Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic-based Assessment on the Efficacy of Cephamycins for Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase-Producing Enterobacterales Infections in Dogs (犬の基質特異性拡張型 β-ラクタマーゼ産生菌 感染症に対するセファマイシン系抗菌薬の 薬物動態学・薬力学解析に基づく有効性評価)

KUSUMOTO, Mizuki

2024

Contents

| | Pages |
|--|----------|
| General Introduction | 1 |
| Chapter 1 | 5 |
| <i>In vitro</i> efficacy of cephamycins against multiple extended spectrum β-lac | ctamase- |
| producing Klebsiella pneumoniae, Proteus mirabilis, and Enterobacter | cloacae |
| isolates from dogs and cats | |
| 1. Introduction | 6 |
| 2. Materials and Methods | 6 |
| 1) Bacterial strains | 6 |
| 2) Antimicrobial susceptibility testing | 7 |
| 3) Statical analysis | 8 |
| 3. Results and Discussion | 8 |
| Chapter 2 | 26 |
| Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis of cefmetazole against o | extended |
| spectrum β -lactamase-producing Enterobacterales in dogs using Mon | te Carlo |
| simulation | |
| 1. Introduction | 27 |
| 2. Materials and Methods | 27 |
| 1) Animals | 27 |
| 2) Cefmetazole administration and serum sampling | 28 |
| 3) Sample preparation | 28 |

| 4) Determination of cefmetazole concentrations | 29 |
|---|--|
| 5) Monte Carlo simulation | 29 |
| 3. Results | 30 |
| 1) Animals | 30 |
| 2) Pharmacokinetics parameters of cefmetazole in dogs | 30 |
| 3) Nonclinical pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics cutoff and cumulative fraction | |
| of response of cefmetazole for extended spectrum β -lactamase-producing | |
| Enterobacterales in dogs | 31 |
| 4. Discussion | 31 |
| | |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of | 39 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase- | 39 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase- producing Enterobacterales | 39 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales 1. Introduction | 39 40 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales 1. Introduction 2. Materials and Methods | 39 40 40 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales 1. Introduction 2. Materials and Methods Animals | 39 40 40 40 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales 1. Introduction 2. Materials and Methods Animals Flomoxef administration and serum sampling | 39 40 40 40 41 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales 1. Introduction 2. Materials and Methods Animals Flomoxef administration and serum sampling Determination of serum flomoxef concentrations in dogs | 39 40 40 40 41 41 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales 1. Introduction 2. Materials and Methods Animals Flomoxef administration and serum sampling Determination of serum flomoxef concentrations in dogs Calculation of pharmacokinetics parameters | 39 40 40 40 41 41 41 42 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales 1. Introduction 2. Materials and Methods Animals Flomoxef administration and serum sampling Determination of serum flomoxef concentrations in dogs Calculation of pharmacokinetics parameters Monte Carlo simulation | 39 40 40 40 41 41 41 42 42 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales 1. Introduction 2. Materials and Methods Animals Flomoxef administration and serum sampling Determination of serum flomoxef concentrations in dogs Calculation of pharmacokinetics parameters Monte Carlo simulation 3. Results | 39 40 40 40 41 41 41 42 42 43 |
| Chapter 3 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamases producing Enterobacterales 1. Introduction 2. Materials and Methods Animals Flomoxef administration and serum sampling Determination of serum flomoxef concentrations in dogs Calculation of pharmacokinetics parameters Monte Carlo simulation Results Animals | 39 40 40 40 41 41 41 42 42 43 43 |

- 3) Nonclinical pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics cutoff and cumulative fraction
 of response of flomoxef for extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing
 Enterobacterales in dogs
 43
- 4. Discussion

Chapter 4

51

44

Application of Monte Carlo simulation to examine the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of latamoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase infections in dogs

| 1. | Iı | ntroduction | 52 |
|----|----|---|----|
| 2. | Ν | faterials and Methods | 52 |
| | 1) | Animals | 52 |
| | 2) | Latamoxef administration and blood sampling | 53 |
| | 3) | Serum latamoxef concentration measurement | 53 |
| | 4) | Pharmacokinetics parameter computation | 54 |
| | 5) | Monte Carlo simulation | 54 |
| 3. | R | Lesults | 55 |
| | 1) | Animals | 55 |
| | 2) | Pharmacokinetics profiles of latamoxef in dogs | 55 |
| | 3) | Nonclinical pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics cutoff and cumulative fraction | |
| | | of response of latamoxef for canine extended spectrum β -lactamase infections | 55 |
| 4. | Ľ | Discussion | 56 |
| | | | |

General Conclusion

| References | 65 |
|----------------------|----|
| Acknowledgments | 79 |
| Summary | 80 |
| List of Publications | 86 |

General Introduction

Extended spectrum β -lactamases (ESBLs) are β -lactamases classified as class A or D in the Ambler system and group 2be in the Bush-Medeiros-Jacoby system (Ambler, 1980; Bush & Jacoby, 2010). They have been found in many Enterobacterales, and are associated with both chromosomes and plasmids (Castanheira *et al.*, 2021). A notable feature of ESBLs is to hydrolyze third-generation cephalosporins, in addition to penicillins, first- and second-generation cephalosporins, and monobactams, although they can be inhibited by β -lactamase inhibitors (Pitout & Laupland, 2008; Castanheira *et al.*, 2021). Thus, Enterobacterales that produce extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBL-E) are essentially resistant to a wide range of β -lactams. In addition, most ESBL-E exhibit resistance to the other classes of antimicrobials for the following reasons. One reason is that the plasmids encoding ESBL genes often carry resistance genes for different classes of antimicrobials. Another reason is that ESBL-E are frequently subjected to selective pressure with other classes of antimicrobials. These circumstances can limit the number of effective antimicrobial drugs available for the treatment of infections caused by ESBL-E (ESBL infections) (Pitout & Laupland, 2008).

ESBL-E are widely distributed in both the veterinary and human domains worldwide (Castanheira *et al.*, 2021; Tseng *et al.*, 2023). A meta-analysis by Salgado-Caxito *et al.* (2021) revealed that ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* are widely distributed in companion animals across all continents, with a prevalence of 6.87% in dogs and 5.04% in cats. The previous studies identified ESBLs in 15.5% of *E. coli*, 34% of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, 3.6% of *Proteus mirabilis*, and 36.4% of *Enterobacter cloacae* isolates from companion animals in Japan (Harada *et al.*, 2017; Maeyama *et al.*, 2018). These ESBL-E have been the most frequently isolated from companion animals with urinary tract infections, followed by skin and respiratory tract infections (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017; Woerde *et al.*, 2023). Most of ESBL-E in companion

animals have multidrug-resistance phenotypes including resistance to almost all veterinary antimicrobial products, making their treatment complex (Woerde *et al.*, 2023). However, therapeutic guidelines for ESBL infections in companion animals have not yet been established.

Carbapenems are primarily used for the treatment of ESBL infections in human medicine because of the high in vitro and clinical efficacy of ESBL-E (Perezt et al., 2007; Karaiskos & Giamarellou, 2020). However, the use of carbapenems has a risk of selecting and increasing carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE), which exhibits a more serious multidrugresistance phenotype than ESBL-E (Perezt et al., 2007). In CRE, carbapenem resistance can be brought about by the production of carbapenemase, β -lactamases classified as class A, B, or D in the Ambler system, mutation/deletion of porins, and/or efflux of antimicrobial substances by efflux pumps (Ma et al., 2023). The World Health Organization has listed CRE as the most important priority pathogen for new antimicrobial product development (Tacconelli et al., 2017; Tompkins et al., 2021). Although the true prevalence of CRE in companion animals is unknown, there have been several reports on CRE isolation in dogs and cats worldwide (Köck et al., 2018; KuKanich et al., 2023). Such prevalence of CRE in companion animals represents not only a serious concern in veterinary medicine but also potential public health threats by transmitting to surrounding people through close contact (Roscetto et al., 2021). These findings emphasize the need to explore alternatives to carbapenems for the treatment of ESBL infections in companion animal medicine.

In recent years, the development of new antimicrobial product has become more difficult in veterinary medicine (Prescott, 2017). Such a background can promote the effective utilization of human antimicrobial products in companion animals. Cephamycins, including cefmetazole (CMZ), flomoxef (FMX), and latamoxef (moxalactam, LMX), are hardly hydrolyzed by ESBLs due to the presence of 7α methoxy constituents (Neu, 1986; Jacoby & Carreras, 1990). These cephamycins have a high *in vitro* susceptibility rate of > 90% against human-origin ESBL-E (Sato *et al.*, 2015; Yang *et al.*, 2015; Jung *et al.*, 2019). These cephamycins are also highly safe for dogs: no observed adverse effect levels of CMZ, FMX, and LMX in dogs have been reported to be 1,200, 200, and 400 mg/kg/day, respectively (Masuda *et al.*, 1978; Kobayashi *et al.*, 1980; Mitsuzono *et al.*, 1987), which is extremely high compared to human dosage (i.e., a maximum of 37.5 mg/kg four times per day, respectively). These findings raise the possibility that CMZ, FMX, and LMX may be alternatives to carbapenems for the treatment of dogs with ESBL infections. However, the *in vitro* activity of these cephamycins against ESBL-E from companion animals remains to be fully investigated. In addition, there is little knowledge of the pharmacokinetics (PK) of these cephamycins in dogs, because these drugs are approved for use in humans but not in dogs. Therefore, appropriate dosage regimens of cephamycins for canine ESBL infections remain to be investigated.

In the last decade, PK/pharmacodynamics (PD) analysis using Monte Carlo simulation (MCS) has been practically used in many researches to consider appropriate dosage regimens of antimicrobial products (Trang *et al.*, 2017; Toutain *et al.*, 2020). MCS can create a large virtual population via randomization of PK/PD indices (PDIs), which allows estimation of the probability of target attainment (PTA) by dosage regimen (Trang *et al.*, 2017). The measurement of PTAs contributes to determining the following values essential to estimate the appropriateness of dosage regimens: nonclinical PK/PD cutoff and cumulative fraction of response (CFR). The nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values are defined as the highest minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of those achieve a PTA of 90% or higher (Toutain *et al.*, 2020), and are utilized as one of the valuable indices when the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) establishes susceptibility breakpoints for each antimicrobial substance (Toutain *et al.*, 2020; CLSI, 2023). The CFR, which represents the expected population of PTA for a specific dosage of antimicrobial product for a specific MIC distribution of microorganisms, is calculated by multiplying the PTA calculated for each MIC by the percentage of the microbial

population (Drusano *et al.*, 2001; Bradley *et al.*, 2003; Wang *et al.*, 2021). Such MCS-based PK/PD approaches have been frequently used to assess the efficacy of antibiotics in human medicine. MCS has been increasingly used in veterinary medicine in recent years (Toutain *et al.*, 2020), and has thereby contributed to the establishment of veterinary-specific breakpoints, as described in the CLSI guidelines (CLSI guideline M23, 2023).

This thesis consists of four chapters. In Chapter 1, the author determined MICs of CMZ, FMX, and LMX in wild-type ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae*, *P. mirabilis*, and *E. cloacae* isolates from companion animals to investigate the PD index in Enterobacterales other than *E. coli*.

In Chapters 2, 3, and 4, the author performed intravenous administration studies of CMZ, FMX, and LMX, respectively, using healthy beagle dogs, and calculated PK parameters based on blood concentrations of these cephamycins. In addition, the author determined the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values of these cephamycins in dogs by using PK parameters. Furthermore, CFRs were estimated based on wild-type MIC distributions in ESBL-E isolated from companion animals. Considering these findings, the author finally proposed clinically effective dosage regimens of cephamycins for ESBL infections in dogs.

Chapter 1

In vitro efficacy of cephamycins against multiple extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, and *Enterobacter cloacae* isolates from dogs and cats

1. Introduction

The cephamycins CMZ, FMX, and LMX are hardly hydrolyzed by ESBLs (Neu, 1986; Jacoby & Carreras, 1990). In human medicine, the primary antimicrobial products of choice for the treatment of ESBL infections is carbapenems, but CMZ, FMX, and LMX are considered carbapenem-sparing antibiotics (Huang *et al.*, 2019; Karaiskos & Giamarellou, 2020). Shimizu *et al.* (2017) have demonstrated the high *in vitro* efficacy of these cephamycins against ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates from companion animals. However, the efficacy of these cephamycins against other ESBL-E has not yet been investigated.

AmpC β -lactamases (ABLs) are β -lactamases classified as class C in the Ambler system and group 1e in the Bush-Medeiros-Jacoby system (Ambler, 1980; Bush & Jacoby, 2010), and can hydrolyze third-generation or extended-spectrum cephalosporins (Paterson, 2006; Jacoby, 2009; Bush & Jacoby, 2010). Unlike ESBLs, ABLs also hydrolyze cephamycins, in addition to clavulanate and other β -lactamase inhibitors (Jacoby, 2009; Bush & Jacoby, 2010). The previous studies identified ABLs in ESBL-E from companion animals (Harada *et al.*, 2016; Harada *et al.*, 2017; Shimizu *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, it is important to investigate the prevalence of ABLs among ESBL-E to assess the efficacy of cephamycins.

The objective of this chapter was to compare the effects of CMZ, FMX, and LMX with those of meropenem (MEM), one of the carbapenems, on *K. pneumoniae*, *P. mirabilis*, and *E. cloacae* isolated from companion animals that produce ESBLs with or without ABLs *in vitro*.

2. Materials and Methods

1) Bacterial strains

A total of 218 ESBL-producing strains of *K. pneumoniae* (n = 120), *P. mirabilis* (n = 29), and *E. cloacae* (n = 69) were collected from 136 dogs and 82 cats treated at Japanese veterinary clinics between 2019 and 2022. These isolates were obtained from collections ordered to

commercial labs for clinical purposes. The isolates were obtained from multiple anatomical sites evaluated by clinical veterinarians as sites of bacterial infection. *K. pneumoniae* strains were isolated from the urinary tract (n = 83), pus (n = 13), respiratory organs (n = 6), skin (n = 4), ear (n = 3), and others (n = 11); *P. mirabilis* strains were isolated from urinary tract (n = 23) and others (n = 6); and *E. cloacae* strains were isolated from urinary tract (n = 45), skin (n = 9), respiratory organs (n = 3), and others (n = 12). Further details of the isolates in this study are given in Table 1. No information was available regarding previous antimicrobial treatment of the dogs and cats.

Bacterial species were identified by monitoring their growth on deoxycholate-hydrogen sulfide-lactose agar (Eiken Chemical Co., Ltd., Tochigi, Japan) and using the Crystal Enteric/Non-Fermenter ID kit (Bruker Daltonik, Bremen, Germany), API 20E kit (SYSMEX bioMérieux, Tokyo, Japan), and/or MALDI-TOF MS was evaluated with the Bruker MALDI Biotyper system (Bruker Daltonik, Bremen, Germany). After the identification of bacterial species, all isolates were stored at -80°C in 10% skim milk. ESBL and ABL production was confirmed for all isolates using the ABL and ESBL Detection Set (MAST Group Ltd., Merseyside, UK). This set contained cefpodoxime disks, alone and in combination with ABL and/or ESBL inhibitors (Rodríguez-Guerrero *et al.*, 2022). Such phenotypic tests cannot detect inducible chromosomal ABLs (Rodríguez-Guerrero *et al.*, 2022), which are widely prevalent in *E. cloacae* but not in *K. pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis* (Jacoby, 2009); therefore, these tests can mainly help in detecting plasmid-mediated ABLs. The results were interpreted as previously described (Rodríguez-Guerrero *et al.*, 2022; Shimizu *et al.*, 2017).

2) Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

All isolates were tested for susceptibility to the following antimicrobial products: CMZ (Nichi-Iko Pharmaceutical Co. Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), FMX (Shionogi Co. Ltd, Osaka, Japan),

LMX (Shionogi Co. Ltd, Osaka, Japan), and MEM (Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd., Osaka, Japan). MICs were determined using the agar dilution method according to CLSI guidelines (CLSI standard VET01, 2018) and were interpreted based on the CLSI breakpoints (CLSI supplement M100, 2022) for CMZ, LMX, and MEM except FMX, for which the breakpoint of LMX was used instead, according to the previous study (Yang *et al.*, 2015; Matsumura *et al.*, 2016; Shimizu *et al.*, 2017). Strains of *E. coli* ATCC 25922 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ATCC 27853 were used as quality controls.

3) Statical analysis

The antimicrobial susceptibility rates between the two groups were compared using Fisher's exact test. A P value < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results and Discussion

Research into antimicrobial products of carbapenem-sparing candidates is an urgent issue for the treatment of ESBL infections without the risk of developing CRE. To solve this problem, the author first investigated the *in vitro* efficacy of cephamycins against a large collection of representative ESBL-E other than *E. coli* isolated from dogs and cats and compared the susceptibility of these cephamycins with that of MEM.

It is important to determine the distribution of ABLs in ESBL-E when considering the use of cephamycin, since ABLs, unlike ESBLs, can hydrolyze cephamycins (Jacoby, 2009; Rodríguez-Guerrero *et al.*, 2018). Confirmatory phenotypic testing found only ESBLs in 112 *K. pneumoniae* (93.3%), 29 *P. mirabilis* (100%), and 54 *E. cloacae* (78.3%) isolates, while both ESBL and ABL (mainly, plasmid-mediated ABLs) were detected in only 8 *K. pneumoniae* (6.7%) and 15 *E. cloacae* (21.7%) isolates. A similar prevalence of ABLs was confirmed in previous studies with a smaller number of ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* and *E. cloacae*

(Harada *et al.*, 2016; Harada *et al.*, 2017). It appears that the prevalence of ABLs is likely to differ among ESBL-E species, and this should be taken into account when using cephamycins for ESBL infections.

The results of the susceptibility testing in this study are summarized in Table 2 and the distribution of MIC for the tested substances is shown in Figs. 1–3. All isolates tested, with or without ABLs, were highly susceptible to MEM, indicating that carbapenems have high efficacy against ESBL-producing isolates of *K. pneumoniae*, *P. mirabilis*, and *E. cloacae*, as well as *E. coli* (Shimizu *et al.*, 2018), from companion animals. However, previous studies showed the prevalence of CRE in pets (Guerra *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, the use of carbapenems for pets infected with ESBL-E should be carefully considered due to the potential public health risk of CRE (Roscetto *et al.*, 2021).

