}$$

The function $M(E)$ is said to be a measure on the space R^N . We have the following proposition immediately:

PROPOSITION 3.9. Let E_i be measurable in R^N for $i=1, \dots, k$ satisfying the conditions

$$E_i \cap E_j = \phi \quad \text{for } i \neq j,$$

and

$$M(E_i)(x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) < r_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where r_i is a positive ultra real number for each $i=1, \dots, k$. Then we have

$$M\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i\right)(x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots) = \sum_{i=1}^k M(E_i)(x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots).$$

DEFINITION 3.10. Let E be a measurable set contained in an interval $I(a, b)$. We define pseudo measure PM by

$$PM(E) = \left[\left(\sup_{(x_2, \dots)} m_1(E)(x_2, \dots), \dots, \sup_{(x_{n+1}, \dots)} m_n(E)(x_{n+1}, \dots), \dots \right) \right].$$

EXAMPLE 3.11. Let $I(a, b)$ be an open interval of R^N . Then we have

$$PM(I(a, b)) = [(b_1 - a_1, \dots, \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i), \dots)].$$

EXAMPLE 3.12. Let $B(a, r)$ be a ball of radius r , a real number, centered at a . Then we have

$$PM(B(a, r)) = [(2\pi r, \pi r^2, (4/3)\pi r^3, \dots, v_n r^n, \dots)],$$

where v_n is the volume of the n -dimensional unit ball.

References

- [1] S. Albeverio, J. E. Fenstad, R. Høegh-Kron and T. Lindstrøm, Nonstandard methods in stochastic analysis and mathematical physics, Academic Press (1986).
- [2] R. M. Anderson, Star-finite probability theory, Dissertation, Yale University (1977).
- [3] N. J. Cutland, Nonstandard measure theory and its applications, Bull. London Math. Soc., 15 (1983), 529–589.
- [4] A. E. Hurd and P. A. Loeb, An introduction to nonstandard real analysis, Academic Press (1985).
- [5] H. J. Keisler, An infinitesimal approach to stochastic analysis, Memoirs AMS 297 (1984).
- [6] P. A. Loeb, An introduction to nonstandard analysis and hyperfinite probability theory, Probabilistic analysis and related topics, Vol. 2, Academic Press (1979).
- [7] M. Saito, Ultraproducts and non-standard analysis (in Japanese), An enlarged edition, Tokyo Tosho (1987).

