

On the Solutions of the Equation $f_0 T = g_0 \delta^{(n)}$

Yukio KURIBAYASHI*

(Received April 15, 1976)

1. Introduction

Let f_0 and g_0 be real valued, indefinitely differentiable, functions defined on R . We assume that the function f_0 has the following property:

(1.1) There exists a neighbourhood U of 0 such that

$$f_0(x) \neq 0 \quad \text{if } x \in U - \{0\}.$$

The main purpose of the present paper is to give the solutions of the following equation:

$$(1.2) \quad f_0 T = g_0 \delta^{(n)},$$

where δ is the Dirac delta-distribution.

2. Preliminaries

We use the notations R^N and (\mathcal{M}) as follows:

$$R^N = \{a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, \dots); a_k \in R \text{ for each } k \in N\},$$

where R denotes the set of all real numbers, N denotes the set of all natural numbers.

$$(\mathcal{M}) = \{f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k, \dots); f_k \in (\mathfrak{B}) \text{ for each } k \in N\},$$

where (\mathfrak{B}) is the set of all real valued, Lebesgue measurable, functions defined on R .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $a, b \in R^N$. Define

(2.1) $a = b$ if there exists a natural number k_0 such that $a_k = b_k$ whenever $k \geq k_0$ and

(2.2) $a \doteq b$ if it satisfies the condition:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_k - b_k| = 0.$$

REMARK 2.1. Let $a \in R$. Then we shall identify a with (a, a, \dots, a, \dots) . We have $R \subset R^N$.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let $f, g \in (\mathcal{M})$. Define

* Laboratory of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Tottori University, Tottori, Japan.

(2.3) $f=g$ if there exists a natural number k_0 such that $f_k(x)=g_k(x)$ for a.e. $x \in R$ whenever $k \geq k_0$.

DEFINITION 2.3. Let $f, g \in (\mathcal{M})$. Then the sum $f+g$, the product fg and the quotient $\frac{f}{g}$ are defined respectively by

$$(2.4) \quad f+g = (f_1 + g_1, f_2 + g_2, \dots, f_k + g_k, \dots),$$

$$(2.5) \quad fg = (f_1 g_1, f_2 g_2, \dots, f_k g_k, \dots),$$

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{f}{g} = \left(\left(\frac{f_1}{g_1} \right)^*, \left(\frac{f_2}{g_2} \right)^*, \dots, \left(\frac{f_k}{g_k} \right)^*, \dots \right),$$

where $\left(\frac{f_k}{g_k} \right)^*$ is defined as follows:

$$\left(\frac{f_k(x)}{g_k(x)} \right)^* = \frac{f_k(x)}{g_k(x)} \quad \text{where } \frac{f_k(x)}{g_k(x)} \text{ is defined and}$$

$$\left(\frac{f_k(x)}{g_k(x)} \right)^* = 0 \quad \text{elsewhere.}$$

REMARK 2.2. Let $f \in (\mathfrak{B})$. Then we shall identify f with (f, f, \dots, f, \dots) . We have $(\mathfrak{B}) \subset (\mathcal{M})$.

We immediately have the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.1. Let $f, g \in (\mathcal{M})$. Then

$$(2.7) \quad f+g \in (\mathcal{M}),$$

$$(2.8) \quad fg \in (\mathcal{M}).$$

DEFINITION 2.4. A function $f \in (\mathcal{M})$ is said to be class C^n if there exists a natural number k_0 such that f_k is class C^n whenever $k \geq k_0$.