Cefmetazole is an antimicrobial candidate for infections caused by ESBL-E in humans (Doi *et al.*, 2013; Fukuchi *et al.*, 2016). In this study, there were no significant differences in susceptibility rates between CMZ and MEM in *K. pneumoniae* isolates producing only ESBL (P > 0.05), indicating that CMZ has non-inferior *in vitro* efficacy against ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates, as well as *E. coli* isolates (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017), when compared to carbapenems. These findings were supported by previous *in vitro* studies using human isolates (Yang *et al.*, 2015; Matsumura *et al.*, 2016). However, most *K. pneumoniae* isolates producing both ESBL and ABL demonstrated extremely high MICs ($\geq 16 \ \mu g/mL$) which resulted in significantly decreased rates of CMZ susceptibility (P < 0.05). This decreased CMZ susceptibility in ESBL and ABL-producing *K. pneumoniae* was previously confirmed in isolates from both animals and humans (Matsumura *et al.*, 2016; Shimizu *et al.*, 2017). This was due to the high stability of ABLs to CMZ (Jacoby, 2009). ESBL-producing *P. mirabilis* isolates exhibited a CMZ susceptibility rate $\geq 80\%$, but this was somewhat lower than the rate in previous studies (95.5–100%) (Yang *et al.*, 2015; Matsumura *et al.*, 2016). One possible

reason is that the *P. mirabilis* isolates in the current study had ABL-independent resistance mechanisms, such as less production of porin (Ito *et al.*, 2022) and expression of multidrug efflux pump (Wang *et al.*, 2021b); however, further studies are warranted to confirm the role of these resistance mechanisms. Additionally, *E. cloacae* isolates had higher MICs than other bacterial species, resulting in their significantly lower susceptibility rates (P < 0.05). This may be explained by the fact that most *E. cloacae* have inducible chromosomal ABLs (Jacoby *et al.*, 2009), in addition to plasmid-mediated ABLs, which were mainly detected in this study. A previous study demonstrated that most *E. cloacae* isolates exhibited significant resistance to CMZ regardless of the presence of ESBLs (Harada *et al.*, 2017). The results of the current study indicate that CMZ should be limited to the treatment of infection with ESBL- and non-ABLproducing isolates of *K. pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis*, as well as *E. coli*.

Of the cephamycins, FMX and LMX are subclassified as oxacephems due to the S-O replacement in the 7β-difluoromethylthioacetamido derivative (Tsuji *et al.*, 1985). There are several clinical studies on the efficacy of FMX, as well as CMZ, for treating people with ESBL infections (Lee *et al.*, 2015; Matsumura *et al.*, 2015). The results of this study showed clear differences of *in vitro* activity against ESBL-E between the two substances. FMX was found to be non-inferior to MEM in *K. pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis* isolates that only produced ESBL. Similar findings were observed in animal-origin ESBL-producing *E. coli* (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017) and human-origin ESBL-E isolates (Yang *et al.*, 2015; Matsumura *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, this study found significantly reduced rates of susceptibility in *E. cloacae* isolates alongside the *K. pneumoniae* isolates that produced both ESBL and ABL, although they were not comparable to CMZ. However, LMX had the same high *in vitro* potency as MEM in all *K. pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis* isolates producing ESBL with or without ABL. Surprisingly, LMX was also non-inferior to MEM against *E. cloacae* isolates producing only ESBL with an MIC $\leq 0.25 \mu$ g/mL. However, *E. cloacae* isolates producing both ESBLs and ABLs had lower susceptibility rates

to LMX as well as CMZ and FMX. To the best of her knowledge, this is the first report on LMX susceptibility of ESBL-producing *P. mirabilis* and *E. cloacae* but not ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* (Sato *et al.*, 2015; Salgado-Caxito *et al.*, 2021). These results imply that of the three cephamycins, LMX is the least likely to be hydrolyzed by ABLs and/or induce chromosomal ABLs.

There were no significant differences in the susceptibility rates in dogs and cats, except for CMZ susceptibility rates in *K. pneumoniae*, which was significantly lower in dogs than in cats. This finding can be explained by the higher prevalence of ABL-producing *K. pneumoniae* in dogs. However, this finding cannot be necessarily generalized because the insufficient number of *K. pneumoniae* isolates were used in this study. Thus, differences in cephamycin susceptibility rates between animal species should be further considered by more extensive investigations.

In conclusion, the author evaluated the *in vitro* activity of three cephamycins against representative ESBL-E isolates from companion animals. Results indicated that all three cephamycins can be carbapenem-sparing antibiotics against infection with *K. pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis* isolates producing only ESBL. However, of the cephamycins tested, LMX is the best option for ESBL-E producing any ABLs.

| Isolates | Isolation | Animala | Isolation | Product | Production of: ^{a)} MICs (µg/mL) for | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|---------|---|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| No. ^{a)} | years | Animais | sites | ESBL | ABL | CMZ | FMX | LMX | MEM |
| KP1 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP2 | 2021 | Dog | Skin | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP3 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP4 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP5 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| KP6 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP7 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 32 | 2 | 8 | 0.5 |
| KP8 | 2021 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP9 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP10 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP11 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| KP12 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| KP13 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP14 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP15 | 2021 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 1 | 0.063 |
| KP16 | 2021 | Dog | Eye | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| KP17 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 1 | 0.063 |
| KP18 | 2021 | Dog | Unknown | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP19 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP20 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP21 | 2021 | Dog | Unknown | + | - | 8 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP22 | 2021 | Dog | Genitals | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP23 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP24 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 8 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP25 | 2021 | Cat | Skin | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP26 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP27 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP28 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP29 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP30 | 2021 | Dog | Ear | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP31 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP32 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |

Table 1a. The details of K. pneumoniae isolates used in this study

| KP34 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.63 KP35 2021 Dog Bile + - 8 1 2 0.063 KP36 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 8 1 2 0.063 KP37 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.63 KP39 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 128 8 32 0.125 KP40 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 16 0.5 1 0.031 KP41 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.125 0.31 KP42 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.51 0.063 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.51 0.663 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - </th <th>KP33</th> <th>2021</th> <th>Cat</th> <th>Urinary tract</th> <th>+</th> <th>-</th> <th>4</th> <th>0.125</th> <th>0.25</th> <th>0.031</th> | KP33 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
|--|------|------|-----|--------------------|---|---|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| KP35 2021 Dog Bile + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP36 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 1 2 0.063 KP37 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 1.0 0.031 KP38 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.128 8.0 3.2 0.125 KP40 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 16 0.5 1 0.031 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.50 0.031 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.50 0.031 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.50 0.031 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.50 0.053 KP45 2021 Dog | KP34 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP36 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 1 2 0.063 KP37 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 0.031 KP38 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.50 0.63 KP40 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 16 0.5 1 0.31 KP44 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.50 0.31 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.50 0.63 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.55 0.63 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.55 0.63 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.63 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + | KP35 | 2021 | Dog | Bile | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP37 2021 Cat Urinary trace + - 2 0.125 1 0.031 KP38 2021 Cat Urinary trace + - 1 0.125 0.50 0.633 KP39 2021 Dog Urinary trace + - 128 8 32 0.125 KP40 2021 Cat Urinary trace + - 16 0.5 1 0.031 KP41 2021 Dog Urinary trace + - 2 0.125 0.51 0.63 KP42 2021 Dog Urinary trace + - 2 0.125 0.51 0.63 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary trace + - 8 0.5 1 0.63 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary trace + - 4 0.25 0.50 0.63 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary trace + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.63 KP44 2021 Dog | KP36 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 8 | 1 | 2 | 0.063 |
| KP38 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.63 KP39 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 128 8 32 0.125 KP40 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 16 0.5 1 0.031 KP41 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.25 0.031 KP42 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.25 0.031 KP44 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.53 0.063 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.51 0.063 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 3 0.125 0.51 0.063 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.63 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract | KP37 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP39 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 128 8 32 0.125 KP40 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.031 KP41 2021 Dog Unknown + - 16 0.5 1 0.031 KP42 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.25 0.031 KP44 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.63 KP45 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.5 2 0.63 KP46 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.63 KP47 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.63 KP48 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.63 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract | KP38 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP40 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.031 KP41 2021 Dog Unknown + - 16 0.5 1 0.031 KP42 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.25 0.031 KP43 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.50 0.063 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.5 2 0.063 KP45 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.063 KP46 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.063 KP47 2021 Dog Pus + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.063 KP48 2021 Dog Pus + - 4 0.25 1 0.63 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + <td>KP39</td> <td>2021</td> <td>Dog</td> <td>Urinary tract</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>128</td> <td>8</td> <td>32</td> <td>0.125</td> | KP39 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 128 | 8 | 32 | 0.125 |
| KP41 2021 Dog Unknown + - 16 0.5 1 0.031 KP42 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1.0 0.125 KP43 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.50 0.031 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.50 0.063 KP45 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.50 0.063 KP46 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.063 KP47 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.063 KP49 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.5 0.5 0.063 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.6 0.5 0.5 0.6 KP55 2021 Dog | KP40 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP42 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 0.125 KP43 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.25 0.031 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.55 0.063 KP45 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.5 2 0.603 KP46 2021 Dog Ascites + - 1 0.125 0.5 0.63 KP47 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.5 0.5 0.63 KP48 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.503 0.51 0.063 KP49 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.031 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.63 KP51 | KP41 | 2021 | Dog | Unknown | + | - | 16 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP43 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.25 0.031 KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP45 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.5 2 0.063 KP46 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP47 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.063 KP48 2021 Dog Pus + - 8 0.5 1 0.063 KP49 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 8 0.5 0.063 0.125 0.031 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 0.55 0.063 0.125 0.63 KP51 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.63 KP54 2021 Dog | KP42 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 1 | 0.125 |
| KP44 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP45 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.5 2 0.063 KP46 2021 Dog Ascites + - 1 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP47 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.031 KP48 2021 Dog Pus + - 8 0.5 1 0.063 KP49 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 8 0.5 0.63 0.125 0.031 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP51 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP52 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.63 0.25 0.031 KP54 2021 Dog | KP43 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP45 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.5 2 0.063 KP46 2021 Dog Ascites + - 1 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP47 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.031 KP48 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.063 KP49 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 0.5 0.063 0.125 0.031 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 0.5 0.063 0.125 0.063 KP51 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP52 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.031 KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP55 2021 Dog Urinary trac | KP44 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP46 2021 Dog Ascites + - 1 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP47 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.5 0.5 0.031 KP48 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.031 KP49 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 0.5 0.063 0.125 0.031 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 0.5 0.063 0.125 0.063 KP51 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP52 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.5 0.031 KP53 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.5 0.031 KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP55 2021 Dog </td <td>KP45</td> <td>2021</td> <td>Dog</td> <td>Urinary tract</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>8</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.063</td> | KP45 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 8 | 0.5 | 2 | 0.063 |
| KP47 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.5 0.5 0.063 KP48 2021 Dog Pus + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.031 KP49 2021 Dog Pus + - 8 0.5 1 0.063 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 0.5 0.63 0.125 0.031 KP51 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP52 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP53 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.031 KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP55 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.663 0.25 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + <td>KP46</td> <td>2021</td> <td>Dog</td> <td>Ascites</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.125</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.063</td> | KP46 | 2021 | Dog | Ascites | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP48 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 0.031 KP49 2021 Dog Pus + - 8 0.5 1 0.063 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 0.5 0.63 0.125 0.031 KP51 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.63 KP52 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP53 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.053 0.031 KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.63 0.25 0.031 KP55 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.63 0.25 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.63 0.5 0.031 KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract | KP47 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP49 2021 Dog Pus + - 8 0.5 1 0.063 KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 0.5 0.063 0.125 0.031 KP51 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.63 KP52 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP53 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP55 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.663 0.25 0.031 KP56 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.663 0.25 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.663 0.25 0.031 KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract | KP48 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP50 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 0.5 0.063 0.125 0.031 KP51 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP52 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP53 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP55 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP55 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP56 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP58 2021 <th< td=""><td>KP49</td><td>2021</td><td>Dog</td><td>Pus</td><td>+</td><td>-</td><td>8</td><td>0.5</td><td>1</td><td>0.063</td></th<> | KP49 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 8 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.063 |
| KP51 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP52 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP53 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP55 2021 Dog Bile + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP56 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.50 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP61 2021 Dog | KP50 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 0.5 | 0.063 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| KP52 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP53 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP55 2021 Dog Bile + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP56 2021 Dog Bile + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP61 2021 Dog Urinar | KP51 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP53 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP55 2021 Dog Bile + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP56 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.55 0.031 KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.55 0.031 KP60 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.55 0.031 KP61 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.55 0.031 KP63 2021 Dog | KP52 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.063 |
| KP54 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP55 2021 Dog Bile + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP56 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP58 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.063 KP60 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP61 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP63 2021 Dog | KP53 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| KP55 2021 Dog Bile + - 4 0.25 1 0.063 KP56 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP60 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP60 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP61 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP63 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP64 2021 Dog | KP54 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP56 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.031 KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.063 KP60 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP61 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP62 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP64 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP65 2021 D | KP55 | 2021 | Dog | Bile | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.063 |
| KP57 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP60 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.063 KP60 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.063 KP61 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP62 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP63 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP64 2021 Dog Wrinary tract + - 4 0.125 1 0.031 KP66 2021 Do | KP56 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP58 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP60 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP60 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.063 KP61 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP62 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP63 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP64 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP65 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 32 4 8 1 KP66 2021 Dog | KP57 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP59 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.5 0.063 KP60 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP61 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP61 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.25 0.031 KP62 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP63 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP64 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP65 2021 Dog Respiratory organs + - 4 0.125 1 0.031 KP66 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 32 4 8 1 KP68 2021 Dog <td>KP58</td> <td>2021</td> <td>Dog</td> <td>Urinary tract</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.063</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.031</td> | KP58 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP602021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP612021CatUrinary tract+-1 0.125 0.25 0.063 KP622021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP632021CatUrinary tract+-2 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP642021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP652021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP662021DogUrinary tract+-4 0.125 1 0.031 KP672021CatUrinary tract+-32481KP682021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.063 0.125 0.031 | KP59 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP612021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.250.063KP622021DogUrinary tract+-10.0630.50.031KP632021CatUrinary tract+-20.0630.250.031KP642021DogUrinary tract+-10.0630.50.031KP652021DogRespiratory organs+-40.12510.031KP662021DogUrinary tract+-32481KP672021CatUrinary tract+-10.0630.1250.031KP682021DogUrinary tract+-80.2510.031 | KP60 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP62 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP63 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP64 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP64 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP65 2021 Dog Respiratory organs + - 4 0.125 1 0.031 KP66 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 32 4 8 1 KP67 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.125 0.031 KP68 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.25 1 0.031 | KP61 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| KP63 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.063 0.25 0.031 KP64 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP65 2021 Dog Respiratory organs + - 4 0.125 1 0.031 KP66 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 32 4 8 1 KP67 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.125 0.031 KP68 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 32 4 8 1 KP68 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.25 1 0.031 | KP62 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP64 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.5 0.031 KP65 2021 Dog Respiratory organs + - 4 0.125 1 0.031 KP66 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 32 4 8 1 KP67 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.125 0.031 KP68 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 32 4 8 1 KP67 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 8 0.255 1 0.031 | KP63 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.063 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP65 2021 Dog Respiratory organs + - 4 0.125 1 0.031 KP66 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 32 4 8 1 KP67 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.125 0.031 KP68 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.25 1 0.031 | KP64 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP662021DogUrinary tract+-32481KP672021CatUrinary tract+-10.0630.1250.031KP682021DogUrinary tract+-80.2510.031 | KP65 | 2021 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | - | 4 | 0.125 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP672021CatUrinary tract+-10.0630.1250.031KP682021DogUrinary tract+-80.2510.031 | KP66 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 32 | 4 | 8 | 1 |
| KP68 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 8 0.25 1 0.031 | KP67 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| | KP68 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 8 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.031 |

