

# Change of Variable in the Finite Parts of Divergent Integrals

Yukio KURIBAYASHI\*

(Received September 14, 1974)

## 1. Introduction

In the present paper we intend to give a method of change of variable in the finite parts of divergent integrals. Related work has been discussed by L. Schwartz [1].

## 2. Change of variable in the finite parts of divergent integrals

We use the notation  $R^N$  as follows:

$$R^N = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k, \dots); x_k \in R \text{ for all } k \in N\},$$

where  $R$  denotes the set of all real numbers,  $N$  denotes the set of all natural numbers.

DEFINITION 1. Let  $a \in R^N$  and let  $f$  be a real valued function having the following property:

There exists a natural number  $k_0$  such that  $f(a_k)$  is defined whenever  $k \geq k_0$ . Define

$$f(a) = (f^*(a_1), f^*(a_2), \dots, f^*(a_k), \dots),$$

where  $f^*(a_k)$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(a_k) &= f(a_k) && \text{where } f(a_k) \text{ is defined and} \\ f^*(a_k) &= 0 && \text{elsewhere.} \end{aligned}$$

DEFINITION 2. Let  $a, b \in R^N$ . Define  $a = b$  [resp.  $a < b$ ,  $a \leq b$ ] if there exists a natural number  $k_0$  such that  $a_k = b_k$  [resp.  $a_k < b_k$ ,  $a_k \leq b_k$ ] whenever  $k \geq k_0$ ,

DEFINITION 3 (Interval). Let  $a, b \in R^N$  and  $a \leq b$ . Define

$$[a, b] = ([a_1, b_1]^*, [a_2, b_2]^*, \dots, [a_k, b_k]^*, \dots),$$

where  $[a_k, b_k]^*$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [a_k, b_k]^* &= [a_k, b_k] && \text{for } a_k \leq b_k, \\ [a_k, b_k]^* &= \phi && \text{for } a_k > b_k. \end{aligned}$$

---

\* Laboratory of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Tottori University, Tottori, Japan.

DEFINITION 4. A function  $f$  is said to be summable [resp. bounded, measurable, absolutely continuous] on the interval  $[a, b]$  if there exists a natural number  $k_0$  such that  $f$  is summable [resp. bounded, measurable, absolutely continuous] on the interval  $[a_k, b_k]$  whenever  $k \geq k_0$ .

DEFINITION 5 (Integral). Let  $f$  be summable on the interval  $[a, b]$ . Define

$$\int_a^b f(x)dx = \left( \int_{a_1}^{b_1} f(x)dx^*, \int_{a_2}^{b_2} f(x)dx^*, \dots, \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x)dx^*, \dots \right),$$

where  $\int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x)dx^*$  is defined as follows:

$$\int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x)dx^* = \int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x)dx \quad \text{where } f \text{ is summable on the interval } [a_k, b_k] \text{ and}$$

$$\int_{a_k}^{b_k} f(x)dx^* = 0 \quad \text{elsewhere.}$$

DEFINITION 6 (Finite part). Let  $f$  be summable on the interval  $[a, b]$ . Define

$$\text{Pf. } \int_a^d f(x)dx = I,$$

if there exists a function  $g$  such that

$$g(k) = c_0 \log k + c_1 k^{\lambda_1} + \dots + c_l k^{\lambda_l}$$

for all  $k \in N$ , and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{a_k}^{d_k} f(x)dx - g(k) \right) = I,$$

where  $c_i, 0 \leq i \leq l$ , are real constants.

The following theorem is derived from the above definitions.

**THEOREM.** Let  $f$  be bounded and measurable on the interval  $[a, b]$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be absolutely continuous on the interval  $[\alpha, \beta]$  having the following property: There exists a natural number  $k_0$  such that  $a_k \leq \varphi(t) \leq b_k$  for all  $t \in [\alpha_k, \beta_k]$  whenever  $k \geq k_0$ .