Let $f \in (\mathcal{M})$ be a class C^n function. Define

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{d^n}{dx^n} f = \left(\left(\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f_1 \right)^*, \left(\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f_2 \right)^*, \dots, \left(\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f_k \right)^*, \dots \right),$$

where $\left(\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f_k \right)^*$ is defined as follows:

$$\left(\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f_k(x) \right)^* = \frac{d^n}{dx^n} f_k(x) \quad \text{where } \frac{d^n}{dx^n} f_k(x) \text{ is defined and finite and}$$

$$\left(\frac{d^n}{dx^n} f_k(x) \right)^* = 0 \quad \text{elsewhere.}$$

As in [1], we use the notation (\mathcal{LS}) as follows:

$$(\mathcal{LS}) = \{f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k, \dots); f_k \in (\mathfrak{M}) \text{ for each } k \in N\},$$

where (\mathfrak{M}) is the set of all real valued, locally summable, functions defined on R .

DEFINITION 2.5. Let $f \in (\mathcal{LS})$ and $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$. Define

$$(2.10) \quad f(\varphi) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_1(x)\varphi(x)dx, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_2(x)\varphi(x)dx, \dots, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_k(x)\varphi(x)dx, \dots \right).$$

According to G. Takeuti [2], we use the notation $\stackrel{w}{=}$ as follows:

DEFINITION 2.6. Let $f, g \in (\mathcal{LS})$ and $S \in (\mathcal{D})$. Define

$$(2.11) \quad f \stackrel{w}{=} g \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f(\varphi) \stackrel{w}{=} g(\varphi) \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in (\mathcal{D}) \text{ and}$$

$$(2.12) \quad f \stackrel{w}{=} S \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f(\varphi) \stackrel{w}{=} S(\varphi) \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in (\mathcal{D}).$$

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let $\delta_0 = (\delta_{01}, \delta_{02}, \dots, \delta_{0k}, \dots) \in (\mathcal{LS})$ be a function having the following properties:

$$(2.13) \quad \delta_{0k} \in (\mathcal{C}),$$

$$(2.14) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{0k}(x)\varphi(x)dx = \varphi(0) \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in (\mathcal{D}).$$

Let $g \in (\mathcal{C})$. Then we have

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} g(x)\delta_0^{(n)} &\stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n}{k} g_{(0)}^{(n-k)} \delta_0^{(k)} \\ &\stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n}{k} g_{(0)}^{(n-k)} \delta^{(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.2. Let $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k, \dots)$, $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k, \dots) \in (\mathcal{LS})$ and $f \in (\mathcal{C})$.

$$(2.16) \quad \text{If } s \stackrel{w}{=} t \text{ then } fs \stackrel{w}{=} ft.$$

PROOF. Let $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$. Since $f\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$, we have

$$fs(\varphi) = s(f\varphi) \stackrel{w}{=} t(f\varphi) = ft(\varphi).$$

Therefore we have $fs \stackrel{w}{=} ft$.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let $f \in (\mathcal{LS})$ and $S \in (\mathcal{D})'$. Define

$$(2.17) \quad \text{Pf.}(f) \stackrel{w}{=} S,$$

if there exists a function p such that

$$(2.18) \quad p(k, \varphi) = c_0(\varphi) \log k + c_1(\varphi) k^{\lambda_1(\varphi)} + \dots + c_n(\varphi) k^{\lambda_n(\varphi)}$$

for each $k \in N$ and $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$, the $c_i(\varphi)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, and the $\lambda_i(\varphi)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, which are real numbers, depend on φ , and $0 < \lambda_1(\varphi) < \dots < \lambda_n(\varphi)$,

$$(2.19) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_k(x) \varphi(x) dx - p(k, \varphi) \right) = S(\varphi)$$

for each $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$.

We immediately have the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $f \in (\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S})$ and $S \in (\mathcal{D})'$. Let p_1, p_2 be functions of the form (2.18). If*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_k(x) \varphi(x) dx - p_1(k, \varphi) \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_k(x) \varphi(x) dx - p_2(k, \varphi) \right) \\ &= S(\varphi) \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in (\mathcal{D}), \end{aligned}$$

then we have $p_1 = p_2$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $f, g \in (\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S})$ and $S, T \in (\mathcal{D})'$.*

(2.20) *If $\text{Pf.}(f) \stackrel{w}{=} S$ and $\text{Pf.}(g) \stackrel{w}{=} T$ then*

$$\text{Pf.}(f+g) \stackrel{w}{=} S + T.$$

PROOF. Let p_1, p_2 be functions of the form (2.18) with the following properties respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_k(x) \varphi(x) dx - p_1(k, \varphi) \right) = S(\varphi), \\ & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_k(x) \varphi(x) dx - p_2(k, \varphi) \right) = T(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

for each $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$.