| KP70 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.125 KP71 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 16 0.5 1 KP72 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.5 KP73 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.5 KP74 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.5 KP75 2021 Dog Respiratory organs + - 4 0.25 0.5 KP77 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 KP78 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.5 KP80 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 16 1 2 0.5 1 KP81 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 226 128 4 KP84< | KP69 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
|--|-------|------|-----|--------------------|---|---|------|-------|-------|-------|
| KP712021DogUrinary tract+-32162KP722021DogUrinary tract+-160.51KP732021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.KP742021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.KP752021DogRespiratory organs+-20.1250.KP762021CatPus+-40.250.KP772021DogUrinary tract+-40.250.KP782021DogUrinary tract+-40.250.KP782021DogUrinary tract+-40.250.KP802021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP812021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP822021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP842021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP842021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP852021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.KP862021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.KP872021DogUrinary tract+- | KP70 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP722021DogUrinary tract+-160.51KP732021DogUrinary tract+-20.251KP742021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP752021DogRespiratory organs+-20.1250.1KP762021CatPus+-1612KP762021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP772021DogUrinary tract+-40.250.1KP782021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP792021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP802021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP802021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP812021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP822021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP852021CatUrinary tract+-40.251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-16110KP882021DogUrinary tract+- <td>KP71</td> <td>2021</td> <td>Dog</td> <td>Urinary tract</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>32</td> <td>16</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.063</td> | KP71 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 32 | 16 | 2 | 0.063 |
| KP732021DogUrinary tract+-20.251KP742021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.3KP752021DogRespiratory organs+-40.250.3KP762021CatPus+-1612KP762021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP772021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP782021DogUrinary tract+-40.250.3KP782021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP782021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP802021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP812021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP822021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP852021CatUrinary tract+-40.251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP872021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP882021DogUrinary tract+- | KP72 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 16 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP74 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP75 2021 Dog Respiratory organs + - 2 0.125 0.1 KP76 2021 Cat Pus + - 2 0.125 0.1 KP77 2021 Dog Pus + - 16 1 2 KP78 2021 Dog Winny tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP79 2021 Dog Winny tract + - 16 1 2 KP80 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 16 1 2 KP81 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP82 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP84 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP85 2021 Dog Urinary tract | KP73 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.063 |
| KP752021DogRespiratory organs+-40.250.4KP762021CatPus+-20.1250.4KP772021DogPus+-1612KP782021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP792021DogMespiratory organs+-161.51KP802021DogUrinary tract+-161.51KP812021DogUrinary tract+-161.51KP822021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP852021CatUrinary tract+-40.251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP872021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP902021DogUrinary tract+-1681KP912021DogUrinary tract+-1610.1250.2KP932021DogUrinary tract | KP74 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP762021CatPus+-20.1250.1250.125KP772021DogPus+-1612KP782021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP792021DogWrinary tract+-160.51KP802021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP812021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP822021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP832021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP852021CatUrinary tract+-40.251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-20.1250.1KP872021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP882021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP902021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP912021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP932021DogUrinary tract+< | KP75 | 2021 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP772021DogPus+-1612KP782021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP792021DogRespiratory organs+-160.51KP802021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP802021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP812021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP822021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP832021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP852021CatUrinary tract+-20.1251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP882021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP902021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP912021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP922021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP932021DogUrinary tract+ | KP76 | 2021 | Cat | Pus | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP782021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP792021DogRespiratory organs+-40.250KP802021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP812021DogUrinary tract+-1612KP822021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP832021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP852021CatUrinary tract+-20.1251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP862021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP872021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP902021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP912021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP922021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP932021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP942021DogUrinary tract | KP77 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0.031 |
| KP792021DogRespiratory organs+-40.250.4KP802021DogUrinary tract+-161.51KP812021DogPus+-161.22KP822021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP832021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP852021CatUrinary tract+-20.1251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP872021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP882021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP902021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP912021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP942021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP942021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP942021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP942021DogUrinary tra | KP78 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP80 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 16 0.5 1 KP81 2021 Dog Pus + - 16 1 2 KP82 2021 Dog Urinary tract + + >256 128 4 KP83 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP84 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP85 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 KP86 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.15 KP87 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.15 KP88 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.15 KP89 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.15 KP90 2021 Dog <td< td=""><td>KP79</td><td>2021</td><td>Dog</td><td>Respiratory organs</td><td>+</td><td>-</td><td>4</td><td>0.25</td><td>0.5</td><td>0.031</td></td<> | KP79 | 2021 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP812021DogPus+-1612KP822021DogUrinary tract++>2561284KP832021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP852021CatUrinary tract+-20.1251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-20.252KP872021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP882021DogUrinary tract+-3218KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP882021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP902021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP912021DogUrinary tract++1681KP922021DogGenitals+-10.1250.1KP942021DogGenitals+-10.1250.1KP952021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP962021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.1KP972021DogUrinary tract+-< | KP80 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 16 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP82 2021 Dog Urinary tract + + >256 128 4 KP83 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP84 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP84 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP85 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 KP86 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP87 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 32 1 8 KP88 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP89 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP90 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP91 2021 Dog | KP81 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 16 | 1 | 2 | 0.031 |
| KP83 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP84 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP85 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 KP86 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.25 2 KP86 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP87 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 32 1 8 KP88 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP89 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP90 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP91 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP93 2021 Dog | KP82 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | 128 | 4 | 0.063 |
| KP842021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP852021CatUrinary tract+-20.1251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-20.252KP872021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP882021DogUrinary tract+-3218KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP902021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP912021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP922021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP932021DogPus+-20.1251KP942021DogUrinary tract+-20.1251KP952021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP962021DogUrinary tract+-40.1250.2KP962021DogUrinary tract+-40.1250.2KP972021DogUrinary tract+-40.1250.2KP982021DogUrinary tract< | KP83 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP852021CatUrinary tract+-20.1251KP862021DogUrinary tract+-20.252KP872021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.3KP882021DogUrinary tract+-3218KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.3KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.3KP902021DogUrinary tract++>25612816KP912021CatPus+-10.1250.3KP922021DogUrinary tract++1681KP932021DogQurinary tract+-20.1250.3KP942021DogQurinary tract+-20.1250.3KP952021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.3KP962021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP982021DogUrinary tract+-40.250.3KP992021CatUrinary tract+-40.250.3KP1002021CatUrinary tract+-40.250.3KP1012021DogPus< | KP84 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP862021DogUrinary tract+-20.252KP872021CatUrinary tract+-10.1250.25KP882021DogUrinary tract+-3218KP892021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.25KP902021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.25KP902021DogUrinary tract++>>25612816KP912021CatPus+-10.1250.25KP922021DogUrinary tract++1681KP932021DogQenitals++32322KP942021DogGenitals++32322KP952021DogUrinary tract+-10.1250.2KP962021DogUrinary tract+-10.0630KP982021DogUrinary tract+-40.251KP1002021CatUrinary tract+-40.250.2KP1012021DogPus+-20.1250.2KP1012021DogPus+-10.1250.2KP1022021DogUrinary tract+- <t< td=""><td>KP85</td><td>2021</td><td>Cat</td><td>Urinary tract</td><td>+</td><td>-</td><td>2</td><td>0.125</td><td>1</td><td>0.063</td></t<> | KP85 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 1 | 0.063 |
| KP872021CatUrinary tract $+$ $ 1$ 0.125 0.125 0.125 KP882021DogUrinary tract $+$ $ 32$ 1 8 KP892021DogUrinary tract $+$ $ 1$ 0.125 0.125 KP902021DogUrinary tract $+$ $ 1$ 0.125 0.125 KP912021CatPus $+$ $ 1$ 0.125 0.125 KP922021DogUrinary tract $+$ $+$ 166 8 1 KP932021DogQenitals $+$ $ 2$ 0.125 0.125 KP942021DogGenitals $+$ $ 2$ 0.125 0.125 KP952021DogUrinary tract $+$ $ 1$ 0.125 0.125 KP962021DogUrinary tract $+$ $ 1$ 0.125 0.125 KP972021DogUrinary tract $+$ $ 4$ 0.125 0.125 KP982021CatUrinary tract $+$ $ 4$ 0.255 1 KP1002021CatUrinary tract $+$ $ 4$ 0.25 0.125 KP1012021DogPus $+$ $ 4$ 0.25 1 KP1022021DogUnknown $+$ $ 1$ 0.125 0.125 | KP86 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.25 | 2 | 0.031 |
| KP882021DogUrinary tract+- 32 18KP892021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.125 0.125 KP902021DogUrinary tract++ >256 128 166 KP912021CatPus+-1 0.125 0.125 KP922021DogUrinary tract++168 166 KP932021DogUrinary tract+-2 0.125 0.125 0.125 KP942021DogGenitals++ 32 32 2 KP952021DogUrinary tract+- 2 0.125 0.125 0.125 KP962021DogPus+- 1 0.063 0.125 $0.$ | KP87 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP892021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.125 0.125 KP902021DogUrinary tract++>25612816KP912021CatPus+-1 0.125 0.125 0.125 KP922021DogUrinary tract++1681KP932021DogPus+-2 0.125 0.125 0.125 KP942021DogGenitals++32322KP952021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.125 0.125 KP962021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.125 0.125 KP972021DogUrinary tract+-1 0.125 0.125 0.125 KP982021DogUrinary tract+-4 0.125 0.125 0.125 KP1002021CatUrinary tract+-4 0.255 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 KP1012021DogPus+-2 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 0.125 | KP88 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 32 | 1 | 8 | 0.125 |
| KP902021DogUrinary tract $+$ $+$ >256 12816KP912021CatPus $+$ $-$ 10.1250.1KP922021DogUrinary tract $+$ $+$ 1681KP932021DogPus $+$ $-$ 20.1250.1KP942021DogGenitals $+$ $+$ 32322KP952021DogUrinary tract $+$ $-$ 10.1250.1KP962021DogUrinary tract $+$ $-$ 10.0630.1KP972021DogUrinary tract $+$ $-$ 40.251KP982021CatUrinary tract $+$ $-$ 40.250.1KP1002021CatUrinary tract $+$ $-$ 40.250.1KP1012021DogPus $+$ $-$ 10.1250.1KP1022021DogUrinary tract $+$ $-$ 40.250.1KP1022021DogPus $+$ $-$ 20.1251KP1022021DogUnknown $+$ $-$ 10.1250.1 | KP89 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP91 2021 Cat Pus + - 1 0.125 0.125 KP92 2021 Dog Urinary tract + + 16 8 1 KP93 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.125 0.125 KP94 2021 Dog Genitals + + 32 32 2 KP94 2021 Dog Genitals + + 32 32 2 KP95 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 0.125 KP96 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.125 KP97 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.125 KP98 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.255 1 KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.125 KP101 2021 Dog <td>KP90</td> <td>2021</td> <td>Dog</td> <td>Urinary tract</td> <td>+</td> <td>+</td> <td>>256</td> <td>128</td> <td>16</td> <td>0.063</td> | KP90 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | 128 | 16 | 0.063 |
| KP92 2021 Dog Urinary tract + + 16 8 1 KP93 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.1 KP94 2021 Dog Genitals + + 32 32 2 KP94 2021 Dog Genitals + + 32 32 2 KP95 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 KP96 2021 Dog Pus + - 1 0.125 0.3 KP97 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.3 KP98 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.3 KP99 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.255 1 KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 KP101 2021 Dog Pus + <td>KP91</td> <td>2021</td> <td>Cat</td> <td>Pus</td> <td>+</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.125</td> <td>0.25</td> <td>0.031</td> | KP91 | 2021 | Cat | Pus | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP93 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.125 KP94 2021 Dog Genitals + + 32 32 2 KP95 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 KP96 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 KP96 2021 Dog Pus + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP96 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP97 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.1 KP98 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.1 KP101 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.1 KP102 2021 Dog Unknown | KP92 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | 16 | 8 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP94 2021 Dog Genitals + + 32 32 2 KP95 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 KP96 2021 Dog Pus + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP96 2021 Dog Pus + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP97 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.1 KP98 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.1 KP99 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.1 KP101 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 1 KP102 2021 Dog Unknown + - 1 0.125 0.1 | KP93 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP95 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 2 0.125 1 KP96 2021 Dog Pus + - 1 0.125 0.3 KP97 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0.3 KP97 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.3 KP98 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.3 KP99 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.255 1 KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.255 0.3 KP101 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 1 KP102 2021 Dog Unknown + - 1 0.125 0.3 | KP94 | 2021 | Dog | Genitals | + | + | 32 | 32 | 2 | 0.063 |
| KP96 2021 Dog Pus + - 1 0.125 0.1 KP97 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0. KP98 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.1 KP98 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.1 KP101 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 0.1 KP102 2021 Dog Unknown + - 1 0.125 0.1 | KP95 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP97 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 1 0.063 0. KP98 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.3 KP99 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.3 KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP101 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.3 KP101 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 1 KP102 2021 Dog Unknown + - 1 0.125 0.3 | KP96 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP98 2021 Dog Urinary tract + - 4 0.125 0.125 KP99 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.125 KP101 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 1 KP102 2021 Dog Unknown + - 1 0.125 0.125 | KP97 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| KP99 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 1 KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.3 KP101 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 1 KP102 2021 Dog Unknown + - 1 0.125 0.3 | KP98 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP100 2021 Cat Urinary tract + - 4 0.25 0.3 KP101 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 1 KP102 2021 Dog Unknown + - 1 0.125 0.3 | KP99 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.031 |
| KP1012021DogPus+-20.1251KP1022021DogUnknown+-10.1250.125 | KP100 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP102 2021 Dog Unknown + - 1 0.125 0.2 | KP101 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 1 | 0.031 |
| | KP102 | 2021 | Dog | Unknown | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP103 2021 Dog Pus + - 2 0.125 1 | KP103 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 1 | 0.031 |

| KP104 | 2021 | Dog | Ascites | + | + | >256 | 64 | 8 | 0.063 |
|-------|------|-----|--------------------|---|---|------|-------|-------|-------|
| KP105 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP106 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.125 | 0.015 |
| KP107 | 2021 | Dog | Skin | + | + | >256 | 128 | 8 | 0.063 |
| KP108 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| KP109 | 2021 | Dog | Ear | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| KP110 | 2021 | Dog | Skin | + | - | 16 | 1 | 4 | 0.063 |
| KP111 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | 64 | 2 | 0.063 |
| KP112 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP113 | 2021 | Dog | Pus | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| KP114 | 2021 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | + | 128 | 64 | 4 | 0.063 |
| KP115 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| KP116 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP117 | 2021 | Cat | Pus | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| KP118 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| KP119 | 2021 | Dog | Ear | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| KP120 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |

a) KP, *K. pneumoniae*; ESBL, extended spectrum β-lactamase; ABL, AmpC β-lactamase; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MEM, meropenem; CMZ, cefmetazole; FMX, flomoxef; LMX, latamoxef.

| Isolates | Isolation | Animala | Isolation | Production of: ^{a)} | | MICs (µg/mL) for: ^{a)} | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| No. ^{a)} | years | Animais | sites | ESBL | ABL | CMZ | FMX | LMX | MEM | |
| PM1 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 32 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.125 | |
| PM2 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.063 | |
| PM3 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 16 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.063 | |
| PM4 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.125 | 0.063 | |
| PM5 | 2020 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 16 | 16 | 8 | 1 | |
| PM6 | 2020 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.5 | 0.125 | 0.063 | |
| PM7 | 2020 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.063 | |
| PM8 | 2020 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | - | 2 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.063 | |
| PM9 | 2020 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.063 | |
| PM10 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.25 | 0.063 | 0.063 | |
| PM11 | 2021 | Dog | Ear | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.063 | 0.063 | |
| PM12 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 8 | 0.5 | 0.125 | 0.063 | |
| PM13 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.063 | |
| PM14 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 8 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.063 | |
| PM15 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 32 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.063 | |
| PM16 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 64 | 2 | 1 | 0.125 | |
| PM17 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 8 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.063 | |
| PM18 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 8 | 0.125 | 0.063 | 0.063 | |
| PM19 | 2022 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.5 | 0.063 | 0.063 | |
| PM20 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.063 | 0.063 | |
| PM21 | 2022 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.063 | |
| PM22 | 2021 | Dog | Skin | + | - | 32 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.063 | |
| PM23 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.063 | |
| PM24 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 16 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.125 | |
| PM25 | 2022 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 32 | 0.5 | 0.25 | 0.063 | |
| PM26 | 2022 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 16 | 0.5 | 0.063 | 0.063 | |
| PM27 | 2022 | Cat | Respiratory organs | + | - | 4 | 1 | 0.125 | 0.063 | |
| PM28 | 2021 | Dog | Unknown | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.063 | |
| PM29 | 2021 | Dog | Unknown | + | - | 4 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.125 | |

Table 1b. The details of *P. mirabilis* isolates used in this study

a) PM, *P. mirabilis*; ESBL, extended spectrum β-lactamase; ABL, AmpC β-lactamase; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MEM, meropenem; CMZ, cefmetazole; FMX, flomoxef; LMX, latamoxef.

| Isolates | Isolation | Animala | Isolation | Production | on of: ^{a)} | MICs (µg/mL) for: ^{a)} | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|---------|--------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| No. ^{a)} | years | Animais | sites | ESBL | ABL | CMZ | FMX | LMX | MEM |
| EC1 | 2022 | Dog | Ascites | + | - | 128 | 8 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| EC2 | 2022 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | >256 | 16 | 0.5 |
| EC3 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 64 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| EC4 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 64 | 4 | 0.125 | 0.063 |
| EC5 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 256 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| EC6 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 256 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| EC7 | 2021 | Cat | Skin | + | - | >256 | 16 | 2 | 0.125 |
| EC8 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 128 | 16 | 4 | 0.063 |
| EC9 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 128 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| EC10 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | >256 | 8 | 0.125 | 0.063 |
| EC11 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | + | 256 | 128 | 0.25 | 0.125 |
| EC12 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 256 | 64 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| EC13 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 128 | 1 | 0.063 | 0.031 |
| EC14 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 64 | 0.25 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| EC15 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 256 | 16 | 0.125 | 0.063 |
| EC16 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 256 | 4 | 2 | 0.125 |
| EC17 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | >256 | 16 | 2 | 0.063 |
| EC18 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | >256 | 256 | 0.25 |
| EC19 | 2021 | Dog | Skin | + | - | 128 | 4 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| EC20 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | >256 | >256 | 0.25 |
| EC21 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| EC22 | 2021 | Dog | Genitals | + | - | 1 | 0.063 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| EC23 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 256 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| EC24 | 2021 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | - | 256 | 16 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| EC25 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | >256 | 8 | 1 | 0.063 |
| EC26 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 128 | 8 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| EC27 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | >256 | 16 | 2 | 0.063 |
| EC28 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 128 | 4 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| EC29 | 2021 | Dog | Skin | + | + | >256 | >256 | 128 | 0.25 |
| EC30 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 256 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| EC31 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 64 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.015 |
| EC32 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 64 | 8 | 0.125 | 0.031 |

Table 1c. The details of *E. cloacae* isolates used in this study

| EC33 | 2021 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 64 | 2 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
|------|------|-----|--------------------|---|---|------|-------|-------|-------|
| EC34 | 2021 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | - | 256 | 16 | 0.25 | 0.063 |
| EC35 | 2021 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 256 | 16 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| EC36 | 2021 | Dog | Ear | + | - | 128 | 8 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| EC37 | 2020 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | >256 | >256 | 2 | 0.063 |
| EC38 | 2020 | Dog | Skin | + | - | 256 | 8 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| EC39 | 2020 | Dog | Skin | + | - | 256 | 16 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| EC40 | 2020 | Dog | Ascites | + | - | 64 | 0.5 | 0.125 | 0.031 |
| EC41 | 2020 | Cat | Skin | + | - | 64 | 4 | 0.125 | 0.063 |
| EC42 | 2020 | Cat | Skin | + | + | 256 | 256 | 8 | 0.031 |
| EC43 | 2020 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | 32 | 2 | 0.5 | 0.015 |
| EC44 | 2020 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | >256 | 32 | 0.063 |
| EC45 | 2020 | Cat | Unknown | + | - | >256 | 64 | 1 | 0.125 |
| EC46 | 2020 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | 256 | 256 | 128 | 0.125 |
| EC47 | 2020 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | >256 | 64 | 0.125 |
| EC48 | 2020 | Dog | Genitals | + | - | >256 | 8 | 0.125 | 0.063 |
| EC49 | 2020 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | + | 256 | 256 | 0.125 | 0.063 |
| EC50 | 2020 | Dog | Ear | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.031 |
| EC51 | 2020 | Dog | Bile | + | - | 64 | 0.5 | 0.063 | 0.031 |
| EC52 | 2020 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | 64 | 256 | 32 | 0.25 |
| EC53 | 2020 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 64 | 1 | 0.063 | 0.031 |
| EC54 | 2020 | Dog | Joint | + | - | 64 | 4 | 0.063 | 0.063 |
| EC55 | 2020 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | - | 64 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| EC56 | 2020 | Cat | Eye | + | - | 2 | 0.125 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| EC57 | 2020 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 128 | 4 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| EC58 | 2020 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | 128 | 1 | 0.063 |
| EC59 | 2019 | Dog | Unknown | + | - | 256 | 2 | 0.25 | 0.031 |
| EC60 | 2019 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | + | 256 | >256 | 32 | 0.125 |
| EC61 | 2019 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 4 | 0.125 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| EC62 | 2019 | Dog | Respiratory organs | + | - | 256 | 16 | 1 | 0.063 |
| EC63 | 2019 | Dog | Urinary tract | + | + | >256 | >256 | 128 | 0.5 |
| EC64 | 2022 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | >256 | 128 | 1 | 0.25 |
| EC65 | 2022 | Dog | Skin | + | - | >256 | 8 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| EC66 | 2022 | Cat | Pus | + | - | >256 | 8 | 0.5 | 0.063 |
| EC67 | 2022 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 256 | 4 | 1 | 0.25 |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| EC68 | 2022 | Dog | Skin | + | - | 256 | 4 | 0.125 | 0.063 |
|------|------|-----|---------------|---|---|-----|---|-------|-------|
| EC69 | 2022 | Cat | Urinary tract | + | - | 128 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.031 |

a) EC, *E. cloacae*; ESBL, extended spectrum β-lactamase; ABL, AmpC β-lactamase; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; MEM, meropenem; CMZ, cefmetazole; FMX, flomoxef; LMX, latamoxef.

