

# On the non-differentiability of special exponential sums

with Möbius weight;  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx}$

Kazuo GOTO \*

**Keywords:** non-differentiable, exponential sums, Möbius function.

## Abstract

Fröberg [2] said that he believes  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx}$  being non-differentiable everywhere by computer computation, where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  and  $\mu(n)$  is the Möbius function.

In this paper, we show in Theorem 1.1 that for any interval in  $[0, 2\pi]$  there exists a positive Lebesgue measurable ( $L^1$ -measurable) set such that  $f'(x)$  is not  $L^2$ -measurable on its interval.

## 1 Theorems

Let  $\mu(n)$  be the Möbius function. We define  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx}$ . Then  $f(x)$  has a period  $2\pi$ . Bateman and Chowla [1] show that  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx}$  is continuous. By numerical computations, Fröberg [2, p.210] said that it is perfect clear that the function is not differentiable. But he does not give the proof.

**Lemma 1.1** ([3, Theorem 68]). *If both  $g(x)$  and  $g'(x)$  belong to  $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ , then both  $G(x)$  and  $xG(x)$  belong to  $L^2$ ; and vice versa, where*

$$G(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t) e^{ixt} dt.$$

---

\*鳥取大学教育支援・国際交流推進機構 教育センター, KazuoGoto@tottori-u.ac.jp

**Lemma 1.2** ([1, Lemma 2]). *The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx}$  converges uniformly in  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ .*

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx}$ . Then for any  $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [0, 2\pi]$ ,  $f'(x)$  does not belong to  $L^2[\alpha, \beta]$ , i.e.,  $f'(x) \notin L^2[\alpha, \beta]$ .*

*Proof.* It was proved by Bateman and Chowla [BC] that  $f(x)$  converges uniformly in  $x$  for real  $x$ . This implies that  $f(x)$  is continuous. We define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } \alpha \leq x \leq \beta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By  $f(x)$  being continuous,  $g(x)$  is  $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ -measurable. Since  $f(x)$  converges uniformly in  $x$ , the Fourier transform of  $g(x)$  is

$$G(y) = \hat{g}(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) e^{ixy} dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} e^{inx} e^{ixy} dx.$$

Thus

$$G(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \frac{1}{i(n+y)} (e^{i(n+y)\beta} - e^{i(n+y)\alpha}) & \text{if } y \neq -n, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} (\beta - \alpha) = 0 & \text{if } y = -n. \end{cases}$$

Suppose  $g'(x) \in L^2[\alpha, \beta]$  on some  $[\alpha, \beta]$ , that is,  $g'(x) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ . Both  $g$  and  $g'$  belong to  $L^2(-\infty, \infty)$ , then  $\hat{g}'(y) = (-i)yG(y) \in L^2(-\infty, \infty)$  by Lemma 1.1. Since, for  $y \neq -n$ ,

$$\hat{g}' = (-i)yG(y) = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \frac{y}{i(n+y)} \{e^{i(n+y)\beta} - e^{i(n+y)\alpha}\},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \infty &> \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \frac{y}{(n+y)} \{e^{i(n+y)\beta} - e^{i(n+y)\alpha}\} \right|^2 dy \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \frac{t-n}{t} (e^{it\beta} - e^{it\alpha}) \right|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|e^{it\beta} - e^{it\alpha}|^2}{t^2} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t-n}{n} \mu(n) \right|^2 dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{4 \sin^2 \frac{(\beta-\alpha)t}{2}}{t^2} \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \right|^2 dt = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \right|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{4 \sin^2 \frac{(\beta-\alpha)t}{2}}{t^2} dt, \end{aligned}$$

by  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} = 0$ .

Thus  $\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \right|^2 < \infty$ , which contradicts to [4, Theorem 14.26(B)], that is,

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \left| \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) \right| > 0.$$

Thus we obtain  $g'(x) \notin L^2[\alpha, \beta]$ , i.e.,  $f'(x) \notin L^2[\alpha, \beta]$ , which completes the proof.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx}$ . If  $f'(x)$  exists for some  $x$ , then  $f'(x) = 0$ .