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (f_k(x) + g_k(x)) \varphi(x) dx - (p_1(k, \varphi) + p_2(k, \varphi)) \right) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_k(x) \varphi(x) dx - p_1(k, \varphi) \right) + \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_k(x) \varphi(x) dx - p_2(k, \varphi) \right) \\ &= S(\varphi) + T(\varphi) \\ &= (S + T)(\varphi) \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in (\mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

If we define a function p_0 by

$$p_0(k, \varphi) = p_1(k, \varphi) + p_2(k, \varphi),$$

then p_0 is a function of the form (2.18).

Thus we obtain $\text{Pf.}(f+g) \stackrel{w}{=} S + T$.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $f \in (\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S})$, $h \in (\mathcal{C})$ and $S \in (\mathcal{D})'$.*

$$(2.21) \quad \text{If } \text{Pf.}(f) \stackrel{w}{=} S \text{ then } \text{Pf.}(hf) \stackrel{w}{=} hS.$$

PROOF. Let p be a function of the form (2.18) having the following property:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_k(x) \varphi(x) dx - p(k, \varphi) \right) = S(\varphi) \quad \text{for each } \varphi \in (\mathcal{D}).$$

Let $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$. Since $h\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_k(x) h(x) \varphi(x) dx - p(k, h\varphi) \right) \\ &= S(h\varphi) \\ &= hS(\varphi). \end{aligned}$$

If we define a function p_0 by

$$p_0(k, \varphi) = p(k, h\varphi),$$

then p_0 is a function of the form (2.18).

Therefore we have (2.21).

3. Main Results

Let $\delta_* = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_k, \dots) \in (\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S})$ be a function having the following properties:

$$(3.1) \quad 0 < a_k < b_k,$$

$$(3.2) \quad a_k^{-1} \text{ is a monomial of } k,$$

$$(3.3) \quad b_k - a_k < e^{-k},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \delta_k \in (\mathcal{D}),$$

$$(3.5) \quad \delta_k(x) \geq 0,$$

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Car}(\delta_k) \subset (a_k, b_k),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_k(x) dx = 1.$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let δ_0 satisfy the same assumptions in Example 2.1. Then we have*

$$(3.8) \quad \delta_*^{(n)} \stackrel{w}{=} \delta_0^{(n)} \stackrel{w}{=} \delta^{(n)} \quad \text{if } n \in N.$$

PROOF. Clear from Definition 2.6.

THEOREM 3.1. Let $f_0(0)=f'_0(0)=\dots=f_0^{(m-1)}(0)=0$, $f_0^{(m)}(0)\neq 0$, $m \leq M$ and $c_l \in R$, $1 \leq l \leq M$. Let G be a function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \frac{1}{\frac{f_0(x)}{x^m}} \quad (x \neq 0), \\ G(0) &= \frac{1}{\frac{f_0^{(m)}(0)}{m!}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(3.9) \quad f_0(\delta_*^{(n)})/f_0 = \delta_*^{(n)},$$

$$(3.10) \quad f_0((\delta_*^{(n)} + \sum_{l=1}^M c_l x^l \delta_*)/f_0) \stackrel{w}{=} \delta_*^{(n)},$$

(3.11) *The distribution*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{Pf.} ((\delta_*^{(n)} + \sum_{l=1}^M c_l x^l \delta_*)/f_0) \\ &\stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{m-k} \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) \delta^{(m+n-k)} + \sum_{l=1}^m (-1)^{m-l} c_l G(x) \delta^{(m-l)} \end{aligned}$$

is the distributional general solution of the equation

$$f_0 T = \delta^{(n)}.$$

PROOF. We shall only prove (3.11).