| Antimicrobials | | MIC ₉₀ ^{b)} (μg/ml) | Total | Only ESBL | Both ESBL and ABL |
|------------------------|----------|--|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| (Breakpoint | WIIC 50° | | | | |
| (µg/ml)) ^{a)} | (µg/III) | | | | |
| MEM (≤1) | | | | | |
| Total | 0.031 | 0.063 | 120 (100) | 112 (100) | 8 (100) |
| Dogs | 0.031 | 0.063 | 88 (100) | 80 (100) | 8 (100) |
| Cats | 0.002 | 0.004 | 32 (100) | 32 (100) | NA |
| CMZ (≤16) | | | | | |
| Total | 2 | 32 | 108 (90.0) ^{c)} | 107 (95.5) | 1 (12.5) ^{c,d)} |
| Dogs | 2 | 32 | 76 (86.4) ^{c,e)} | 75 (93.8) | 1 (12.5) ^{c,d)} |
| Cats | 2 | 4 | 32 (100) | 32 (100) | NA |
| FMX (≤8) | | | | | |
| Total | 0.125 | 1 | 112 (93.3) ^{c)} | 111 (99.1) | $1 (12.5)^{c,d}$ |
| Dogs | 0.125 | 8 | 80 (90.9) ^{c)} | 79 (98.8) | 1 (12.5) ^{c,d)} |
| Cats | 0.125 | 0.25 | 32 (100) | 32 (100) | NA |
| LMX (≤8) | | | | | |
| Total | 0.5 | 2 | 118 (98.3) | 111 (99.1) | 7 (87.5) |
| Dogs | 0.5 | 4 | 86 (97.7) | 79 (98.8) | 7 (87.5) |
| Cats | 0.5 | 1 | 32 (100) | 32 (100) | NA |

Table 2a. The number of susceptible isolates (%) to cephamycins in 120 ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates from dogs (n = 88) and cats (n = 32).

a) MEM, meropenem; CMZ, cefmetazole; FMX, flomoxef; LMX, latamoxef.

b) MIC_{50} , MIC that can inhibit the growth of 50% of the isolates; MIC_{90} , MIC that can inhibit the growth of 90% of the isolates.

c) Significantly lower compared with meropenem susceptibility rates (P < 0.05).

d) Significantly lower compared with susceptibility rates against the same substance in isolates producing only ESBL (P < 0.05).

e) Significantly different compared with susceptibility rates in feline isolates.

| Antimicrobials (Breakpoint (μg/ml)) ^{a)} | MIC ₅₀ ^{b)} (μg/ml) | MIC ₉₀ ^{b)} (μg/ml) | Total | | | |
|---|--|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| MEM (≤1) | | | | | | |
| Total | 0.063 | 0.125 | 29 (100) | | | |
| Dogs | 0.063 | 0.125 | 17 (100) | | | |
| Cats | 0.063 | 0.125 | 12 (100) | | | |
| CMZ (≤16) | | | | | | |
| Total | 4 | 32 | 24 (82.7) | | | |
| Dogs | 4 | 32 | 14 (82.4) | | | |
| Cats | 4 | 32 | 10 (83.3) | | | |
| FMX (≤8) | | | | | | |
| Total | 0.25 | 1 | 28 (96.6) | | | |
| Dogs | 0.25 | 1 | 16 (94.1) | | | |
| Cats | 0.25 | 1 | 12 (100) | | | |
| LMX (≤8) | | | | | | |
| Total | 0.25 | 1 | 29 (100) | | | |
| Dogs | 0.25 | 1 | 17 (100) | | | |
| Cats | 0.125 | 0.25 | 12 (100) | | | |

Table 2b. The number of susceptible isolates (%) to cephamycins in 29 ESBL-producing *P. mirabilis* isolates from dogs (n = 17) and cats (n = 12).

a) MEM, meropenem; CMZ, cefmetazole; FMX, flomoxef; LMX, latamoxef.

b) MIC_{50} , MIC that can inhibit the growth of 50% of the isolates; MIC_{90} , MIC that can inhibit the growth of 90% of the isolates.

| Antimicrobials | MIC ₅₀ ^{b)} | MIC ₉₀ ^{b)} (μg/ml) | Total | Only ESBL | Both ESBL and ABL |
|------------------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| (Breakpoint | | | | | |
| (µg/ml)) ^{a)} | (µg/m) | | | | |
| MEM (≤1) | | | | | |
| Total | 0.063 | 0.25 | 69 (100) | 54 (100) | 15 (100) |
| Dogs | 0.063 | 0.25 | 31 (100) | 24 (100) | 7 (100) |
| Cats | 0.063 | 0.25 | 38 (100) | 30 (100) | 8 (100) |
| CMZ (≤16) | | | | | |
| Total | 256 | >256 | 5 (7.2) ^{c)} | 5 (9.3) ^{c)} | 0 (0) ^{c)} |
| Dogs | 256 | >256 | 2 (6.5) ^{c)} | 2 (6.5) ^{c)} | 0 (0) ^{c)} |
| Cats | 256 | >256 | 3 (7.9) ^{c)} | 3 (7.9)°) | 0 (0) ^{c)} |
| FMX (≤8) | | | | | |
| Total | 8 | >256 | 41 (59.4) ^{c)} | 40 (74.0) ^{c)} | $1 (6.7)^{c,d)}$ |
| Dogs | 8 | 256 | 19 (61.3) ^{c)} | 18 (75.0) ^{c)} | 1 (14.3) ^{c,d)} |
| Cats | 8 | >256 | 22 (57.9) ^{c)} | 22 (73.3) ^{c)} | $0 (0)^{c,d)}$ |
| LMX (≤8) | | | | | |
| Total | 0.5 | 32 | 59 (85.5) ^{c)} | 54 (100) | 5 (33.3) ^{c,d)} |
| Dogs | 0.5 | 32 | 26 (83.9) ^{c)} | 24 (100) | 2 (28.6) ^{c,d)} |
| Cats | 0.5 | 32 | 33 (86.8) ^{c)} | 30 (100) | 3 (37.5) ^{c,d)} |

Table 2c. The number of susceptible isolates (%) to cephamycins in 69 ESBL-producing *E. cloacae* isolates from dogs (n = 31) and cats (n = 38).

a) MEM, meropenem; CMZ, cefmetazole; FMX, flomoxef; LMX, latamoxef.

b) MIC_{50} , MIC that can inhibit the growth of 50% of the isolates; MIC_{90} , MIC that can inhibit the growth of 90% of the isolates.

c) Significantly lower compared with meropenem susceptibility rates (P < 0.05).

d) Significantly lower compared with susceptibility rates against the same substance in isolates producing only ESBL (P < 0.05).



Fig. 1. MIC distribution of ESBL-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates (n = 120) against MEM (a), CMZ (b), FMX (c), and LMX (d).

The blackened bar indicates the number of ABL-producing isolates. Vertical broken lines mean the breakpoints of each substance.



Fig. 2. MIC distribution of ESBL-producing *P. mirabilis* isolates (n = 29) against MEM (a),

CMZ (b), FMX (c), and LMX (d).

Vertical broken lines mean the breakpoints of each substance.



Fig. 3. MIC distribution of ESBL-producing *E. cloacae* isolates (n = 69) against MEM (a), CMZ (b), FMX (c), and LMX (d).

The blackened bar indicates the number of ABL-producing isolates. Vertical broken lines mean the breakpoints of each substance.

Chapter 2

Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis of cefmetazole against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales in dogs using Monte Carlo simulation

1. Introduction

Cefmetazole belongs to the group of cephamycins and exhibits high stability against ESBLs due to the presence of the 7α methoxy constituent (Jones, 1989; Neu, 1986). A previous study in humans has reported that compared with carbapenems, CMZ exhibits non-inferior clinical efficacy for treating ESBL infections (Hamada *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, Shimizu *et al.* (2017) and the author previously investigated the PD of CMZ and found that cephamycin exhibits high *in vitro* efficacy against ESBL-E isolates from companion animals (Chapter 1), as well as some essential oils (Sipahi *et al.*, 2022). These findings suggest that CMZ can be used as a carbapenem-sparing antibiotics in companion-animal medicine. However, CMZ dosage regimens for treating ESBL infections in dogs have not been established because the PK of CMZ in dogs is not known.

In this chapter, the author calculated the PK indices of CMZ in dogs after intravenous administration study. To the best of her knowledge, this is the first study to perform PK/PD analysis using MCS to determine the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff value and propose dosage regimens of CMZ which can be clinically effective for ESBL infections in dogs.

2. Materials and Methods

1) Animals

In total, six healthy beagles (4 males, and 2 females, aged 5.3 ± 2.0 years and weighing 12.5 ± 1.8 kg, SHIMIZU Laboratory Supplies Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan) were used in this study. The dogs were clinically healthy based on their condition, physical examination, complete blood counts, and blood biochemical tests, and did not receive any drugs in the 6 months before the study. They were fed the same commercial food (Aiken Genki, Unicharm Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and were individually housed in separate cages in the same room at the experiment animal facility. This study was conducted under an ethics committee-approved

protocol in accordance with the Tottori University Animal Use Committee (approval number No. 19-T-17).

2) Cefmetazole administration and serum sampling

The day before administration of antimicrobial product, a central venous catheter (Covidien Japan, Inc., Tokyo, Japan) was placed in the jugular vein of the dog under general anesthesia. Anesthesia was induced by intravenously administering propofol (4 mg/kg body weight, Propofol, DS Pharma Animal Health Co., Ltd., Osaka, Japan), and subsequently intubated with a cuffed endotracheal tube. The vaporizer was adjusted to deliver 2% isoflurane (ISOFLURANE Inhalation Solution, Mylan EPD G.K., Tokyo, Japan) at an oxygen flow rate of 2 L/min. CMZ sodium (Nichi-Iko Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) was dissolved in water for a bolus injection (Nissin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Yamagata) at a final concentration of 100 mg/mL, which was injected in the radial cutaneous vein at 40 mg/kg body weight. For CMZ quantification, venous blood samples (2 mL each) were collected from each participant via a central venous catheter at predetermined time points (0, 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 240, 360, 480, 600, and 720 min). Serum samples were collected after coagulation by centrifugation at 1,300 × g for 10 min and stored at -80°C until assayed.

3) Sample preparation

Cefmetazole was extracted from each serum sample using solid-phase extraction (SPE) according to a previously described protocol (Ohmori *et al.*, 2011). Ethylparaben (Sigma-Aldrich Japan, Tokyo, Japan) was used as an internal standard (IS), which was dissolved in methanol to 10 μ g/mL because the compound is stable and shows a retention time (6.36 min) close to that of CMZ (3.6 min). The prepared sample was stored frozen at -80°C until analysis.

4) Determination of cefmetazole concentrations

The serum concentration of CMZ was determined according to a previously described protocol with slight modifications (Ohmori et al., 2011). High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) separations were performed with a 10A HPLC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) under 50:50 isocratic conditions using the following two solutions: 0.1% (v/v) formic acid in 10 mM ammonium formate water as mobile phase A and 0.1% (v/v) formic acidacetonitrile as mobile phase B at a flow rate of 0.2 mL/min. A 20 µL sample of serum was injected and target molecules were separated using TSKgel Reversed Phase Chromatography (TSKgel ODS-100Z 3 µm, 2.0 mm i.d. x 150 mm, TOSOH, Tokyo, Japan), with the temperature controlled at 40°C. Mass spectra (MS) were measured using an Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, United States) under electrospray ionization (ESI) with a tube lens voltage of 80 V and a skimmer voltage of 30 V. CMZ was detected by $[M + H]^+$ precursor ion m/z = 472.1, and IS was detected by $[M-H]^-$ precursor ion m/z = 165.1. The area under the peak was determined using commercial analytical software (Xcalibur QualBrowser, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., MA, United States). The concentration of CMZ in each sample was calculated based on the calibration curve (0.1, 1, 5, 10, 50, 100, and 200 µg/mL) constructed by mixing canine serum with the known substance concentrations. The reliability of the analytical method was based on the guidelines of the Ministry of Health, Labor, and Welfare (MHLW) (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2013).

5) Monte Carlo simulation

MCS was performed to calculate PTA based on the PK/PD parameters of CMZ when administered at 40 mg/kg body weight every 12, 8, and 6 h, using commercial software (Oracle Crystal Ball version 11.1.2.4.850, Kozo Keikaku Engineering Inc., Tokyo, Japan). The PK parameters in the non-compartment model (Ikawa & Tanaka, 2015) were calculated based on the serum CMZ concentrations in the six dogs by computing 10,000 bootstrap replicates using the PK package (ver. 4.0.3) of R software (ver. 4.2.1) (Jaki & Wolfsegger, 2010). Assuming that PK parameters were distributed lognormally, 10,000 virtual patients were generated for each dosage regimen to build the serum CMZ concentration-time profile. The percentage of time during a dosing interval that the CMZ concentration of the unbound fraction (f) remains above the MIC for the pathogen (f%T_{>MIC}) was estimated as the PDI to determine the optimal dosage regimen (Craig, 1998; Papich, 2014). In this study, a serum protein-binding rate of 26% in dogs was applied according to a previous study (Murakawa *et al.*, 1980). The PTA for each dosage regimen was calculated at each MIC to determine the percentage of subjects achieving the PDI that could achieve bacteriostatic activity (i.e., f%T_{>MIC} \geq 40%) (Craig, 1998; Papich, 2014). The nonclinical PK/PD cutoff was calculated as the highest MIC that achieved the target of PTA \geq 90% (Papich, 2014). The CFR was calculated as the proportion of %PTA of each MIC based on the wild-type MIC distribution (Jitaree *et al.*, 2019), of which CMZ in ESBL-E (*E. coli, K. pneumoniae, P. mirabilis*, and *E. cloacae*) isolates from companion animals were determined in the previous studies (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017; Chapter 1).

3. Results

1) Animals

None of the dogs exhibited clinical signs of adverse reactions or abnormal blood test results throughout the experiment.

2) Pharmacokinetics parameters of cefmetazole in dogs

The coefficient of variation (CV) of CMZ, IS, and CMS peak area value corrected by IS (CMZ/IS) was 4.01, 12.1, and 9.50%, respectively. The blood concentration-time curves and PK parameters in dogs after intravenous bolus administration of CMZ at 40 mg/kg are presented

in Fig. 4 and Table 3, respectively. Serum CMZ concentration at 5 min was $154.32 \pm 14.01 \,\mu\text{g/mL}$, which decreased gradually.

 Nonclinical pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics cutoff and cumulative fraction of response of cefmetazole for extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales in dogs

The PTA results at each MIC with regimens of 40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h are shown in Fig. 5 All dosage regimens achieved a PTA of \geq 90%, with a MIC of \leq 0.5 µg/mL but not with a MIC of \geq 8 µg/mL. Based on the calculated PTA, the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values for every 12, 8, and 6 h were \leq 0.5, \leq 2, and \leq 4 µg/mL, respectively.

Table 4 shows the CFR results that were calculated based on the wild-type MIC distribution of ESBL-E. Overall, higher CFRs were observed for *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* than for *P. mirabilis*, and extremely low CFRs (< 10%) were confirmed for *E. cloacae*, regardless of the regimen. When using %fT_{>MIC} \geq 40% as the target of the PDI, none of the regimens achieved a CFR \geq 90% in canines infected with all bacterial species. Regimens of 40 mg/kg every 6 and 8 h were estimated to achieve CFR of 80–90% and 70–80%, respectively, for patients infected with *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*. In contrast, all regimens were estimated to have a CFR of \leq 70% for patients infected with *P. mirabilis* and *E. cloacae*.

4. Discussion

Cefmetazole is one of the candidate antibiotics to spare carbapenems because it is highly stable against ESBLs (Karaiskos & Giamarellou, 2020). However, the PK/PD properties of CMZ, which are essential to consider optimal dosage regimens (Cagnardi *et al.*, 2018; Vegas Cómitre *et al.*, 2021), are still unknown.
The author investigated the PK parameters of CMZ in dogs based on the results of blood concentration transition after bolus administration. All of which fulfilled the criteria (< 15%) according to the MHLW guideline (Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare, 2013). Borin *et al.* (1990) determined the corresponding PK data in young adult humans, ranging in age from 21 to 40 years when intravenously administered, as follows: the mean residence time (MRT) was 1.78 h, the elimination half-life (T1/2) was 1.34 h, total body clearance (CL) was 0.10 L/h/kg, and the volume of distribution (Vd) was 0.17 L/kg. This present study indicates the shorter MRT and T1/2, higher CL, and larger Vd in dogs administered with CMZ, compared with the PK data in humans. These results may be explained by the fact that the protein-binding rate of CMZ is significantly lower in dogs than in humans (66%) (Murakawa *et al.*, 1980), which can result in wider tissue distribution and faster renal excretion. These PK traits of CMZ in dogs may negatively affect its clinical efficacy because its bactericidal activity is time-dependent (Shah *et al.*, 2015).

Breakpoints of antimicrobial susceptibility are essential for appropriate antimicrobial treatment. The CLSI (CLSI Supplement M100, 2023) provided the human-specific breakpoint of the CMZ but not the canine-specific breakpoint. Therefore, the author studied the canine-specific nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values of CMZ for practical application in dogs with bacterial infections. The finding revealed that nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values can be increased by shortening dose interval; however, nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values of CMZ based on all dosage regimens were lower than the CLSI susceptibility breakpoint for Enterobacterales ($\leq 16 \mu g/mL$), probably due to the higher clearance in dogs. Therefore, the application of the CLSI breakpoint may overestimate CMZ susceptibility in canine pathogens, resulting in treatment failure.