Moreover, if  $f'_+(x)$  or  $f'_-(x)$  exists for some  $x$ , then  $f'_+(x) = 0$  or  $f'_-(x) = 0$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} e^{inx} (1 - e^{inh}) \right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{n^2} < \infty,$$

the function

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} e^{inx} (1 - e^{inh})$$

absolutely converges.

For  $t > 0$ , we set the function

$$g(x, t) = \frac{-2}{t} f(x) + \frac{2i}{t^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} e^{inx} (1 - e^{int}). \tag{1}$$

By Lemma 1.2, the function  $f(x)$  converges uniformly in  $x$ .

Thus

$$f(x+h) - f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx} (e^{inh} - 1)$$

converges uniformly in  $h$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx} (e^{inh} - 1) dh = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \int_0^t e^{inx} (e^{inh} - 1) dh \\ &= -t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx} + i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} e^{inx} (1 - e^{int}). \\ &= -t f(x) + i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} e^{inx} (1 - e^{int}). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}t^2} \left\{ -tf(x) + i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} e^{inx} (1 - e^{int}) \right\} \\ &= \frac{\int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx} (e^{inh} - 1) dh}{\int_0^t h dh}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy's mean value theorem, we have, for some  $h$  with  $0 < h < t$ ,

$$g(x, t) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx} (e^{inh} - 1)}{h} = \frac{1}{h} (f(x+h) - f(x)). \quad (3)$$

Since  $\frac{1 - e^{int}}{t} = -ine^{int} + o(1)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , where  $o$  is the Landau's small  $o$ , we have for fixed  $N$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} e^{inx} \frac{1 - e^{int}}{t} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left( -i \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{in(x+t)} \right), \quad (4)$$

and for fixed  $t \neq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} e^{inx} \frac{1 - e^{int}}{t} - \left( -i \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{in(x+t)} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{in(x+t)} \right| + \frac{2}{|t|} \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} < \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for any positive  $\epsilon > 0$  as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , because  $f(x+t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{in(x+t)}$  exists.

Therefore from (4), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^2} e^{inx} \frac{1 - e^{int}}{t} = -if(x+t).$$

Thus from (1), we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} g(x, t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{2(f(x+t) - f(x))}{t} \quad (5)$$

if  $f'(x)$  exists for some  $x$ . Therefore, by (3) and (5), we have  $2f'(x) = f'(x)$ , that is,  $f'(x) = 0$ .

If  $f'_+(x)$  or  $f'_-(x)$  exists for some  $x$ , then we replace  $t \rightarrow 0$  with  $t \rightarrow +0$  or  $t \rightarrow -0$  in the above proof. Thus we have  $f'_+(x) = 0$  or  $f'_-(x) = 0$ , respectively.

By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, we have

**Corollary 1.1.**  $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} e^{inx}$  is non-differential except for  $\{x \mid f_{\pm}(x) = 0\}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** We have for  $T = \{x \mid f'_{+}(x) \text{ or } f'_{-}(x) \text{ does not exist}\}$

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) e^{inx} \right| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } x \in T.$$

*Proof.* By the fact  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h e^{int} dt = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (f(x+h) - f(x)) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} (e^{in(x+h)} - e^{inx}) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i\mu(n) e^{inx} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h e^{int} dt = i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) e^{inx} (1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the above equations, if we replace  $h \rightarrow 0$  with  $h \rightarrow +0$  or  $h \rightarrow -0$ , we have the same equations. By Theorem 1.1 and 1.2, if  $f'_{\pm}(x)$  exists, then  $f'_{\pm}(x) = 0$ .

Thus we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(n) e^{inx} \right| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } x \in T,$$

which completes the proof.

## 参考文献

- [1] P.T.Bateman and S.Chowla, *Some Special Trigonometrical Series Related to the Distribution of Prime Numbers*, Jour. London Math.Soc, **38** (1963)372-374.
- [2] C.E.Fröberg, *Numerical studies of the Möbius power series*, BIT **6**(1966)191-211.
- [3] E.C.Titchmarsh, *Introduction to the theory of Fourier integrals*, 1975, Oxford.
- [4] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta function, 2nd ed. (revised by D. R. Heath-Brown)*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.