Let $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\delta_k^{(n)}(x) \varphi(x)}{f_0(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \delta_k^{(n)}(x) \frac{\varphi(x)}{x^m} G(x) dx \\ &= (-1)^n \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \delta_k(x) \left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{x^m} G(x) \right)^{(n)} dx \\ &= (-1)^n \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \delta_k(x) \left(\left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{x^m} \right)^{(n)} G(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \cdots + \binom{n}{k} \left(\frac{\varphi(x)}{x^m} \right)^{(n-k)} G^{(k)}(x) + \cdots + \frac{\varphi(x)}{x^m} G^{(n)}(x) \right) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^n \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \delta_k(x) \left(\left(\cdots + \frac{n! \varphi^{(m+n)}(0)}{(m+n)!} + \cdots \right) G(x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \cdots + \left(\cdots + \binom{n}{k} \frac{(n-k)! \varphi^{(m+n-k)}(0)}{(m+n-k)!} + \cdots \right) G^{(k)}(x) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \cdots + \left(\cdots + \frac{\varphi^m(0)}{m!} + \cdots \right) G^{(n)}(x) \right) dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^n \left(\frac{n! \varphi^{(m+n)}(0)}{(m+n)!} G(0) + \cdots + \binom{n}{k} \frac{(n-k)! \varphi^{(m+n-k)}(0)}{(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \cdots + \frac{\varphi^{(m)}(0)}{m!} G^{(m)}(0) \right) = (-1)^m \frac{n!}{(m+n)!} G(0) \delta^{(m+n)}(\varphi) \\
&\quad + \cdots + (-1)^{(m-k)} \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) \delta^{(m+n-k)}(\varphi) \\
&\quad + \cdots + (-1)^{m-n} \frac{1}{m!} G^{(m)}(0) \delta^{(m)}(\varphi)
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\text{Pf.} \left(\frac{\delta_*^{(n)}}{f_0} \right) \stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{n=0}^k (-1)^{m-k} \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) \delta^{(m+n-k)}.$$

By Lemma 2.5, we see that

$$(3.12) \quad f_0 \text{Pf.} \left(\frac{\delta_*^{(n)}}{f_0} \right) \stackrel{w}{=} \delta^{(n)}.$$

We immediately have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_0 \text{Pf.} \left(\frac{c_l x^l \delta_*}{f_0} \right) &\stackrel{w}{=} \text{Pf.} (c_l x^l \delta_*) \stackrel{w}{=} c_l x^l \delta_* \stackrel{w}{=} 0, \\
\text{Pf.} \left(\frac{c_l x^l \delta_*}{f_0} \right) &\stackrel{w}{=} c_l G(x) \text{Pf.} \left(\frac{\delta_*}{x^{m-l}} \right) \\
&\stackrel{w}{=} c_l \frac{(-1)^{m-l}}{(m-l)!} G(x) \delta^{(m-l)} \quad (1 \leq l \leq m), \\
&\stackrel{w}{=} 0 \quad (m < l).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain (3.11).

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 be fulfilled and let $d_n \in R$, $0 \leq n \leq N$. Then*

$$(3.13) \quad f_0 \left(\left(\sum_{n=0}^N d_n \delta_*^{(n)} \right) / f_0 \right) = \sum_{n=0}^N d_n \delta_*^{(n)},$$

$$(3.14) \quad f_0 \left(\left(\sum_{n=0}^N d_n \delta_*^{(n)} + \sum_{l=1}^M c_l x^l \delta_* \right) / f_0 \right) \stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{n=0}^N d_n \delta_*^{(n)},$$

(3.15) *The distribution*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pf. } & \left(\left(\sum_{n=0}^N d_n \delta_*^{(n)} + \sum_{l=1}^M c_l x^l \delta_* \right) / f_0 \right) \\ & \stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{m-k} \frac{d_n n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) \delta^{(m+n-k)} + \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{m-l}}{(m-l)!} c_l G(x) \delta^{(m-l)}, \end{aligned}$$

is the distributional general solution of the equation

$$f_0 T = \sum_{n=0}^N d_n \delta^{(n)}.$$

PROOF. Clear from Lemma 2.4.