The present nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values with all regimens were also lower than the previously reported MIC₉₀ values for ESBL-producing *E. coli* (8 μ g/mL), for *K. pneumoniae* (32 μ g/mL), *P. mirabilis* (32 μ g/mL), and *E. cloacae* (> 256 μ g/mL) (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017;

Chapter 1). Such lower nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values were supported by other findings in this study that CMZ exhibited a relatively short time during which the blood concentration remained above the MIC₉₀ of these bacteria. When administered with the regimens of every 6 and 8 h, the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff induced by CMZ exceeded the MIC₅₀ values of ESBLproducing *E. coli* (1 µg/mL) and *K. pneumoniae* (2 µg/mL), but not *P. mirabilis* (4 µg/mL) and *E. cloacae* (256 µg/mL). Furthermore, the author calculated the CFRs based on the wild-type MIC distribution of ESBL-E and thereby clarified that the every 6 h regimens exhibited more than 80% CFRs for ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*. Generally, regimens with \geq 90% CFR are optimal, whereas those with 80–90% CFR are associated with moderate probabilities of success (Wang *et al.*, 2021a). Therefore, at least the regimens of CMZ 40 mg/kg every 6 h may have moderate clinical efficacy in canine patients infected with ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*. However, all regimens in this study exhibited lower CFRs against ESBL-producing *P. mirabilis* and *E. cloacae* and thus may have less efficacy for these bacteria. Such differences in the putative clinical efficacy of CMZ between bacteria should be considered when administering CMZ in dogs.

Unfortunately, the optimal dose of CMZ in dogs has not yet been determined. In previous reports (Katayama *et al.*, 2017; Kanno *et al.*, 2019; Mochizuki *et al.*, 2021), CMZ was administered to canine patients at 20–25 mg/kg body weight per dose. However, these usages were intended for the perioperative prevention of infection but not for the treatment of bacterial infection. In the preliminary study, nonclinical PK/PD cutoff and CFR at a dose of 20 mg/kg were extremely low (data not shown); thus, this dose is unlikely to be suitable for treating dogs with ESBL infections. Therefore, the author used 40 mg/kg per dose in this study, referencing the human dosage (i.e., a maximum of 37.5 mg/kg four times per day). This dose is considered acceptable from a safety perspective because CMZ has a wide margin of safety (Moe *et al.*,

1989) and an extremely high level of no observed effect in dogs (i.e., 1,200 mg/kg) (Masuda *et al.*, 1978).

The author applied a %fT_{>MIC} of \geq 40% as the PDI values (Craig, 1998; Papich, 2014), but McKinnon *et al.* (2008) reported that a %fT_{>MIC} of 100% is recommended as the target value of cefepime and ceftazidime for serious infection. Furthermore, in recent years, Takemura *et al.* (2021) demonstrated that a %fT_{>MIC} of > 57.6% was preferable as the target value of CMZ for static effects on ESBL-producing *E. coli* infections in a murine infection model. These studies emphasize the need to consider higher PK/PD target values for CMZ in dogs. More than half of dog-origin ESBL-E strains have been isolated from urinary tract infections (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017; Chapter 1). In addition, the peak CMZ concentration is approximately 20 times higher in the urine than in the blood of dogs after administration of CMZ (Komiya *et al.*, 1982), implying that CMZ may have high efficacy against urinary tract infection in dogs with ESBL-E, even if the blood concentration during repeated administration cannot achieve the target value. Further clinical studies are required to confirm this hypothesis.

In this chapter, the author estimated the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff of CMZ when administered with regimens of 40 mg/kg every 6, 8, and 12 h using MCS, and calculated the CFR based on the MIC distribution of wild-type ESBL-E. The results indicated that a CMZ breakpoint lower than the CLSI for humans is preferable for dogs. Furthermore, a CMZ regimen of 40 mg/kg every 6 h could be a treatment option for dogs infected with ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*. The author believe that these data provide a basis for the use of CMZ in dogs with ESBL infections.

Table 3. Pharmacokinetics parameters were determined after intravenous administration of CMZ at the dose of 40 mg/kg body weight in dogs.

| Parameters (unit) ^{a)} | Values (SD) ^{b)} |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| AUC (mg·h/L) | 103.36 (7.49) |
| MRT (h) | 1.21 (0.11) |
| T1/2 (h) | 0.84 (0.07) |
| CL (L/h) | 4.93 (0.36) |
| Vd (L) | 5.97 (0.55) |

a) AUC, the area under the concentration-time curve; MRT, mean residence time; T1/2, half-life; CL, total body clearance; Vd, the volume of distribution.

b) Values are the mean (SD) of six dogs after intravenous administration.

| Regimens | CFR (%) | | | |
|------------|---------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | E. coli | K. pneumoniae | P. mirabilis | E. cloacae |
| every 12 h | 31.91 | 26.86 | 1.76 | 2.38 |
| every 8 h | 78.12 | 72.91 | 48.29 | 6.57 |
| every 6 h | 87.27 | 82.29 | 63.73 | 7.24 |

Table 4. CFR following regimens of 40 m/kg CMZ in dogs against wild-type MIC distribution of ESBL-E



Fig. 4. Semilogarithmic plot of serum CMZ concentration in dogs administered a dose of 40 mg/kg body weight (Mean ± SD, n = 6).



Fig. 5. PTA (%) at each MIC following intravenous administration of CMZ.

Chapter 3

Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics analysis using Monte Carlo simulation of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef

against extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales

1. Introduction

FMX is an oxacephem that is resistant to degradation by ESBLs because of its characteristic structure, with a methoxy group at the 7S position (Jacoby & Carreras, 1990). In human medicine, FMX is an effective alternative to carbapenems for the treatment of ESBL infections (Lee *et al.*, 2006; Horie *et al.*, 2019; Darlow & Hope, 2022). Furthermore, Shimizu *et al.* (2017) and the author previously reported the high *in vitro* efficacy of FMX against ESBL-E derived from companion animals (Chapter 1). These findings suggest that FMX may be a potential alternative to carbapenems in companion animal medicine. However, there are insufficient reports on the PK of FMX in dogs, and a regimen of FMX for ESBL infections in dogs has yet to be established.

In this chapter, the author first determined PK parameters of FMX by administration experiments in healthy dogs, determined a canine nonclinical PK/PD cutoff based on PK/PD relationships analyzed by MCS, and proposed dosage regimens of FMX which can be clinically effective for ESBL infections in dogs.

2. Materials and Methods

1) Animals

The animal experiments in this study were conducted under an ethics committee-approved protocol in accordance with the Tottori University Animal Use Committee (Approval No. 19-T-17). Five beagle dogs were used in this study (four males and one female, aged 6.2 ± 1.8 years and weighing 13.6 ± 1.7 kg, SHIMIZU Laboratory Supplies Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). The dogs were individually housed in each cage and confirmed to be clinically healthy based on physical tests, blood tests, and image examination prior to the study. They did not receive any medications in the 6 months prior to the examination. They were fed the same commercial food

(Aiken Genki, Unicharm Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and were individually housed in separate cages in the same room at the experiment animal facility.

2) Flomoxef administration and serum sampling

A central venous catheter (Covidien Japan, Inc., Tokyo) was placed as described in Chapter 2. Flomoxef (Shionogi Co. Ltd, Osaka, Japan) was dissolved in water for injection (Nissin Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd., Yamagata, Japan) and was bolus administered at 40 mg/kg through the radial skin vein. Three mL blood samples were collected from a central venous catheter before administration and 2 mL at 5, 10, 30, 45, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 240, 300, and 360 min after administration. Serum samples were collected after coagulation by centrifugation at 1,300 × g for 10 min and stored at -80°C until analysis.

3) Determination of serum flomoxef concentrations in dogs

Calculation of FMX concentration in serum samples was outsourced to NDTS, Inc. (Hokkaido, Japan). Briefly, as an IS, 200 μ L of LMX sodium (Shionogi, Osaka, Japan) solution (1 μ g/mL) was added to the same volume of serum. After 100 μ L of 20% sulfosalicylic acid was added, the IS was mixed vigorously for 30 sec and centrifuged at 12,000× g for 5 min. Then 250 μ L of supernatant was collected and mixed with 250 μ L of 100 mM acetic acid solution. The mixture was subjected to solid-phase extraction using Oasis HLB (1 cc, 30 mg; Waters, USA). After loading, each sample was washed with 1 ml of 20 mM aqueous acetic acid solution, followed by elution with 1 mL of methanol. The eluted solution was dried at 35°C under a stream of nitrogen and then dissolved into 100 μ L of methanol. High-performance liquid chromatograph-tandem mass spectrometer (Prominence and LCMS-8045 tandem mass spectrometer, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). Separation by high-performance

liquid chromatography was performed using two solutions: mobile phase A, 10 mM ammonium formate solution, and mobile phase B, 10 mM ammonium formate plus methanol, with the following gradient conditions: 5% (0 min)–40% (6 min)–100% (8 min)–100% (10 min)–5% (10.5 min). After 5 μ L of sample was injected, target molecules were separated on a C18 reversed-phase column (Cadenza CD-C18, 3.0 mm i.d. × 150 mm, intact, Kyoto, Japan), which was controlled at a temperature under 40°C. Mass spectrometry was performed in electrospray ionization (positive) and multiple monitoring reaction mode at a capillary voltage of 4.5 Kv, source (DL) temperature of 250°C, nebulization gas 180 L/hr, and drench gas 10 L/min. LMX was detected at monitor ion m/z = 521 > 137, collision energy 27 V, and FMX at m/z = 497 > 137, collision energy 26 V. The area under the peak was determined by the analytical software LCMS solution (Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). The FMX concentration in each sample was calculated using a calibration curve with the serum obtained before antimicrobial product administration, to which a known concentration of FMX sodium (Shionogi Co., Ltd.) had been added.

4) Calculation of pharmacokinetics parameters

MCS was performed using commercial software (Oracle Crystal Ball version 11.1.2.4.850, Kozo Keikaku Engineering Inc., Tokyo, Japan) to calculate PTA based on the PK/PD parameters of FMX at a 40 mg/kg bolus dose at every 12, 8, and 6 h. The PK parameters from the non-compartment model were calculated using the package PK (ver. 4.0.3) of R software (Jaki & Wolfsegger, 2010) based on serum FMX concentrations in five dogs.

5) Monte Carlo simulation

Based on log-normally distributed PK parameters, 10,000 virtual patients were generated for each dosage regimen to construct serum concentration-time profiles of FMX. The percentage of time that the f%T_{>MIC}, based on the serum protein binding rate of 8% (Kimura *et al.*, 1987), was employed as the PDI to determine the optimal dosage regimen. The PDI target value was set as \geq 40% according to a previous study (Tashiro *et al.*, 2021). The nonclinical PK/PD cutoff was calculated as the highest MIC that achieved a PTA of \geq 90% (Papich, 2014; CLSI guideline M23, 2023). The CFR was calculated based on the wild-type MIC distribution, of which FMX in ESBL-E (*E. coli, K. pneumoniae, P. mirabilis*, and *E. cloacae*) isolates from companion animals were determined in the previous studies (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017; Chapter 1). A regimen with a CFR of \geq 90% is defined as optimal, and a regimen with a CFR of 80–90% is defined as moderately successful (Wang *et al.*, 2021).

3. Results

1) Animals

None of the dogs had any adverse effects or abnormal blood test results during the study.

2) Pharmacokinetics parameters of flomoxef in dogs

The blood concentration-time curve and PK parameters of FMX when bolus intravenous administrated at 40 mg/kg are shown in Fig. 6 and Table 5, respectively. Serum FMX concentration at 5 min was $111.82 \pm 19.60 \ \mu g/mL$, which decreased gradually.

 Nonclinical pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics cutoff and cumulative fraction of response of flomoxef for extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales in dogs

The PTA results of FMX at each MIC, when administered at 40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h, are shown in Fig. 7. All regimens achieved a PTA of more than 90% at MIC of \leq 0.5 µg/mL but

not at an MIC of \geq 16 µg/mL. Based on the calculated PTA, the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values at 40 mg/kg at 12, 8, and 6 h were \leq 0.5, \leq 2, and \leq 8 µg/mL, respectively.

Table 6 shows the results of CFR calculated based on the wild-type MIC distribution of ESBL-E. Considering the estimated CFR, the regimens of 40 mg/kg every 8 and 6 h were optimal, and that of 40 mg/kg every 12 h was moderately successful for dogs infected with ESBL-producing *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *P. mirabilis*. In contrast, none of the regimens achieved CFR < 80% for ESBL-producing *E. cloacae*-infected dogs.

4. Discussion

This study is the first to report the usefulness of FMX, an oxacephem used in humans, against ESBL infections in dogs, based on a PK/PD approach.

Although antimicrobial susceptibility breakpoints are essential indicators for appropriate antimicrobial therapy, the breakpoint for FMX has not yet been established in both humans and animals. In this study, the author attempted to establish canine-specific nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values by using MCS analysis. The results showed that nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values for FMX increase with shorter dosing intervals, as previously reported in humans (Hirano *et al.*, 2023). In addition, these nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values are higher than the MIC₉₀ of ESBLproducing *K. pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis* (1 μ g/mL each) and that of ESBL-producing *E. coli* (4 μ g/mL) (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017; Chapter 1) when administered at every 8 and 6 h, respectively. In addition, the CFR simulated in this study suggests that every 6 and 8 h regimens of FMX are appropriate for the treatment of ESBL-producing *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *P. mirabilis* infections in dogs. Similar dosing intervals were proposed to achieve bactericidal concentrations against ESBL infections as found in human patients based on PK/PD simulation (Hirano *et al.*, 2021). These findings in this present study indicate that FMX administration at shorter dose intervals can be an alternative treatment for ESBL-producing *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *P. mirabilis* infections in dogs.

In contrast, all of the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values calculated in this study were lower than FMX MIC₉₀ for ESBL-producing *E. cloacae* (> 256 μ g/mL) (Chapter 1). This finding supports that the CFR for ESBL-producing *E. cloacae* was not even moderately successful. It is known that *E. cloacae* has an inducible chromosomal ABL, which can be induced by cephamycins, including oxacephems (Neu & Chin, 1986; Jacoby, 2009). Therefore, FMX is unlikely to be a candidate antimicrobial product for ESBL-producing *E. cloacae* infections in dogs. However, infection with ESBL-producing *E. cloacae* is less prevalent in companion animals (Zogg *et al.*, 2018).

The optimal dose of FMX In dogs has not yet been established. In this study, the author used 40 mg/kg per dose, referencing to the human dosage (i.e., a maximum of 37.5 mg/kg four times per day), and investigated the blood PK of FMX in dogs when bolus administered at this dose. The results revealed similar values for T1/2 and CL, compared with those in healthy human subjects, 44.2–46.2 min and 15.14 L/h, respectively (Yasunaga *et al.*, 1987; Hamada *et al.*, 2022). This implies that the elimination rate of FMX in dogs is comparable to that in humans, although the protein binding rate is much lower in dogs (8%) than in humans (36.2%) (Hamada *et al.*, 2022). Mitsuzono *et al.* (1987) estimated that the no-observed effect level of FMX in dogs is 200 mg/kg/day based on a 6-month intravenous toxicity study. Therefore, the author believes that the dosage regimens in this study (40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h) are fully acceptable from the viewpoint of safety.

In this chapter, the author calculated nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values at 40 mg/kg FMX every 12, 8, and 6 h by MCS and estimate CFR based on the MIC distribution of wild-type ESBL-E. These results indicated that every 8 and 6 h dosage regimens of 40 mg/kg FMX are effective non-carbapenem treatment options for infections with ESBL-producing *E. coli*, *P.*

mirabilis, and *K. pneumoniae*. However, ESBL-producing *E. cloacae* infection in dogs cannot be treated with FMX. The author believes that these results provide a basis for the use of FMX in dogs with ESBL infections.

Table 5. Pharmacokinetics parameters were determined after intravenous administration of FMX at the dose of 40 mg/kg body weight in dogs.

| Parameters (unit) ^{a)} | Values (SD) ^{b)} |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| AUC (mg·h/L) | 134.61 (6.79) |
| MRT (h) | 1.10 (0.09) |
| T1/2 (h) | 0.76 (0.06) |
| CL (L/h) | 2.97 (0.15) |
| Vd (L) | 3.27 (0.27) |

a) AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; MRT, mean residence time; T1/2, elimination half-life; CL, total body clearance; Vd, volume of distribution.

b) Values are the mean (SD) of five dogs after intravenous administration.

| Regimens | CFR (%) | | | |
|------------|---------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | E. coli | K. pneumoniae | P. mirabilis | E. cloacae |
| every 12 h | 83.63 | 87.12 | 87.85 | 23.12 |
| every 8 h | 91.32 | 92.40 | 96.22 | 50.17 |
| every 6 h | 93.26 | 94.13 | 98.57 | 65.28 |

Table 6. CFR following regimens of 40 mg/kg FMX in dogs against wild-type MIC distribution of ESBL-E



Fig 6. The semilogarithmic plot of serum FMX concentration in dogs administered a dose of 40 mg/kg body weight (mean ± SD, n = 5).



Fig. 7. PTA (%) at each MIC following intravenous administration of FMX.

Chapter 4

Application of Monte Carlo simulation to examine the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of latamoxef against extended spectrum β-lactamase infections in dogs

1. Introduction

Latamoxef is an oxacephem that exhibits stable properties against ESBL due to a methoxy group at position 7 in its structure (Jacoby & Carreras, 1990). LMX is considered an alternative to carbapenems against ESBL infections in humans (Ito *et al.*, 2014; Huang *et al.*, 2019). Shimizu *et al.* (2017) and the author have also previously demonstrated that ESBL-E from dogs and cats are more susceptible to LMX than CMZ and FMX (Chapter 1), which indicates that LMX might be a more effective alternative antibiotic. However, the LMX dosage regimen for dogs with ESBL infection has not been fully investigated because of a lack of PK data.

In the present investigation, canine-specific nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values were established by MCS; LMX PK profiles were obtained through administration studies in dogs; and the efficacy of LMX dosage regimens for canine ESBL infections was investigated.