Then we have

$$\text{Pf. } \int_{\varphi(\alpha)}^{\varphi(\beta)} f(x)dx = \text{Pf. } \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\varphi(t))\dot{\varphi}(t)dt,$$

where  $\dot{\varphi}$  is defined as follows:

$$\dot{\varphi}(t) = \varphi'(t) \quad \text{where } \varphi'(t) \text{ is defined and finite and}$$

$$\dot{\varphi}(t) = 0 \quad \text{elsewhere.}$$

**PROOF.** There exists a natural number  $k_1$  such that

- (1)  $f$  is bounded and measurable on the interval  $[a_k, b_k]$  and
- (2)  $\varphi$  is absolutely continuous on the interval  $[\alpha_k, \beta_k]$  and  $a_k \leq \varphi(t) \leq b_k$  for all  $t \in [\alpha_k, \beta_k]$ ,  
whenever  $k \geq k_1$ .

By (1) and (2), we have

$$\int_{\varphi(\alpha_k)}^{\varphi(\beta_k)} f(x) dx = \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} f(\varphi(t)) \dot{\varphi}(t) dt,$$

whenever  $k \geq k_1$ .

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\varphi(\alpha)}^{\varphi(\beta)} f(x) dx &= \left( \int_{\varphi(\alpha_1)}^{\varphi(\beta_1)} f(x) dx^*, \dots, \int_{\varphi(\alpha_k)}^{\varphi(\beta_k)} f(x) dx^*, \dots \right) \\ &= \left( \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} f(\varphi(t)) \dot{\varphi}(t) dt^*, \dots, \int_{\alpha_k}^{\beta_k} f(\varphi(t)) \dot{\varphi}(t) dt^*, \dots \right) = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\varphi(t)) \dot{\varphi}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Definition 5 we have the result that

$$\text{Pf.} \int_{\varphi(\alpha)}^{\varphi(\beta)} f(x) dx = \text{Pf.} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} f(\varphi(t)) \dot{\varphi}(t) dt.$$

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$ ,  $\varphi(t) = 2t$ ,  $\alpha = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots, \frac{1}{2k}, \dots\right)$ ,  $\beta = \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, \dots\right)$ .

Then

$$\int_{\frac{1}{k}}^1 \frac{1}{x} dx = \int_{\frac{1}{2k}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{t} dt = \log k$$

for all  $k \in N$ . Therefore

$$\text{Pf.} \int_{\varphi(\alpha)}^{\varphi(\beta)} \frac{1}{x} dx = \text{Pf.} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \frac{1}{t} dt = 0.$$

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $\alpha = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{k}, \dots\right)$ ,  $\beta = (1, 2, \dots, k, \dots)$

and let  $\phi$  be an indefinitely differentiable function with compact support.

Let  $H$  be a function of  $R$  onto  $R$  having the following properties:

- (1)  $H(0) = 0$ ,
- (2)  $H$  is continuously differentiable,
- (3)  $H'(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .

Since

$$\int_{\frac{1}{k}}^k \phi(H^{-1}(y)) \frac{dy}{y} = \int_{H^{-1}(\frac{1}{k})}^{H^{-1}(k)} \phi(x) \frac{H'(x)}{H(x)} dx$$

for all  $k \in N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{Pf. } \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \phi(H^{-1}(y)) \frac{dy}{y} \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{\frac{1}{k}}^k \phi(H^{-1}(y)) \frac{dy}{y} - \phi(0) \log k \right) \\
&= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{H^{-1}(\frac{1}{k})}^{H^{-1}(k)} \phi(x) \frac{H'(x)}{H(x)} dx - \phi(0) \log k \right) \\
&= \text{Pf. } \int_{H^{-1}(\alpha)}^{H^{-1}(\beta)} \phi(x) \frac{H'(x)}{H(x)} dx.
\end{aligned}$$

### Reference

- [1] L. SCHWARTZ, Théorie des distributions, Hermann, Paris (1973), 41, 383.