REMARK 3.1. We directly have (3.12) as follows: Let $\varphi \in (\mathcal{D})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^m \frac{n!}{(m+n)!} G(0) \delta^{(m+n)} (f_0 \varphi) + \cdots + (-1)^{m-k} \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) \delta^{(m+n-k)} (f_0 \varphi) \\ & + \cdots + (-1)^{m-n} \frac{1}{m!} G^{(n)}(0) \delta^{(m)} (f_0 \varphi) \\ & = (-1)^m (-1)^{m+n} \frac{n!}{(m+n)!} G(0) \delta((f_0 \varphi)^{(m+n)}) \\ & + \cdots + (-1)^{m-k} (-1)^{m+n-k} \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) \delta((f_0 \varphi)^{(m+n-k)}) \\ & + \cdots + (-1)^{m-n} (-1)^{m+n-n} \frac{1}{m!} \delta((f_0 \varphi)^{(m)}) \\ & = (-1)^n \frac{n!}{(m+n)!} G(0) \left(\binom{m+n}{m} f_0^{(m)}(x) \varphi^{(n)}(x) + \binom{m+n}{m+1} f_0^{(m+1)}(x) \varphi^{(n-1)}(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \cdots + \binom{m+n}{m+n} f_0^{(m+n)}(x) \right)_{x=0} \\ & + \cdots + (-1)^n \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) \left(\binom{m+n-k}{m} f_0^{(m)}(x) \varphi^{(n-k)}(x) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \cdots + \binom{m+n-k}{m+n-k} f_0^{(m+n-k)}(x) \varphi(x) \right)_{x=0} + \cdots + (-1)^n \frac{1}{m!} G^{(n)}(0) \left(f_0^{(m)}(x) \varphi(x) \right)_{x=0} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^n \frac{1}{m!} G(0) f_0^{(m)}(0) \varphi^{(n)}(0) + (-1)^n \frac{n!}{(n-1)!(m+1)!} G(0) f_0^{(m+1)}(0) \varphi^{(n-1)}(0) \\
&\quad + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{n!}{(m+n)!} G(0) f_0^{(m+n)}(0) \varphi(0) \\
&\quad + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!m!} G^{(k)}(0) f_0^{(m)}(0) \varphi^{(n-k)}(0) \\
&\quad + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{1}{m!} G^{(m)}(0) f_0^{(m)}(0) \varphi(0)
\end{aligned} \tag{A.}$$

Now, if we select the terms with $\varphi^{(n-k)}(0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)^n \frac{n!}{(n-k)!(m+k)!} G(0) f_0^{(m+k)}(0) \varphi^{(n-k)}(0) \\
&\quad + \dots + (-1)^n \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!m!} G^{(k)}(0) f_0^{(m)}(0) \varphi^{(n-k)}(0) \\
&= (-1)^n \varphi^{(n-k)}(0) \frac{n!}{(n-k)!(m+k)!} \left(G(0) f_0^{(m+k)}(0) + \dots + \frac{(m+k)!}{k!m!} G^{(k)}(0) f_0^{(m)}(0) \right) \\
&= (-1)^n \varphi^{(n-k)}(0) \frac{n!}{(n-k)!(m+k)!} \left((G(x)f_0(x))^{(m+k)} \right)_{x=0}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $G(x)f_0(x) = x^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(G(x)f_0(x) \right)_{x=0}^{(m)} = m!, \\
&\left((G(x)f_0(x))^{(m+k)} \right)_{x=0} = 0 \quad (1 \leq k \leq n).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(A) &= (-1)^n \varphi^{(n)}(0) \frac{n!}{m!n!} m! \\
&= (-1)^n \varphi^{(n)}(0) \\
&= \delta^{(n)}(\varphi).
\end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.2. Let $f_0^{(m)}(0) = 0$ for each $m \in N$, $m \leq M$ and $c_l \in R$, $1 \leq l \leq M$. Then