2. Materials and Methods

1) Animals

Three male and two female beagle dogs, weighing 13.7 ± 1.9 kg and aged 7.1 ± 1.0 years, were purchased from SHIMIZU Laboratory Supplies Co., Ltd. (Kyoto, Japan) and evaluated. Assessments of the dogs' physical state, blood test outcomes, and visual presentation were used to confirm that they were clinically healthy. They were not given any medicine in the 6 months before the trial. They were given the same commercial food (Aiken Genki, Unicharm Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and were individually housed in cages placed in one room at the experimental animal facility. This study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Tottori University and ethically approved by the Committee (approval number no. 22-T-12).

2) Latamoxef administration and blood sampling

A central venous catheter (Covidien Japan, Inc., Tokyo) was placed as described in Chapter 2. After dissolution in sterile water, LMX (Shionogi Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) was bolus-administered via the radial cutaneous vein at a dose of 40 mg/kg body weight. Approximately 3 mL of blood was drawn from the CVC prior to administration, and 2 mL was drawn at 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, 180, 240, 300, 360, 540, 720, and 1,440 min following the injection. After clotting, each blood sample was centrifuged at 1,300 × g for 10 min to collect the serum and, which was then stored at -80°C until analysis.

3) Serum latamoxef concentration measurement

Measurement of serum LMX concentrations was outsourced to NDTS, Inc. (Hokkaido, Japan). Briefly, 200 μ L FMX sodium (Shionogi, Osaka, Japan) solution (1 μ g/mL) was added to the same volume of serum as an IS. Following centrifugation at 12,000 × g for 5 min, the IS solution was mixed with 100 μ L of 20% sulfosalicylic acid and stirred thoroughly for 30 seconds. Solid-phase extraction was performed with Oasis HLB (1 cc, 30 mg; Waters, MA, USA), using 250 μ L of the supernatant combined with the same volume of 100 mM acetic acid solution. Each sample was loaded, rinsed with 1 mL acetic acid solution, and eluted with 1 mL methanol. An HPLC–mass spectrometer (Prominence and LCMS-8045 tandem mass spectrometer, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) was used to perform HPLC–tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). Mobile phases A (10 mM ammonium formate solution) and B (10 mM ammonium formate plus methanol solution) were employed under the following gradient conditions: 5%, 0 min; 40%, 6 min; 100%, 8 min; 100%, 10 min; and 5%, 10.5 min. On a C18 reversed-phase column (Cadenza CD-C18, 3.0 mm i.d. × 150 mm, undamaged, Kyoto, Japan), regulated at 40°C, target molecules were separated after injecting 5 μ L of each sample. Mass spectrometry was performed under a capillary voltage of 4.5 kV, source temperature of 250°C,

nebulization gas flow rate of 180 L/h, drench gas flow rate of 10 L/min, positive electrospray ionization, and multiple monitoring reaction mode. LMX was detected at monitor ion m/z = 521 > 137 and collision energy 27 V, whereas FMX was detected at m/z = 497 > 137 and collision energy 26 V. Using analytical software (LCMS solution, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan), the area under the curve was determined. A calibration curve was prepared by spiking known concentrations of LMX into antibiotic-free serum and was used to calculate the amount of LMX in each sample.

4) Pharmacokinetics parameter computation

To estimate PTA based on the PK and PD characteristics of 40 mg/kg LMX bolus administration at every 12, 8, and 6 h, MCS was conducted using commercial software (Oracle Crystal Ball version 11.1.2.4.850, Kozo Keikaku Engineering Inc., Tokyo, Japan). Based on the serum LMX concentrations obtained from five dogs, the PK profiles from the non-compartment model were calculated using the package PK (ver. 4.0.3) of R software (Jaki & Wolfsegger, 2010).

5) Monte Carlo simulation

To develop serum LMX concentration-time profiles, 10,000 virtual patients were created for each dosage regimen of LMX, based on PK profiles presumed to be log-normally distributed. The PDI, which measures the f%T>_{MIC}, was used to calculate the clinical effectiveness of LMX. We adopted 45% as the canine-specific serum protein-binding rate of LMX (Yoshida *et al.*, 1980) and f%T>_{MIC} \geq 40% as the PDI (Craig, 1998; Papich, 2014). The highest MIC to attain a PTA \geq 90% was used to determine the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values (Mouton *et al.*, 2012; Xiao *et al.*, 2015). CFR was determined using the wild-type MIC distribution of LMX in ESBL-E isolates from companion animals, including *K. pneumoniae*, *E. coli*, *P. mirabilis*, and *E*. *cloacae* (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017; Chapter 1). A regimen was characterized as optimal if its $CFR \ge$ 90%, and considered moderately successful if it was between 80–90% (Wang *et al.*, 2021).

3. Results

1) Animals

Over the course of the dosing regimen studies, none of the dogs showed any negative side effects or unusual blood test findings.

2) Pharmacokinetics profiles of latamoxef in dogs

Fig. 8 and Table 7 depict the blood concentration-time curve and PK profiles of the LMX bolus administered intravenously at a dose of 40 mg/kg. Serum LMX concentration at 5 min was $215.17 \pm 35.43 \ \mu g/mL$, which decreased gradually.

 Nonclinical pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics cutoff and cumulative fraction of response of latamoxef for canine extended spectrum β-lactamase infections

Fig. 9 shows the PTA results for LMX at each MIC at 40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h. PTA \geq 90% was attained in all regimens at MICs \leq 2 µg/mL but not at MICs \geq 32 µg/mL. Based on these PTA, the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values determined at 40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h were \leq 2, \leq 8, and \leq 16 µg/mL, respectively.

The CFR derived from the wild-type MIC distribution of ESBL-E is presented in Table 8. Dogs infected with ESBL-producing isolates of *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *P. mirabilis* responded best to 40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h (CFR \ge 90%). Conversely, for dogs infected with ESBL-producing *E. cloacae*, all regimens were only modestly successful (CFR of 80–90%).

4. Discussion

Breakpoints for LMX have been established by the CLSI (CLSI supplement M100, 2023) for humans but not for dogs. The present results showed that the canine-specific nonclinical PK/PD cutoff value increased with a shorter dosing interval and that dosing at 40 mg/kg every 8 h was comparable to the CLSI susceptibility breakpoint ($\leq 8 \mu g/mL$) (CLSI supplement M100, 2023). Furthermore, nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values in the present study were higher than the MIC₉₀ values for ESBL-producing *P. mirabilis*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *E. coli* isolated from cats and dogs (Shimizu *et al.*, 2017; Chapter 2). Based on the calculation of CFRs, LMX protocols at 40 mg/kg every 12 h, in addition to every 8 and 6 h, appear to be optimally effective (CFR \geq 90%) for the management of ESBL infections in dogs. For ESBL-producing *E. cloacae*, the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values were lower than the MIC₉₀ (32 µg/mL), but the CFRs with all dosing intervals indicated a moderate therapeutic effect.

No LMX dosage has been approved in dogs. A reference dose of 40 mg/kg for humans (each dose, maximum 37.5 mg/kg four times a day) was evaluated. LMX showed a higher clearance rate and a shorter T1/2 than those reported for humans (1.9–2.2 h and 0.08–0.104 L/min, respectively) (Carmine *et al.*, 1983). These differences may be due to the lower protein-binding rate in dogs (45%) than in humans (60%) (Yoshida *et al.*, 1980). LMX has a risk of hemorrhagic adverse events due to hypoprothrombinemia in humans (Park *et al.*, 2019). The highest dose of LMX that has no impact on dogs is 400 mg/kg/day, as reported by Kobayashi *et al.* (1980) based on a 32-day safety investigation. In addition, they confirmed that dogs exhibited hypoprothrombinemia when administered at a dose of 1,600 mg/kg/day, which is 10 times the human dose administered 6 h apart at 40 mg/kg. Based on the differences between humans and dogs, the dosage regimens in the present study (40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h) would be entirely satisfactory from a safety perspective.

In this chapter, the author established the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values of 40 mg/kg LMX delivered at every 12, 8, and 6 h via MCS and determined CFRs using the wild-type MIC distribution of ESBL-E isolated from companion animals. The results indicate that 40 mg/kg LMX every 12 h, in addition to every 8 and 6 h, the administration is an effective non-carbapenem therapy for ESBL infections in dogs.

Table 7. Pharmacokinetics characteristics of dogs (n = 5) intravenously administered with LMX at a dose of 40 mg/kg body weight.

| Parameters (unit) ^{a)} | Values (SD) ^{b)} |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| AUC (mg·h/L) | 266.05 (31.01) |
| MRT (h) | 1.80 (0.26) |
| T1/2 (h) | 1.24 (0.18) |
| CL (L/h) | 1.50 (0.18) |
| Vd (L) | 2.70 (0.34) |

a) MRT, mean residence time; CL, total body clearance; T1/2, elimination half-life; Vd, volume of distribution; AUC, area under the concentration-time curve; SD, standard deviation.

b) After intravenous administration, values are expressed as mean (SD).

| Regimens | | CFR (%) | | |
|------------|---------|---------------|--------------|------------|
| | E. coli | K. pneumoniae | P. mirabilis | E. cloacae |
| every 12 h | 97.36 | 95.41 | 97.86 | 84.93 |
| every 8 h | 99.31 | 98.80 | 99.94 | 86.45 |
| every 6 h | 99.89 | 99.36 | 100 | 87.82 |

Table 8. CFR in dogs treated with 40 mg/kg LMX against the wild-type MIC distribution of ESBL-E.



Fig 8. The semilogarithmic plot of serum LMX concentration in dogs administered a dose of 40 mg/kg body weight (mean ± SD, n = 5).



Fig. 9. PTA (%) at each MIC following intravenous administration of LMX.

General Conclusion

There are no established treatment guidelines for ESBL infections in veterinary medicine. In addition, effective therapeutic antimicrobial products for the infection have not been fully investigated in companion animals. Carbapenems, which are used as first-line antibiotics in humans, have not been approved for use in companion animals due to the risk of selecting CRE. Cephamycins, including CMZ, FMX, and LMX, have high stability against ESBLs and high safety for dogs, indicating these cephamycins are carbapenem-sparing candidates for ESBL infections in dogs. However, these cephamycins are not approved for veterinary use, and their *in vitro* and *in vivo* efficacies have not yet been fully investigated in companion animals. The present studies were carried out to obtain knowledge of the efficacies and practicalities of cephamycins and to establish appropriate dosing regimens of some cephamycins for the treatment of canine ESBL infections by the MCS-based PK/PD approach.

In Chapter 1, the author examined the *in vitro* efficacy of CMZ, FMX, and LMX in ESBLproducing isolates of *K. pneumoniae*, *P. mirabilis*, and *E. cloacae* isolated from companion animals. As a result, *K. pneumoniae* and *P. mirabilis* isolates producing only ESBLs exhibited high susceptibility rates to all of the tested cephamycins (95.5–99.1% and 82.7–100%, respectively), which were comparable to that of MEM. In contrast, *K. pneumoniae* isolates producing both ESBLs and ABLs exhibited low susceptibility rates to CMZ and FMX (both 12.5%), although these bacteria exhibited higher susceptibility rates to LMX, as well as MEM, irrespective of ABL production status. The author further found the low susceptibility rates of all cephamycins in ESBL-producing *E. cloacae* isolates, compared with those of MEM: however, there are differences in the susceptibility rates among the three cephamycins [i.e., extremely lower (7.2%), moderate (59.4%), and higher susceptibility rates (85.5%) to CMZ, FMX, and LMX, respectively]. These results imply that the *in vitro* efficacy of cephamycins on ESBL-E can be greatly affected by antimicrobial substance, ABL production status, and bacterial species, and of these drugs, LMX has the highest *in vitro* efficacy on ESBL-E isolates from companion animals.

In Chapters 2, 3, and 4, the author investigated the PKs of CMZ, FMX, and LMX, respectively, in dogs. The administration experiments revealed that LMX had a higher area under the concentration-time curve (266.05 mg·h/L) and longer elimination half-life (1.24 h) in dogs, compared with those of CMZ (103.36 mg·h/L and 0.84 h) and FMX (134.61 mg·h/L and 0.76 h), due to the lowest total body clearance. Subsequently, the author established canine-specific nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values of these cephamycins by the MCS using PK indices. As a result, nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values of all the cephamycins increased by shortening dosing intervals, reflecting the characteristics of time-dependent antibiotics. A comparison between the three cephamycins revealed that the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values of LMX at every 12, 8, and 6 h (≤ 2 , ≤ 8 , and $\leq 16 \mu g/mL$) were greatly higher than those of CMZ (≤ 0.5 , ≤ 2 , and $\leq 4 \mu g/mL$) and FMX (≤ 0.5 , ≤ 2 , and $\leq 8 \mu g/mL$), respectively. Thus, it is likely that LMX has more advantageous PK characteristics, thereby resulting in higher nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values, compared with CMZ and FMX.

Furthermore, the author estimated the CFRs of the three cephamycins based on each MIC distribution of wild-type ESBL-E isolates from companion animals. As the results, the dosage regimens of LMX 40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h and those of FMX 40 mg/kg every 8 and 6 h achieved CFR of \geq 90% for infections of ESBL-producing *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *P. mirabilis*, and thus the author supposes that these regimens are optimal regimens for these infections. In addition, the CMZ dosage regimen of 40 mg/kg every 6 h was estimated to be moderately effective for infections of ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* due to the CFR of 80–90%. As for ESBL-producing *E. cloacae*, the LMX dosage regimens of 40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h achieved CFR of > 80%, which is expected to be moderately successful,

whereas the CMZ and FMX dosage regimens could not achieve satisfactory CFR irrespective of dosing intervals. These considerations of CFR suggest that regimens of CMZ, FMX and LMX are effective for infections of ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, FMX and LMX for that of *P. mirabilis*, and only LMX for that of *E. cloacae*.

This study has several limitations. First, the author used only a small number of dogs to calculate the PK parameters because of animal welfare concerns. However, the author increased the reliability of these parameters by using bootstrap replicates. Second, PK parameters were determined in healthy beagle dogs, which may differ from those in patients with renal dysfunction, as previously reported in humans (Bolton *et al.*, 1980; Ohkawa *et al.*, 1980; Aronoff *et al.*, 1982; Andrassy *et al.*, 1991). In dogs, Monaghan *et al.* (2021) demonstrated that the blood concentration of ampicillin, which is mainly excreted renally as well as cephamycins, can increase in dogs with renal diseases. Such increased concentrations of antibiotics may result in increased their efficacy. Third, MIC-based PK/PD analysis was performed in this study; therefore, the author did not consider other PD parameters, such as multiple PD parameters and kill rate (Zhang *et al.*, 2022). Further studies would be needed to overcome these limitations.

In conclusion, the author verified the efficacy of CMZ, FMX, and LMX for ESBL infections in dogs by PK/PD-based approaches. The author also found the higher efficacy of LMX dosage regimens for canine ESBL infections, compared with dosage regimens of CMZ and FMX, due to the preferable PK and PD indices. However, the author emphasizes the need to take into account bacterial species and ABL production status, which can affect their efficacy on the use of cephamycins. Further clinical research would provide a rationale for the practical use of these cephamycins as carbapenem-sparing antibiotics for ESBL infections in dogs.

References

- Ambler, R. P. (1980). The structure of beta-lactamases. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences*, 289, 321–331.
- Andrassy, K., Koderisch, J., Gorges, K., Sonntag, H. & Hirauchi, K. (1991). Pharmacokinetics and hemostasis following administration of a new, injectable oxacephem (6315-S, flomoxef) in volunteers and in patients with renal insufficiency. *Infection*, 19, S296–S302.
- Aronoff, G. R., Sloan, R. S. & Luft, F. C. (1982). Pharmacokinetics of moxalactam in patients with normal and impaired renal function. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 145, 365–369.
- Bolton, W. K., Scheld, W. M., Spyker, D. A., Overby, T. L. & Sande, M. A. (1980).
 Pharmacokinetics of moxalactam in subjects with various degrees of renal dysfunction. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 18, 933–938.
- Borin, M. T., Peters, G. R. & Smith, T. C. (1990). Pharmacokinetics and dose proportionality of cefmetazole in healthy young and elderly volunteers. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 34, 1944–1948.
- Bradley, J. S., Dudley, M. N. & Drusano, G. L. (2003). Predicting efficacy of antiinfectives with pharmacodynamics and Monte Carlo simulation. *Pediatric Infectious Disease Journal*, 22, 982–995.
- Bush, K. & Jacoby, G. A. (2010). Updated functional classification of betalactamases. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 54, 969–976.
- Cagnardi, P., Di Cesare, F., Toutain, P. L., Bousquet-Mélou, A., Ravasio, G. & Villa, R.
 (2018). Population Pharmacokinetic Study of Cefazolin Used Prophylactically in Canine
 Surgery for Susceptibility Testing Breakpoint Determination. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 9, 1137.

- Carmine, A. A., Brogden, R. N., Heel, R. C., Romankiewicz, J. A., Speight, T. M. & Avery, G.
 S. (1983). Moxalactam (latamoxef). A review of its antibacterial activity, pharmacokinetic properties and therapeutic use. *Drugs*, 26, 279–333.
- Castanheira, M., Simner, P. J. & Bradford, P. A. (2021). Extended-spectrum β-lactamases: an update on their characteristics, epidemiology and detection. *JAC-Antimicrobial Resistance*, 3, dlab092.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2018). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Disk and Dilution Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria Isolated From Animals. 5th ed. *CLSI Standard VET01*.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. (2022). Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 32nd ed. *CLSI Supplement M100*.
- Clinical and laboratory Standards Institute. (2023). Development of In Vitro Susceptibility Test Methods, Breakpoints, and Quality Control Parameters. 6th ed. *CLSI Guideline M23*.
- Clinical Laboratory and Performance Standards Institute. (2023). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 33rd ed. *CLSI Supplement M100*.
- Craig, W. A. (1998). Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameters: rationale for antibacterial dosing of mice and men. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, 26, 1–12.
- Darlow, C. A. & Hope, W. (2022). Flomoxef for neonates: extending options for treatment of neonatal sepsis caused by ESBL-producing Enterobacterales. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 77, 711–718.
- Doi, A., Shimada, T., Harada, S., Iwata, K. & Kamiya, T. (2013). The efficacy of cefmetazole against pyelonephritis caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 17, e159–163.
- Drusano, G. L., Preston, S. L., Hardalo, C., Hare, R., Banfield, C., Andes, D., Vesga, O. & Craig, W. A. (2001). Use of preclinical data for selection of a phase II/III dose for

evernimicin and identification of a preclinical MIC breakpoint. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 45, 13–22.

- Fukuchi, T., Iwata, K., Kobayashi, S., Nakamura, T. & Ohji, G. (2016). Cefmetazole for bacteremia caused by ESBL-producing enterobacteriaceae comparing with carbapenems. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 16, 427.
- Guerra, B., Fischer, J. & Helmuth, R. (2014). An emerging public health problem: acquired carbapenemase-producing microorganisms are present in food-producing animals, their environment, companion animals and wild birds. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 171, 290–297.
- Hamada, Y., Matsumura, Y., Nagashima, M., Akazawa, T., Doi, Y. & Hayakawa, K. (2021).
 Retrospective evaluation of appropriate dosing of cefmetazole for invasive urinary tract infection due to extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*. *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*, 27, 1602–1606.
- Hamada, Y., Kasai, H., Suzuki-Ito, M., Matsumura, Y., Doi, Y. & Hayakawa, K. (2022).
 Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic Analysis and Dose Optimization of Cefmetazole and Flomoxef against Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamase-Producing *Enterobacterales* in Patients with Invasive Urinary Tract Infection Considering Renal Function. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 11, 456.
- Harada, K., Shimizu, T., Mukai, Y., Kuwajima, K., Sato, T., Usui, M., Tamura, Y., Kimura, Y.,
 Miyamoto, T., Tsuyuki, Y., Ohki, A. & Kataoka, Y. (2016). Phenotypic and Molecular
 Characterization of Antimicrobial Resistance in *Klebsiella* spp. Isolates from Companion
 Animals in Japan: Clonal Dissemination of Multidrug-Resistant Extended-Spectrum βLactamase-Producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 1021.
- Harada, K., Shimizu, T., Mukai, Y., Kuwajima, K., Sato, T., Kajino, A., Usui, M., Tamura, Y., Kimura, Y., Miyamoto, T., Tsuyuki, Y., Ohki, A. & Kataoka, Y. (2017). Phenotypic and
molecular characterization of antimicrobial resistance in *Enterobacter* spp. isolates from companion animals in Japan. *PLOS One*, 12, e0174178.

- Hirano, T., Ohge, H., Ikawa, K., Uegami, S., Watadani, Y., Shigemoto, N., Yoshimura, K., Kitagawa, H., Kaiki, Y., Morikawa, N. & Takahashi, S. (2023). Pharmacokinetics of flomoxef in plasma, peritoneal fluid, peritoneum, and subcutaneous adipose tissue of patients undergoing lower gastrointestinal surgery: Dosing considerations based on sitespecific pharmacodynamic target attainment. *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*, 29, 186–192.
- Horie, A., Nariai, A., Katou, F., Abe, Y., Saito, Y., Koike, D., Hirade, T., Ito, T., Wakuri, M. & Fukuma, A. (2019). Increased community-acquired upper urinary tract infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in children and the efficacy of flomoxef and cefmetazole. *Clinical and Experimental Nephrology*, 23, 1306–1314.
- Huang, C., Shi, Q., Zheng, B., Ji, J., Ying, C., Yu, X., Wang, H. & Xiao, Y. (2019). Simulating moxalactam dosage for extended-spectrum β-lactamaseproducing *Enterobacteriaceae* using blood antimicrobial surveillance network
 data. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, 12, 1199–1208.
- Ikawa, K. & Tanaka, J. (2015). Introduction to pharmacokinetic analysis -focus on phase I study. *Japanese Journal of Biometrics*, 36, S3–S18.
- Ito, A., Tatsumi, Y., Wajima, T., Nakamura, R. & Tsuji, M. (2014). Potent antibacterial activities of latamoxef (moxalactam) against ESBL producing Enterobacteriaceae analyzed by Monte Carlo simulation. *Japanese Journal of Antibiotics*, 67, 109–122.
- Ito, R., Kawamura, M., Sato, T. & Fujimura, S. (2022). Cefmetazole resistance mechanism for *Escherichia coli* including ESBL-producing strains. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, 15, 5867–5878.

- Jacoby, G. A. & Carreras, I. (1990). Activities of beta-lactam antibiotics against *Escherichia coli* strains producing extended-spectrum beta-lactamases. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 34, 858–862.
- Jacoby, G. A. (2009). AmpC beta-lactamases. Clinical Microbiology Reviews, 22, 161–182.
- Jaki, T. & Wolfsegger, M. J. (2010). Estimation of pharmacokinetic parameters with the R package PK. *Journal of Applied Statistics in the Pharmaceutical Industry*, 10, 284–288.
- Jitaree, K., Sathirakul, K., Houngsaitong, J., Asuphon, O., Saelim, W., Thamlikitkul, V. & Montakantikul, P. (2019). Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) simulation for dosage optimization of colistin against carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and carbapenem-resistant *Escherichia coli*. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 8, 125.
- Jones, R.N. (1989). Cefmetazole (CS-1170), a "new" cephamycin with a decade of clinical experience. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, 12, 367–79.
- Jung, Y., Lee, S. S., Song, W., Kim, H. S. & Uh, Y. (2019). In vitro activity of flomoxef against extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in Korea. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, 94, 88–92.
- Kanno, N., Hayakawa, N., Suzuki, S., Harada, Y., Yogo, T. & Hara, Y. (2019). Changes in canine C-reactive protein levels following orthopaedic surgery: a prospective study. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, 61, 33.
- Karaiskos, I. & Giamarellou, H. (2020). Carbapenem-Sparing Strategies for ESBL Producers: When and How. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 9, 61.
- Katayama, M., Seki, T., Takei, Y. & Takahira, A. (2017). Preputial reconstruction and urethrostomy after subtotal penile amputation in a dog. *Journal of the Hellenic Veterinary Medical Society*, 68, 669–74.

- Kimura, Y., Nakashimizu, H., Nakano, M., Otsubo, R., Matsubara, H. & Yoshida, T. (1987).
 Pharmacokinetic characterization of 6315-S (flomoxef) in experimental animals.
 Chemotherapy, 35, 226–250.
- Kobayashi, F., Matsuura, M., Hasegawa, N., Yoshizaki, T. & Harada, Y. (1980). Subacute toxicity of 6059-S in dogs. *Chemotherapy*, 28, 1029–1071.
- Köck, R., Daniels-Haardt, I., Becker, K., Mellmann, A., Friedrich, A. W., Mevius, D.,
 Schwarz, S. & Jurke, A. (2018). Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* in wildlife,
 food-producing, and companion animals: a systematic review. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 24, 1241–1250.
- Komiya, M., Kikuchi, Y., Tachibana, A. & Yano, K. (1982). Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion of cefotetan (YM09330), a new broad spectrum cephamycin, in experimental animals. *Chemotherapy*, 30, 106–118.
- KuKanich, K., Burklund, A., McGaughey, R., Muturi, N., Thomason, S., Chengappa, M. M., Garrison, I., Stacey, B., Zhang, S. & Gull, T. (2023). One Health approach for reporting veterinary carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales and other bacteria of public health concern. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, 29, 1–9.
- Lee, C. H., Su, L. H., Tang, Y. F. & Liu, J. W. (2006). Treatment of ESBL-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteraemia with carbapenems or flomoxef: a retrospective study and laboratory analysis of the isolates. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 58, 1074–1077.
- Lee, C. H., Su, L. H., Chen, F. J., Tang, Y. F., Li, C. C., Chien, C. C. & Liu, J. W. (2015).
 Comparative effectiveness of flomoxef versus carbapenems in the treatment of bacteraemia due to extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* or *Klebsiella pneumoniae* with emphasis on minimum inhibitory concentration of flomoxef: a retrospective study. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 46, 610–615.

- Maeyama, Y., Taniguchi, Y., Hayashi, W., Ohsaki, Y., Osaka, S., Koide, S., Tamai, K.,
 Nagano, Y., Arakawa, Y. & Nagano, N. (2018). Prevalence of ESBL/AmpC genes and
 specific clones among the third-generation cephalosporin-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*from canine and feline clinical specimens in Japan. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 216, 183–189.
- Ma, J., Song, X., Li, M., Yu, Z., Cheng, W., Yu, Z., Zhang, W., Zhang, Y., Shen, A., Sun, H. & Li, L. (2023). Global spread of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*:
 Epidemiological features, resistance mechanisms, detection and therapy. *Microbiological Research*, 266, 127249.
- Masuda, H., Kimura, K., Okada, T., Matsunuma, N., Tanase, H., Maita, K. & Akuzawa, M.
 (1978). Toxicological studies of CS-1170. I. Acute, subacute and chronic toxicities of CS-1170 in experimental animals. *Annual Report of Sankyo Research Laboratories*, 30, 112–147.
- Matsumura, Y., Yamamoto, M., Nagao, M., Komori, T., Fujita, N., Hayashi, A., Shimizu, T.,
 Watanabe, H., Doi, S., Tanaka, M., Takakura, S. & Ichiyama, S. (2015). Multicenter
 retrospective study of cefmetazole and flomoxef for treatment of extended-spectrum-βlactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* bacteremia. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 59, 5107–5113.
- Matsumura, Y., Yamamoto, M., Nagao, M., Tanaka, M., Takakura, S. & Ichiyama, S. (2016).
 In vitro activities and detection performances of cefmetazole and flomoxef for extended-spectrum β-lactamase and plasmid-mediated AmpC β-lactamase-producing
 Enterobacteriaceae. *Diagnostic Microbiology and Infectious Disease*, 84, 322–327.
- McKinnon, P. S., Paladino, J. A. & Schentag, J. J. (2008). Evaluation of area under the inhibitory curve (AUIC) and time above the minimum inhibitory concentration (T>MIC)

as predictors of outcome for cefepime and ceftazidime in serious bacterial infections. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 31, 345–351.

Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. (2013). Guideline on bioanalytical method validation in pharmaceutical development.

Available at: https://www.nihs.go.jp/drug/BMV/250913_BMV-GL_E.pdf

- Mitsuzono, T., Isowa, K., Ogawa, H., Kashima, H., Aoyama, N., Ohbara D., Ishimura, K. & Hatano, M. (1987). Intravenous chronic toxicity study of 6315-S (flomoxef) in beagles. *Chemotherapy*, 35, 292–314.
- Mochizuki, Y., Mikawa, S., Kutara, K., Sugimoto, K., Sakoya, H., Ohnishi, A., Saeki, K.,
 Shimizu, Y., Kanda, T. & Asanuma, T. (2021). Local Hemodynamic Changes
 Immediately after Correction of an Aberrant Right Subclavian Artery in a Dog: A
 Contrast Computed Tomographic Study. *Veterinary Sciences*, 8, 104.
- Moe, J. B., Piper, R. C., Tanase, H., Sotani, K. & Manabe, J. (1989). Preclinical safety studies of cefmetazole. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 23, 125–129.
- Monaghan, K. N., Labato, M. A. & Papich, M. G. (2021). Ampicillin pharmacokinetics in azotemic and healthy dogs. *Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine*, 35, 987–992.
- Mouton, J. W., Brown, D. F., Apfalter, P., Cantón, R., Giske, C. G., Ivanova, M., MacGowan,
 A. P., Rodloff, A., Soussy, C. J., Steinbakk, M. & Kahlmeter, G. (2012). The role of
 pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics in setting clinical MIC breakpoints: the EUCAST
 approach. *Clinical Microbiology and Infection*, 18, E37–45.
- Murakawa, T., Sakamoto, H., Fukada, S., Nakamoto, S., Hirose, T., Itoh, N. & Nishida, M. (1980). Pharmacokinetics of ceftizoxime in animals after parenteral dosing. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 17, 157–164.
- Neu, H. C. (1986). β-Lactam antibiotics: structural relationships affecting in vitro activity and pharmacologic properties. *Reviews of Infectious Diseases*, 8, S237–259.

- Neu, H. C. & Chin, N. X. (1986). In vitro activity and beta-lactamase stability of a new difluoro oxacephem, 6315-S. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 30, 638–644.
- Ohkawa, M., Orito, M., Sugata, T., Shimamura, M., Sawaki, M., Nakashita, E., Kuroda, K. & Sasahara, K. (1980). Pharmacokinetics of cefmetazole in normal subjects and in patients with impaired renal function. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 18, 386–389.
- Ohmori, T., Suzuki, A., Niwa, T., Ushikoshi, H., Shirai, K., Yoshida, S., Ogura, S. & Itoh, Y.
 (2011). Simultaneous determination of eight β-lactam antibiotics in human serum by
 liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry. *Journal of Chromatography. B, Analytical Technologies in the Biomedical and Life Sciences*, 879, 1038–1042.
- Papich, M. G. (2014). Pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic (PK-PD) modeling and the rational selection of dosage regimes for the prudent use of antimicrobial drugs. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 171, 480–486.
- Park, G. H., Kim, S., Kim, M. S., Yu, Y. M., Kim, G. H., Lee, J. S. & Lee, E. (2019). The Association Between Cephalosporin and Hypoprothrombinemia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16, 3937.
- Paterson, D. L. (2006). Resistance in gram-negative bacteria: Enterobacteriaceae. *American Journal of Infection Control*, 34, S20–73.
- Perez, F., Endimiani, A., Hujer, K. M. & Bonomo, R. A. (2007). The continuing challenge of ESBLs. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, 7, 459–469.
- Pitout, J. D. & Laupland, K. B. (2008). Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: an emerging public-health concern. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 8, 159–166.
- Prescott, J. F. (2017). History and current use of antimicrobial drugs in veterinary medicine. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 5, ARBA-0002-2017.

- Rodríguez-Baño, J., Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, B., Machuca, I. & Pascual, A. (2018). Treatment of infections caused by extended-spectrum-beta-lactamase-, ampC-, and carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 31, e00079-17.
- Rodríguez-Guerrero, E., Callejas-Rodelas, J. C., Navarro-Marí, J. M. & Gutiérrez-Fernández,
 J. (2022). Systematic review of plasmid AmpC type resistances in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and preliminary proposal of a simplified screening
 method for *ampC*. *Microorganisms*, 10, 611.
- Roscetto, E., Varriale, C., Galdiero, U., Esposito, C. & Catania, M. R. (2021). Extendedspectrum beta-lactamase-producing and carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales* in companion and animal-assisted interventions dogs. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18, 12952.
- Salgado-Caxito, M., Benavides, J. A., Adell, A. D., Paes, A. C. & Moreno-Switt, A. I. (2021). Global prevalence and molecular characterization of extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing-*Escherichia coli* in dogs and cats - A scoping review and meta-analysis. *One Health (Amsterdam, Netherlands)*, 12, 100236.
- Sato, T., Hara, T., Horiyama, T., Kanazawa, S., Yamaguchi, T. & Maki, H. (2015). Mechanism of resistance and antibacterial susceptibility in extended-spectrum β-lactamase phenotype *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Klebsiella oxytoca* isolated between 2000 and 2010 in Japan. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 64, 538–543.
- Shah, S., Barton, G. & Fischer, A. (2015). Pharmacokinetic considerations and dosing strategies of antibiotics in the critically ill patient. *Journal of the Intensive Care Society*, 16, 147–153.
- Shimizu, T., Harada, K., Tsuyuki, Y., Kimura, Y., Miyamoto, T., Hatoya, S. & Hikasa, Y. (2017). *In vitro* efficacy of 16 antimicrobial drugs against a large collection of β-

lactamase-producing isolates of extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* from dogs and cats. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 66, 1085–1091.

- Sipahi, N., Kekeç, AI. & Halaç, B. (2022). In vitro effect of some essential oils against multiple antibiotic-resistant bacteria from cats and dogs. *Pakistan Veterinary Journal*, 42, 561–565.
- Tacconelli, E., Carrara, E., Savoldi, A., Harbarth, S., Mendelson, M., Monnet, D. L., Pulcini, C., Kahlmeter, G., Kluytmans, J., Carmeli, Y., Ouellette, M., Outterson, K., Patel, J., Cavaleri, M., Cox, E. M., Houchens, C. R., Grayson, M. L., Hansen, P., Singh, N., Theuretzbacher, U., Magrini, N. & WHO Pathogens Priority List Working Group (2018). Discovery, research, and development of new antibiotics: the WHO priority list of antibiotic-resistant bacteria and tuberculosis. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 18, 318–327.
- Takemura, W., Tashiro, S., Hayashi, M., Igarashi, Y., Liu, X., Mizukami, Y., Kojima, N.,
 Morita, T., Enoki, Y., Taguchi, K., Yokoyama, Y., Nakamura, T. & Matsumoto, K. (2021).
 Cefmetazole as an Alternative to Carbapenems Against Extended-Spectrum BetaLactamase-Producing *Escherichia coli* Infections Based on *In Vitro* and *In Vivo*Pharmacokinetics/Pharmacodynamics Experiments. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 38, 1839–
 1846.
- Tashiro, S., Hayashi, M., Takemura, W., Igarashi, Y., Liu, X., Mizukami, Y., Kojima, N., Enoki, Y., Taguchi, K., Yokoyama, Y., Nakamura, T. & Matsumoto, K. (2021).
 Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics evaluation of flomoxef against extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli in vitro* and *in vivo* in a murine thigh infection model. *Pharmaceutical Research*, 38, 27–35.
- Tompkins, K. & van Duin, D. (2021). Treatment for carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacterales* infections: recent advances and future directions. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology & Infectious Diseases*, 40, 2053–2068.

- Toutain, P. L., Pelligand, L., Lees, P., Bousquet-Mélou, A., Ferran, A. A. & Turnidge, J. D. (2021). The pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic paradigm for antimicrobial drugs in veterinary medicine: Recent advances and critical appraisal. *Journal of Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 44, 172–200.
- Trang, M., Dudley, M. N. & Bhavnani, S. M. (2017). Use of Monte Carlo simulation and considerations for PK-PD targets to support antibacterial dose selection. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, 36, 107–113.
- Tseng, C. H., Liu, C. W. & Liu, P. Y. (2023). Extended-Spectrum β-Lactamases (ESBL) Producing Bacteria in Animals. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 12, 661.
- Tsuji, T., Satoh, H., Narisada, M., Hamashima, Y. & Yoshida, T. (1985). Synthesis and antibacterial activity of 6315-S, a new member of the oxacephem antibiotic. *Journal of Antibiotic (Tokyo)*, 38, 466–476.
- Vegas Cómitre, M. D., Cortellini, S., Cherlet, M., Devreese, M., Roques, B. B., Bousquet-Melou, A., Toutain, P. L. & Pelligand, L. (2021). Population pharmacokinetics of intravenous amoxicillin combined with clavulanic acid in healthy and critically ill dogs. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8, 770202.
- Wang, G., Yu, W., Cui, Y., Shi, Q., Huang, C. & Xiao, Y. (2021a). Optimal empiric treatment for KPC-2-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections in critically ill patients with normal or decreased renal function using Monte Carlo simulation. *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 21, 307.
- Wang, C. Z., Gao, X., Lv, L. C., Cai, Z. P., Yang, J. & Liu, J. H. (2021b). Novel tigecycline resistance gene cluster *tnfxB3-tmexCD3-toprJ1b* in *Proteus* spp. and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, co-existing with *tet*(X6) on an SXT/R391 integrative and conjugative element. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 76, 3159–3167.