$$(3.16) \quad f_0(\delta_*^{(n)}/f_0) = \delta_*^{(n)},$$

$$(3.17) \quad f_0((\delta_*^{(n)} + \sum_{l=1}^M c_l x^l \delta_*)/f_0) \stackrel{w}{=} \delta_*^{(n)},$$

(3.18) The equation

$$f_0 T = \delta^{(n)}$$

does not have any distributional solution.

PROOF. We shall only prove (3.18).

Since

$$f_0 \delta^{(m)} \stackrel{w}{=} 0 \quad \text{if } m \in N,$$

we immediately have (3.18).

THEOREM 3.3. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 be fulfilled. Then*

$$(3.19) \quad f_0((g_0 \delta_*^{(n)})/f_0) = g_0 \delta_*^{(n)},$$

$$(3.20) \quad f_0((g_0 \delta_*^{(n)} + \sum_{l=1}^M c_l x^l \delta_*)/f_0) \stackrel{w}{=} g_0 \delta_*^{(n)},$$

(3.21) *The distribution*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Pf.} ((g_0 \delta_*^{(n)} + \sum_{l=1}^M c_l x^l \delta_*)/f_0) \\ & \stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{m-k} \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) g_0(x) \delta^{(m+n-k)} + \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{m-l}}{(m-l)!} c_l G(x) \delta^{(m-l)} \end{aligned}$$

is the distributional general solution of (1.2).

PROOF. We shall only prove (3.21).
We have, by Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Pf.} ((g_0 \delta_*^{(n)})/f_0) \stackrel{w}{=} g_0 \text{Pf.} (\delta_*^{(n)}/f_0) \\ & \stackrel{w}{=} g_0(x) \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{m-k} \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) \delta^{(m+n-k)} \right) \\ & \stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{m-k} \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) g_0(x) \delta^{(m+n-k)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have (3.21).

COROLFORY 3.2. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 be fulfilled and let $g_n \in (\mathcal{E})$, $0 \leq n \leq N$. then*

$$(3.22) \quad f_0((\sum_{n=0}^N g_n \delta_*^{(n)})/f_0) = \delta_*^{(n)},$$

$$(3.23) \quad f_0((\sum_{n=0}^N g_n \delta_*^{(n)} + \sum_{l=1}^M c_l x^l \delta_*)/f_0) \stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{n=0}^N g_n \delta_*^{(n)},$$

(3.24) *The distribution*

$$\text{Pf.} ((\sum_{n=0}^N g_n \delta_*^{(n)} + \sum_{l=1}^M c_l x^l \delta_*)/f_0)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{w}{=} \sum_{n=0}^N \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{m-k} \frac{n!}{k!(m+n-k)!} G^{(k)}(0) g_n(x) \delta^{(m+n-k)} \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^m \frac{(-1)^{m-l}}{(m-l)!} c_l G(x) \delta^{(m-l)}
\end{aligned}$$

is the distributional general solution of the equation

$$f_0 T = \sum_{n=0}^N g_n \delta^{(n)}.$$

PROOF. Clear from Lemma 2.4 and Theorem 3.3.

References

- [1] Y. KURIBAYASHI, On the finite parts of divergent integrals, J. Fac. Educ. Tottori Univ., Nat. Sci., 26-1-2 (1975), 17-23.
- [2] G. TAKEUTI, On Dirac spaces (in Japanese), Kagaku, 32-9 (1962), 452-456.