- Woerde, D. J., Reagan, K. L., Byrne, B. A., Weimer, B. C., Epstein, S. E., Schlesener, C., Huang, B. C. & Sykes, J. E. (2023). Characteristics of extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing Enterobacterales isolated from dogs and cats, 2011–2021. *Veterinary Sciences*, 10, 178.
- Xiao, X., Sun, J., Chen, Y., Huang, R. J., Huang, T., Qiao, G. G., Zhou, Y. F. & Liu, Y. H.
 (2015). In vitro dynamic pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) modeling and PK/PD cutoff of cefquinome against *Haemophilus parasuis*. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 11, 33.
- Yang, Q., Zhang, H., Cheng, J., Xu, Z., Xu, Y., Cao, B., Kong, H., Ni, Y., Yu, Y., Sun, Z., Hu, B., Huang, W., Wang, Y., Wu, A., Feng, X., Liao, K., Shen, D., Hu, Z., Chu, Y., Lu, J., Su, J., Gui, B., Duan, Q. Zhang, S. & Shao, H. (2015). In vitro activity of flomoxef and comparators against *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Proteus mirabilis* producing extended-spectrum β-lactamases in China. *International Journal of Antimicrobial agents*, 45, 485–490.
- Yasunaga, K., Okamoto, Y., Maehara, K., Mase, K., Iida, Y., Yoshioka, S., Yamada, H.,
 Yoshida, T., Oguma, Y., Kimura, Y., Hirauchi, M., Uchida, K., Kakushi, H., Matsubara, T.
 & Konaka, T. (1987). Phase-I clinical study on 6315-S (flomoxef). *Chemotherapy*, 35, 494–517.
- Yoshida, T., Kimura, Y. & Tochino, Y. (1980). Pharmacokinetics of 6059-S in experimental animals. *Chemotherapy*, 28, 194–206.
- Zhang, L., Xie, H., Wang, Y., Wang, H., Hu, J. & Zhang, G. (2022). Pharmacodynamic Parameters of Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) Integration Models. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 9, 860472.
- Zogg, A. L., Simmen, S., Zurfluh, K., Stephan, R., Schmitt, S. N. & Nüesch-Inderbinen, M. (2018). High prevalence of extended-spectrum β-lactamase producing

Enterobacteriaceae among clinical isolates from cats and dogs admitted to a veterinary hospital in switzerland. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 5, 62.

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Kazuki Harada, Tottori University, for his guidance and criticism in the preparation of this manuscript.

I gratefully appreciate deputy supervisors Prof. Tetsuo Asai, Gifu University, Assoc. Prof. Aiko Iguchi, Tottori University, and supporting supervisors Prof. Takashi Takeuchi, Tottori University, and Prof. Naohito Nishii, Gifu University, for reviewing the manuscript and for their invaluable advice.

I would like to thank Dr. Tomoki Motegi, Boston University, and Ms. Mizuki Yokono, Tottori University, for their valuable advice and suggestions in the writing of the paper.

I would also like to thank Drs. Keita Iyori and Manami Tsunoi, VDT Co., Ltd. and Drs. Yuzo Tsuyuki and Kae Torii, Sanritsu Zelkova Veterinary Laboratory Inc. for providing valuable samples.

I deeply appreciate Emeritus Prof. Yoshiaki Hikasa, Junior Assoc. Prof. Kumiko Tsujino, and the students of the Laboratory of Veterinary Internal Medicine, Tottori University for their support in this research.

I would like to offer my special thanks to my comrades at the Veterinary Internal Medicine Laboratory, Liliana, Karaage, Daifuku, Mamedaifuku, Yoshida, Akki, Kojiro, and Musashi.

Finally, I am greatly indebted to my family, relatives, and members of the Squirrel Forest Project in Wakasa, who have been of great encouragement to me during my graduate studies.

Summary

Enterobacterales that produce extended-spectrum β -lactamases (ESBL-E) have multidrugresistance phenotypes including resistance to almost all veterinary drugs, making their treatment complex. However, therapeutic guidelines for infections by ESBL-E (ESBL infections) in companion animals have not yet been established. Carbapenems are primarily used for the treatment of ESBL infections in human medicine. However, the use of carbapenems has a risk of selecting and increasing carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales (CRE), which exhibit a more serious multidrug-resistant phenotype than ESBL-E. Therefore, there is a need to explore alternatives to carbapenems for the treatment of ESBL infections in companion animal medicine.

Cephamycins, including cefmetazole (CMZ), flomoxef (FMX), and latamoxef (LMX), are hardly hydrolyzed by ESBLs, and the no observed adverse effect levels in dogs are extremely higher than the human dose (i.e., a maximum of 37.5 mg/kg four times per day, respectively). Thus, these cephamycins may be potential alternatives to carbapenems for the treatment of ESBL infections in dogs. In the last decade, pharmacokinetics (PK)/pharmacodynamics (PD) analysis has been practically used in many researches to consider appropriate dosage regimens of antimicrobial drugs. The *in vitro* activity of cephamycins against ESBL-E from companion animals is important to consider the PD parameters but has not yet been fully understood. In addition, there is little knowledge of the PK of cephamycins in dogs, because these drugs are approved for use in humans but not in dogs. The present studies were carried out to obtain knowledge of the efficacies and practicalities of cephamycins and to establish appropriate dosage regimens of some cephamycins for the treatment of canine ESBL infections based on PK/PD approach.

In Chapter 1, the author examined the *in vitro* efficacy of CMZ, FMX, and LMX and the prevalence of AmpC β-lactamases (ABLs), which can hydrolyze cephamycins, in ESBLproducing isolates of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (n = 120), *Proteus mirabilis* (n = 29), and *Enterobacter cloacae* (n = 69) isolated from companion animals. They were collected from 136 dogs and 82 cats treated at Japanese veterinary hospitals between 2019 and 2022. The production of ESBL and ABL was confirmed for all isolates using the commercial kit. The minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) were determined using the agar dilution method. As a result, K. pneumoniae and P. mirabilis isolates producing only ESBLs exhibited high susceptibility rates to all of the tested cephamycins (95.5–99.1% and 82.7–100%, respectively). In contrast, K. pneumoniae isolates producing both ESBLs and ABLs exhibited low susceptibility rates to CMZ and FMX (both 12.5%), although these bacteria exhibited higher LMX susceptibility rates (87.5%), irrespective of ABL production status. The author further found the low susceptibility rates of all cephamycins in ESBL-producing E. cloacae isolates: however, there are differences in susceptibility rates among the three cephamycins [i.e., extremely lower (7.2%), moderate (59.4%), and higher susceptibility rates (85.5%) to CMZ, FMX, and LMX, respectively]. These results imply that the in vitro efficacy of cephamycins on ESBL-E can be greatly affected by antimicrobial substance, ABL production status, and bacterial species, and of these drugs, LMX has the highest in vitro efficacy on ESBL-E isolates from companion animals.

In Chapters 2, 3, and 4, the author investigated the PKs of CMZ, FMX, and LMX, respectively, in dogs. The day before administration of antimicrobial products, a central venous catheter was placed in the jugular vein of the dog under general anesthesia. Each antimicrobial product was bolus injected in the radial cutaneous vein at 40 mg/kg body weight, and venous blood samples were collected from each participant via a central venous catheter at predetermined time points. The concentration of cephamycins in each sample was determined

by high-performance liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry or –tandem mass spectrometry. Based on serum concentrations of antibiotics, the PK profiles from the non-compartment model were calculated. As a result, of the tested cephamycins, LMX had a higher area under the concentration-time curve (266.05 mg·h/L) and longer elimination half-life (1.24 h) in dogs, compared with those of CMZ (103.36 mg·h/L and 0.84 h) and FMX (134.61 mg·h/L and 0.76 h), due to the lowest total body clearance.

In these chapters, the author also conducted Monte Carlo simulation (MCS)-based PK/PD analysis to estimate the probability of target attainment (PTA) of 40 mg/kg CMZ, FMX, and LMX bolus administration at every 12, 8, 6 h. In these studies, the target value was set as \geq 40% of the percentage of time during a dosing interval that the concentration of the unbound fraction of antimicrobial substance remains above the MIC for the pathogen, which is the PK/PD index associated with the clinical effectiveness of cephamycins. MCS allowed to creation of drug-serum concentration-time profiles of 10,000 virtual patients by each dosage regimen. The highest MIC to attain a PTA \geq 90% was used to determine the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values. The nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values of all the cephamycins increased by shortening dosing intervals, reflecting the characteristics of time-dependent drugs. A comparison between the three cephamycins revealed that the nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values of LMX at every 12, 8, and 6 h (\leq 2, \leq 8, and \leq 16 µg/mL) were greatly higher than those of CMZ (\leq 0.5, \leq 2, and \leq 4 µg/mL) and FMX (\leq 0.5, \leq 2, and \leq 8 µg/mL), respectively. Thus, it is likely that LMX has higher nonclinical PK/PD cutoff values, compared with CMZ and FMX.

Furthermore, the author estimated the cumulative fractions of response (CFRs) of the three cephamycins based on each MIC distribution of wild-type ESBL-E isolates from companion animals. A regimen with a CFR of \geq 90% is defined as optimal, and a regimen with a CFR of 80–90% is defined as moderately successful. As the results, the dosage regimens of LMX 40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h and those of FMX 40 mg/kg every 8 and 6 h achieved CFR of \geq

90% for infections of ESBL-producing *E. coli*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *P. mirabilis*, and thus the author supposes that these regimens are optimal regimens for these infections. In addition, the CMZ dosage regimen of 40 mg/kg every 6 h was estimated to be moderately effective for infections of ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* due to the CFR of 80–90%. As for ESBL-producing *E. cloacae*, the LMX dosage regimens of 40 mg/kg every 12, 8, and 6 h achieved CFR of > 80%, which is expected to be moderately successful, whereas the CMZ and FMX dosage regimens could not achieve satisfactory CFR irrespective of dosing intervals. These considerations of CFR suggest that dosage regimens of all cephamycins are effective for infections of ESBL-producing *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, FMX, and LMX for that of *P. mirabilis*, and only LMX for that of *E. cloacae*.

In conclusion, the author verified the efficacy of CMZ, FMX, and LMX for ESBL infections in dogs through PK/PD-based approaches. The author also found the higher efficacy of LMX dosage regimens for canine ESBL infections, compared with dosage regimens of CMZ and FMX, due to the preferable PK and PD indices. However, the author emphasizes the need to take into account bacterial species and ABL production status, which can affect their efficacy on the use of cephamycins. Further clinical research would provide a rationale for the practical use of these cephamycins as carbapenem-sparing antibiotics for ESBL infections in dogs.

学位論文要旨

基質特異性拡張型 β-ラクタマーゼ産生腸内細菌目細菌(ESBL-E)は、β-ラクタム 系薬を含むほぼ全ての動物用抗菌薬に耐性を示す。そのため、伴侶動物における ESBL-E による感染症(ESBL 感染症)の治療は複雑化しているが、当該感染症に対 する治療指針は未だ確立されていない。ヒト医療における ESBL 感染症の治療におい ては主にカルバペネム系抗菌薬が使用されているが、ESBL-E よりも重篤な多剤耐性 を示すカルバペネム耐性腸内細菌目細菌を選択・増加させる危険性がある。従って、 伴侶動物の ESBL 感染症の治療において、カルバペネム系抗菌薬の代替薬が必要とさ れている。

セファマイシン系抗菌薬(セフメタゾール(CMZ)、フロモキセフ(FMX)、ラタ モキセフ(LMX))は、ESBL に安定的であり、かつ、犬に対する安全性が高いこと が知られている。この背景から、セファマイシン系抗菌薬は犬の ESBL 感染症に対す る治療薬となる可能性がある。近年、抗菌薬の適切な投与条件の検討において、薬 物動態学(PK)/薬力学(PD)解析が行われている。しかし、セファマイシン系抗 菌薬は動物用抗菌薬として承認されていないため、犬におけるこれら抗菌薬の PD パ ラメータや PK パラメータに関しては十分には明らかにされていない。本研究は、セ ファマイシン系抗菌薬の犬の ESBL 産生菌感染症に対する有効性と実用性に関する知 見を得ること、また、PK/PD アプローチに基づきこれら抗菌薬の適切な投与条件を 検討することを目的として実施された。

第1章では、伴侶動物由来 ESBL 産生 Klebsiella pneumoniae (120 株)、Proteus mirabilis (29 株)及び Enterobacter cloacae (69 株) に対する CMZ、FMX 及び LMX の in vitro での有効性を検討及びセファマイシン系抗菌薬を加水分解する AmpC 型 β-ラクタマーゼ (ABL)産生率の調査を行った。供試株は 2019 年~2022 年にかけて日 本の動物病院で治療を受けた犬 136 頭及び猫 82 頭から収集された。市販のキットを 用いて ESBL 及び ABL 産生性を確認するとともに、最小発育阻止濃度 (MIC)を寒 天平板希釈法により測定した。その結果、ESBL のみを産生する K. pneumoniae およ び P. mirabilis は 3 薬剤に対して高い感受性を示した(それぞれ 95.5–99.1%及び 82.7– 100%)。ESBL と ABL の両方を産生する K. pneumoniae は、CMZ と FMX に対する感 受性は低かったが (ともに 12.5%)、一方で ABL の産生状況にかかわらず LMX に対 する感受性は高かった(87.5%)。さらに ESBL 産生 E. cloacae は 3 薬剤全ての感受性 率が低かったが、薬剤間では感受性率に差が認められた(CMZ、FMX 及び LMX の 感受性率はそれぞれ 7.2%、59.4%及び 85.5%)。これらの結果は、ESBL-E に対する セファマイシン系薬の in vitro の有効性が、成分、ABL 産生状態及び菌種により大き く影響されること,その中でもLMX は最も高い in vitro の有効性を示すことが明らかとなった。

第2章,第3章及び第4章では、それぞれ CMZ,FMX 及び LMX の犬特異的 PK を 調査した。各薬剤を 40 mg/kg 静脈内にボーラス投与し、経時的に中心静脈カテーテ ルを介して静脈血を採取した。各血清中の抗菌薬濃度を、高速液体クロマトグラフ ィー質量分析法またはタンデム質量分析法により測定し、ノンコンパートメントモ デルにより PK パラメータを算出した。その結果、犬における LMX の濃度時間曲線 下面積(266.05 mg-h/L)及び消失半減期(1.24 時間)は、CMZ(103.36 mg-h/L,0.84 時間)及び FMX(134.61 mg-h/L,0.76 時間)と比較して、それぞれ高値であった。

次に, CMZ, FMX 及び LMX (それぞれ一回投与量 40 mg/kg) を 12, 8 及び 6 時間 間隔にボーラス投与した場合の目標達成確率(PTA)をモンテカルロシミュレーシ ョン(MCS)により算出した。10,000頭の仮想患者の薬物-血清濃度-時間プロファイ ルを作成し、PTA≧90%を達成する最も高い MIC を非臨床 PK/PD カットオフ値とし た。3薬剤の非臨床 PK/PD カットオフ値は、時間依存性薬物の特性を反映し、投与間 隔が短くなるにつれて増加した。LMXの12,8及び6時間間隔投与時の非臨床PK/PD カットオフ値(≤ 2 , ≤ 8 及び $\leq 16 \mu g/mL$)は, CMZ(≤ 0.5 , ≤ 2 及び $\leq 4 \mu g/mL$)及 び FMX(≦0.5, ≦2 及び≦8 µg/mL)よりもそれぞれ高い値を示した。さらに,伴侶 動物由来 ESBL-Eの MIC 分布に基づいて 3 薬剤の累積治療反応率(CFR)を算出し, 投与方法が 90%以上の CFR を示した場合に最適, 80~90%の CFR を示した場合に中 等度有効と判断した。その結果,12,8 及び 6 時間間隔の LMX 投与並びに 8 及び 6 時間間隔の FMX 投与は、犬の ESBL 産生 E. coli, K. pneumoniae 及び P. mirabilis 感染 症に対して最適と判断された。また、6時間間隔の CMZ 投与は、犬の ESBL 産生 E. *coli*及び*K. pneumoniae* 感染症に対して中等度有効と判断された。一方, ESBL 産生 *E.* cloacae 感染症に対しては、12、8及び6時間間隔のLMX 投与で中等度有効と判断さ れたが、CMZ 又は FMX の投与は投与間隔に関わらず満足できる CFR は得られなか った。以上より, ESBL 産生 E. coli 及び K. pneumoniae 感染症には CMZ, FMX 及び LMX, P. mirabilis 感染症には FMX 及び LMX, E. cloacae 感染症には LMX 投与が中 等度以上の有効性を示すと考えられた。

今回,犬の ESBL 感染症に対するセファマイシン系抗菌薬の有効性を PK/PD アプ ローチにより検討した。結果として,LMX は CMZ や FMX と比較して,PK 及び PD パラメータが優れており,犬の ESBL 感染症に対して高い有効性が期待される結果 を示した。しかし,セファマイシン系抗菌薬使用の際には,その有効性に影響を及 ぼす菌種や ABL 産生性を考慮する必要がある。今後,犬の ESBL 感染症に対する治 療薬としての実用化に向けたセファマイシン系抗菌薬の臨床研究が求められる。

85

List of Publications

Kusumoto, M., Kanao, Y., Narita, H., Jitsuiki, M., Iyori, K., Tsunoi, M., Tsuyuki, Y., Torii, K.
& Harada, K. (2023). *In vitro* efficacy of cephamycins against multiple extendedspectrum β-lactamase-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Proteus mirabilis*, and *Enterobacter cloacae* isolates from dogs and cats. *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, 85, 653–656.

This article covers Chapter 1.

Kusumoto, M., Motegi, T., Uno, H., Yokono, M. & Harada, K. (2023). Pharmacokineticpharmacodynamic analysis of cefmetazole against extended-spectrum β-lactamaseproducing Enterobacteriaceae in dogs using Monte Carlo Simulation. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 10, 1270137.

This article covers Chapter 2.

Kusumoto, M., Motegi, T., Jitsuiki, M., Yokono, M. & Harada, K. (2024). Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic analysis of the oxacephem antibiotic flomoxef against extendedspectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales from dogs. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 25, 1105.

This article covers Chapter 3.